1-4. Finite automata. Let us consider the following finite automaton (FA) for recognizing words in the alphabet \{a,b\} that only have a's (and do not have any b's). This automaton has two states: the start state which is also final, and the sink state. From the start state, letter 'a' leads back to the same state, while letter 'b' leads to the sink state.

1. On the example of the word aaa accepted by the given automaton, show how this word will be represented as xyz according to the pumping lemma for FA. For this sequence, check -- by tracing step-by-step -- that the sequence xyz\(^2\)z is indeed accepted by this automaton.

2. Use the general algorithm to transform the given FA into a context-free grammar (CFG). Show, step-by-step, how the word aaa can be derived in this CFG.

3. Use the general algorithm to transform the given FA into a Turing machine (TM). Show, step-by-step, how this TM will accept the word aaa.

4. Use the Pumping Lemma for FA to prove that the language \{p^n q^n\} = \{λ, pq, ppq, pppqq, ...\} cannot be recognized by a finite automaton.

![Diagram of automaton with states and transitions]
3)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{start, } \omega & \rightarrow R, Q_1 \\
Q_1, \omega & \rightarrow \text{accept} \\
Q_1, a & \rightarrow R, \\
Q_1, b & \rightarrow R, Q_2 \\
Q_2, \omega & \rightarrow \text{reject} \\
Q_2, a & \rightarrow R \\
Q_2, b & \rightarrow R \\
\end{align*}
\]
\[ L = \{ \lambda, pa, ppqa, ppqaq, \ldots \} \]

Proof by contradiction, let's assume that \( L \) is regular. Then by pumping lemma, there exists \( p \) such that every word from \( L \) whose length is \( \geq p \) can be represented as \( xyz \), where:

\[ \text{len}(y) > 0, \text{len}(xy) \leq p \text{ and for all } y, xy^i z \text{ is in } L \]

Let's take:

\[ p^p, p^p, p^p, p^p, p^p, p^p \]

\[ P \ldots P \quad P \ldots P \quad q \ldots q \quad q \ldots q \quad q \ldots q \quad q \ldots q \]

\[ \text{times} \quad P \quad P \quad P \quad P \quad P \quad P \quad y \]

\[ \text{len} = 6p > p \]

\[ \text{len}(xy) \leq p \]

so \( y \) has only \( p \)'s

\[ xy^p z = P \ldots P \quad P \ldots P \quad q \ldots q \quad q \ldots q \quad q \ldots q \]

we have \( > p \) \( p \)'s since we added \( y \)

This is no longer same word repeated 3 times

not in \( L \)
5-8. Pushdown automata (PDA) and context-free grammars (CFG). The following CFG generates the language \( \{ p^n q^3 \} \): it has the starting variable \( S \) and the rules \( S \rightarrow \varepsilon, S \rightarrow pSq \).

5. Show, step-by-step, how the word \( ppqq \) will be generated by this CFG.

6. Use the general algorithm to generate a PDA that recognizes the words generated by this CFG -- and only these words. Show, step-by-step, how the resulting PDA will accept the word \( ppqq \).

7. Use the general algorithm to transform the given CFG into Chomsky normal form.

8. On the example of the word \( ppqq \) generated by the given CFG, show how this word will be represented as \( uvxyz \) according to the pumping lemma for CFG. For this sequence, check -- by tracing step-by-step -- that the sequence \( uv^2xyz \) is indeed generated by this CFG.

\[
\begin{align*}
S & \rightarrow \varepsilon \\
S & \rightarrow pSq
\end{align*}
\]

\[
S \rightarrow pSq \rightarrow ppSq \rightarrow ppqq
\]
$S \rightarrow \epsilon$

$S \rightarrow spq$

Diagram:

```
S  e, e \rightarrow S
  \rightarrow aux
S  e, \epsilon \rightarrow S
  \rightarrow \epsilon, \epsilon \rightarrow S
  \rightarrow p, p \rightarrow \epsilon
q, q \rightarrow \epsilon
```

```
ppqq
```

```
S  aux  w  w  w  w  w  w  w  w  w
```

```
S  q  q  q  q  q  q  q  q  q  q
```

```
S  q  p  q  q  q  q  q  q  q  q
```

```
S  q  q  q  q  q  q  q  q  q  q
```

Accepted
CFG ⊢ CNF

step 0

1. S → E
2. S → psq
3. S₀ → S

step 1

4. S → pq
5. S₀ → E
6. S₀ → psq
7. S₀ → pq
8. S → V₁₁ V₁₂
9. V₁₁ → p V₁₂
10. S → V₁₁ V₁₂
11. S₀ → V₁₁ S₀
12. V₁₁ → p V₁₂
13. S₀ → V₁₂ V₁₂

\[ u v x y z = \text{apppqq}^\text{q} \]

\[ u v^2 x y^2 z = \text{appppqqq}^\text{q} \]

\[ u = \text{q} \]
\[ v = p \]
\[ x = pq \]
\[ y = q \]
\[ z = \text{q} \]
9. Use the general stack-based algorithms to show:

- how the compiler will transform the expression \((2 - 4) \times (1 + 3)\) into postfix form, and
- how it will compute the value of the resulting postfix expression.

\[
\begin{align*}
2 & \quad 4 & \quad 1 & \quad 3 & \quad + & \quad \times \\
\downarrow & \quad \downarrow & \quad \downarrow & \quad \downarrow & \quad \downarrow & \\
2 & \quad 2 & \quad -2 & \quad -2 & \quad -8 & \\
& & & & = -8
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
2 & \quad 4 & \quad - & \quad 1 & \quad 3 & \quad + & \quad \times \\
\downarrow & \quad \downarrow & \quad \downarrow & \quad \downarrow & \quad \downarrow & \quad \downarrow & \\
2 & \quad 2 & \quad 2 & \quad -2 & \quad -2 & \quad -8 & \\
& & & & = -8
\end{align*}
\]
10. Design a Turing machine (TM) for subtracting 1 from the input \( n \) in binary code; assume that the input is non-zero. Trace, step-by-step, how your TM will perform when \( n = 10_2 \) (i.e., when \( n \) is equal to number 2 as described in the binary code).