LL\((k)\) languages

How compiling is related to derivation in a context-free grammar (CFG): reminder. The first thing a compiler is supposed to do is to check whether the given program is syntactically correct. As we have mentioned earlier, programming languages are usually described by a context-free grammar:

- whatever can be obtained by the corresponding rules is a syntactically correct program, and
- whatever cannot be derived by these rules is not a syntactically correct program.

Thus, to check whether a given program is syntactically correct, we need to check whether this program can be generated by the corresponding context-free grammar.

If it turns out that the program is syntactically correct, the compiler will prepare to perform the corresponding instructions – e.g., by forming the adequate quadruples.

How derivation in a CFG corresponds to the sequence of operations.

The actual derivation of a program in the corresponding context-free grammar usually directly leads to the appropriate sequence of operations. Let us show this on the example – from the lecture on ambiguous and unambiguous grammars – of deriving the expression \(2 + 3 \cdot 4\) in the grammar with rules

\[
E \rightarrow T; \quad E \rightarrow T + E; \quad T \rightarrow F; \quad F \rightarrow (E); \quad T \rightarrow F \cdot T; F \rightarrow 0; \ldots F \rightarrow 9.
\]

As we mentioned in that lecture, this derivation takes the form
or, equivalently,

\[ E \rightarrow T + E \rightarrow E + E \rightarrow 2 + E \rightarrow 2 + T \rightarrow 2 + E \cdot T \rightarrow 2 + 3 \cdot T \rightarrow 2 + 3 \cdot E \rightarrow 2 + 3 \cdot 4, \]

where the variable which is replaced in the next step is underlined.

In this derivation, the same variable – e.g., variable \( E \) – stands for different expressions:

- from the starting \( E \), we derive the whole expression, while
- from the intermediate variable \( E \), we eventually derive \( 3 \cdot 4 \).

Similarly, we have three different occurrences of the variable \( F \):

- the first occurrence is transformed into 2,
- the second occurrence is transformed into 3, and
- the third occurrence is transformed into 4.

To make the derivation clearer, let us mark different occurrences of the same variables by a number: e.g.,

- the first occurrence of the variable \( F \) in this derivation will be denoted by \( F_1 \),
- the second occurrence of the variable \( F \) in this derivation will be denoted by \( F_2 \), etc.

Let us also number of transitions:
• the first transition will be marked as →₁,
• the second transition will be marked as →₂, etc.

As a result, the derivation takes the following form:

\[ E₁ \rightarrow₁ T₁ + E₂ \rightarrow₂ F₁ + E₂ \rightarrow₃ 2 + E₂ \rightarrow₄ \]
\[ 2 + T₂ \rightarrow₅ 2 + F₂ \cdot T₃ \rightarrow₆ 2 + 3 \cdot T₃ \rightarrow₇ 2 + 3 \cdot F₃ \rightarrow₈ 2 + 3 \cdot 4. \]

Now, we can go backwards, from the very last transition to the very first one, and form the quadruples.

**Transforming the last transition into a quadruple.** In the above derivation, the last transition is \( F₃ \rightarrow 4 \):

\[ E₁ \rightarrow₁ T₁ + E₂ \rightarrow₂ F₁ + E₂ \rightarrow₃ 2 + E₂ \rightarrow₄ \]
\[ 2 + T₂ \rightarrow₅ 2 + F₂ \cdot T₃ \rightarrow₆ 2 + 3 \cdot T₃ \rightarrow₇ 2 + 3 \cdot F₃ \rightarrow₈ 2 + 3 \cdot 4, \]

an application of the general rule \( F \rightarrow 4 \). This transition means to this particular case \( F₃ \) of the variable \( F \), we assign the value 4. In Java, this would be described as

\[ F₃ = 4; \]

and in terms of quadruples, this takes the form

\[ = F₃ \ 4. \]

**Transforming the last but one transition into a quadruple.** The transition before that is \( T₃ \rightarrow F₃ \):

\[ E₁ \rightarrow₁ T₁ + E₂ \rightarrow₂ F₁ + E₂ \rightarrow₃ 2 + E₂ \rightarrow₄ \]
\[ 2 + T₂ \rightarrow₅ 2 + F₂ \cdot T₃ \rightarrow₆ 2 + 3 \cdot T₃ \rightarrow₇ 2 + 3 \cdot F₃ \rightarrow₈ 2 + 3 \cdot 4, \]

an application of the general rule \( T \rightarrow F \). This transition means that to the variable \( T₃ \), we assign the value \( F₃ \). In Java, this would be described as

\[ T₃ = F₃; \]

and in terms of quadruples, this takes the form

\[ = T₃ \ F₃. \]

**Transforming the 6th transition into a quadruple.** The transition before that is \( F₂ \rightarrow 3 \):

\[ E₁ \rightarrow₁ T₁ + E₂ \rightarrow₂ F₁ + E₂ \rightarrow₃ 2 + E₂ \rightarrow₄ \]
\[ 2 + T₂ \rightarrow₅ 2 + F₂ \cdot T₃ \rightarrow₆ 2 + 3 \cdot T₃ \rightarrow₇ 2 + 3 \cdot F₃ \rightarrow₈ 2 + 3 \cdot 4, \]

an application of the general rule \( F \rightarrow 3 \). This transition means that to the variable \( F₂ \), we assign the value 3. In Java, this would be described as
\[ F_2 = 3; \]

and in terms of quadruples, this takes the form
\[ = F_2 \cdot T_3. \]

**Transforming the 5th transition into a quadruple.** The transition before that is \( T_2 \rightarrow F_2 \cdot T_3 \):
\[
\begin{align*}
E_1 & \rightarrow T_1 + E_2 \rightarrow F_1 + E_2 \rightarrow 2 + E_2 \rightarrow 4 \\
2 + T_2 & \rightarrow 2 + F_2 \cdot T_3 \rightarrow 2 + 3 \cdot T_3 \rightarrow 2 + 3 \cdot F_3 \rightarrow 2 + 3 \cdot 4,
\end{align*}
\]
an application of the general rule \( T \rightarrow F \cdot T \). This transition means that to the variable \( T_2 \), we assign the value \( F_2 \cdot T_3 \). In Java, this would be described as
\[
T_2 = F_2 \cdot T_3;
\]
and in terms of quadruples, this takes the form
\[ = F_2 \cdot T_3 \cdot T_2. \]

**Transforming the 4th transition into a quadruple.** The transition before that is \( E_2 \rightarrow T_2 \):
\[
\begin{align*}
E_1 & \rightarrow T_1 + E_2 \rightarrow F_1 + E_2 \rightarrow 2 + E_2 \rightarrow 4 \\
2 + T_2 & \rightarrow 2 + F_2 \cdot T_3 \rightarrow 2 + 3 \cdot T_3 \rightarrow 2 + 3 \cdot F_3 \rightarrow 2 + 3 \cdot 4,
\end{align*}
\]
an application of the general rule \( E \rightarrow T \). This transition means that to the variable \( E_2 \), we assign the value \( T_2 \). In Java, this would be described as
\[
E_2 = T_2;
\]
and in terms of quadruples, this takes the form
\[ = E_2 \cdot T_2. \]

**Transforming the 3rd transition into a quadruple.** The transition before that is \( F_1 \rightarrow 2 \):
\[
\begin{align*}
E_1 & \rightarrow T_1 + E_2 \rightarrow F_1 + E_2 \rightarrow 2 + E_2 \rightarrow 4 \\
2 + T_2 & \rightarrow 2 + F_2 \cdot T_3 \rightarrow 2 + 3 \cdot T_3 \rightarrow 2 + 3 \cdot F_3 \rightarrow 2 + 3 \cdot 4,
\end{align*}
\]
an application of the general rule \( F \rightarrow 2 \). This transition means that to the variable \( F_1 \), we assign the value 2. In Java, this would be described as
F1 = 2;

and in terms of quadruples, this takes the form

\[ = F_1 \cdot 2. \]

**Transforming the 2nd transition into a quadruple.** The transition before that is \( T_1 \rightarrow F_1: \)

\[
E_1 \rightarrow_1 T_1 + E_2 \rightarrow_2 F_1 + E_2 \rightarrow_3 2 + E_2 \rightarrow_4
\]

\[
2 + T_2 \rightarrow_5 2 + F_2 \cdot T_3 \rightarrow_6 2 + 3 \cdot T_3 \rightarrow_7 2 + 3 \cdot F_3 \rightarrow_8 2 + 3 \cdot 4,
\]

an application of the general rule \( T \rightarrow F. \) This transition means that to the variable \( T_1, \) we assign the value \( F_1. \) In Java, this would be described as

\[ T_1 = F_1; \]

and in terms of quadruples, this takes the form

\[ = T_1 \cdot F_1. \]

**Transforming the first transition into a quadruple.** Finally, the very first transition is \( E_1 \rightarrow T_1 + E_2: \)

\[
E_1 \rightarrow_1 T_1 + E_2 \rightarrow_2 F_1 + E_2 \rightarrow_3 2 + E_2 \rightarrow_4
\]

\[
2 + T_2 \rightarrow_5 2 + F_2 \cdot T_3 \rightarrow_6 2 + 3 \cdot T_3 \rightarrow_7 2 + 3 \cdot F_3 \rightarrow_8 2 + 3 \cdot 4,
\]

an application of the general rule \( E \rightarrow T + E. \) This transition means that to the variable \( E_1, \) we assign the value \( T_1 + E_2. \) In Java, this would be described as

\[ E_1 = T_1 + E_2; \]

and in terms of quadruples, this takes the form

\[ + T_1 \cdot E_2 \cdot E_1. \]

Overall, we get the following sequence of quadruples for computing the expression \( 2 + 3 \cdot 4: \)

\[ = F_3 \cdot 4 \]

\[ = T_3 \cdot F_3 \]

\[ = F_2 \cdot 3 \]

\[ * F_2 \cdot T_3 \cdot T_2 \]
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So, if we know how a program was derived in the corresponding grammar, we can indeed compile it – i.e., represent it as a sequence of elementary computer operations.

Comment. Of course, as usual, the resulting code can be optimized. For example, in the first quadruple, we assign to $F_3$ the value 4, but then the only thing we do with this variable $F_3$ is assign its value to the variable $T_3$. We could as well directly assign the value 4 to the variable $T_3$, i.e., replace the first two quadruples with an equivalent single one

\[ = T_3 4. \]

The only thing we do with the variable $T_3$ is use it for multiplication. Since we know that the value of $T_3$ is 4, why not directly use 4 in this multiplication, i.e., replace this quadruple and the multiplication quadruple with a single one

\[ * F_2 4 T_2. \]

We can continue simplifying the code. As a result, we will have only two quadruples left:

\[ * 3 4 E_2 \]
\[ + 2 E_2 E_1. \]

How we described compiling so far. So far, we have described the priority-based approach to compiling. In some simple programming languages and their fragments, we can apply a different algorithm for compiling, known as $LL(k)$.

How some languages are actually compiled. To compile, the computer reads the program symbol-by-symbol. Eventually, after we read a sufficient number of symbols, we understand which rules needs to be applied.

For example, if a line starts with letter $i$:

- this could means $if$, the beginning of a conditional statement;
- this could mean $int$, the definition of an integer variable;
- this could mean the letter in the long name of a variable, e.g., the beginning of an assignment statement,
and in all these cases, the meaning of this line is different. It becomes clear when we reach space or assignment sign or opening parenthesis, and this can take a lot of symbols.

In some languages, however, there is a limit on variable length, a limit on many other constructions. In many such languages, there is an integer \( k \) so that when we read \( k \) symbols, we know what rule to apply. Such languages are known as \( LL(k) \) languages, where \( L \) stands for Left – since we read the program from left to right.

**How do we compile an \( LL(k) \) language.** For each such language, for each sequence of \( k \) symbols, we know which rule of the grammar to apply. In the computer, this information is stored in a table.

When we see a symbol, we read \( k - 1 \) next symbols, and then look into the table to see which rule should be applied for the resulting sequence of \( k \) symbols.

**An example of an \( LL(1) \) language.** Let us give a simple example of such a language in which \( k = 1 \), i.e., in which just reading one symbol enables us to select the corresponding rule.

This language has the starting variable \( S \), an additional variable \( F \), four terminal symbols (, ), +, \( a \), and the following three rules:

1. \( S \rightarrow F \)
2. \( S \rightarrow (S + F) \)
3. \( F \rightarrow a \)

**What words can be derived in this language?** We start with the starting variable \( S \). To this variable, we can apply either Rule 1 or Rule 2.

If we apply Rule 1, then we get the word \( F \). We want to have a word that only has terminal symbols, so we must eliminate the variable \( F \). To eliminate \( F \), there is only one rule: Rule 3. If we apply this rule, we get the word \( a \).

If we apply the second rule to the starting variable \( S \) then we get the word \( (S + F) \). We want to have a word that only has terminal symbols, so we must eliminate both variables \( S \) and \( F \). To eliminate \( F \), there is only one rule: Rule 3. If we apply this rule, we get the word \( (S + a) \). To eliminate \( S \), we can use either Rule 1 or Rule 2.

- If we apply Rule 1, we get the word \( (a + a) \).
- If we apply Rule 2, we get a word \( ((S + F) + a) \). By applying Rule 3, we get \( ((S + a) + a) \).
What can we do with the word \((S + a) + a\)?

- If we apply Rule 1 to eliminate \(S\), we get the word 
  \[ ((a + a) + a). \]

- If we apply Rule 2, we get the word \(((S + F) + a) + a\), etc.

So, the language of all the words derived in this grammar has the following form:

\[ \{a, (a + a), ((a + a) + a), (((a + a) + a) + a), \ldots \}. \]

**Let us design a table for this language.** Let us brainstorm. As you probably remember, when we transformed finite automata into a context-free grammar, variables of a grammar corresponded to states of the corresponding automaton.

In the beginning, we are in the start state corresponding to the starting variable \(S\).

- In the start state, if the first symbol you see is \(a\), then as shown by the above analysis, the first rule to apply is the first rule \(S \rightarrow F\): indeed, if we apply Rule 2, we will end with a word that starts with the opening parenthesis \(\). We will denote this by placing 1 in the table’s cell corresponding to the state \(S\) and the symbol \(a\).

- On the other hand, if you see the opening parenthesis \(\), this means that we need to apply Rule 2. We will thus place 2 in the corresponding cell.

- If we see any other symbol, this means that the word does not belong to this language. We will denote this by \(-\).

If we are in the state \(F\) – i.e., if we are ready to apply a rule starting with \(F\) – then the only rule that we can apply is Rule 3, and the only symbol that we get this way is the symbol \(a\). Thus, if in this state, we see symbol \(a\), we use Rule 3, if we see any other symbol, we cannot apply any rule.

**Resulting table.** Here is the resulting table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( )</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(S)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**An example of using the table for compiling: general idea.** Let us show how, by using this table, we can find the derivation of the word 

\[(a + a)\]

In the beginning, we are in the start state \(S\). So, what we need to do is to find a sequence of rule applications that lead from the starting variable \(S\) to the word \((a + a)\).
In other words, we start with two words:


g + g \text{ and } S$

and we need to find the sequence of rules applied to the second word after which the two words will match -- i.e., become identical.

**Trying to match.** The first symbol of the second word is $S$, the first symbol of the first word is $. According to the table, we have to apply Rule 2, i.e., we perform the transition

\[ S \rightarrow (S + F) \]

According to Rule 2, the variable $S$ get transformed into the expression

\[ (S + F) \]

So now, we need to match our word $(g + g)$ with this expression:

\[ (g + g) \text{ and } (S + F) \]

**Matching the first symbols.** The first symbols of the words $(g + g)$ and $(S + F)$ match, so what we need is to match the remaining parts of the words, i.e., the words

\[ g + g \text{ and } S + F \]

**Trying to match (cont-d).** The first symbol of the second word that we need to match is $S$, and the first symbol in the first word is $g$. So, according to the table, we need to use Rule 1 $(S \rightarrow F)$, i.e., to perform a transition

\[ S \rightarrow (S + F) \rightarrow (F + F) \]

When we apply Rule 1 to the word $S + F)$, we get $F + F)$. Thus, now, we need to match the words:

\[ g + g \text{ and } F + F \]

**Matching the next symbols.** The first symbol of the second word that we need to match is the symbol $F$ (the first of the two symbols $F$), and the first symbol of the first word is $g$. Thus, according to the table, we need to use Rule 3, i.e., replace the first occurrence of $F$ with the letter $a$:

\[ S \rightarrow (S + F) \rightarrow (F + F) \rightarrow (a + F) \]

When we apply Rule 2 to the first symbol $F$ of the word $F + F)$, this word turns into $a + F)$. So now, we need to match the words

\[ g + g \text{ and } a + F \]
We continue matching. The first two symbols $a$ and $+$ match, so what is left is to match the remaining parts of these two words:

$a)$ and $F$

Matching the next symbols. The first symbol of the second word that we need to match is $F$, and the first symbol of the first word is $a$. So, according to the table, we need to use Rule 3: replace $F$ with $a$. In this case, the previous derivation extends to

$$S \rightarrow (S+F) \rightarrow (F+F) \rightarrow (a+F) \rightarrow (a+a)$$

When we apply this rule $F \rightarrow a$ to the word $F$, we get $a)$. So now, we need to match the words $a)$ and $a)$.

These words are identical, so we are done.

Resulting derivation. The above derivation – sequence of rules that we found by looking at the table – provides the desired derivation of the word $(a+a)$:

$$S \rightarrow (S+F) \rightarrow (F+F) \rightarrow (a+F) \rightarrow (a+a).$$

Practice. Use this algorithm to derive other words from the above language.

An example where a word does not belong to the language. Let us show how the use of table will help us find out when a word does not belong to the language. Namely, let us show that the word $(a+a)$ does not belong to our language.

In the beginning, we are in the start state $S$. So, what we need to do is to find a sequence of rule applications that lead from the starting variable $S$ to the word $(a+a)$.

In other words, we start with two words:

$(a+a$ and $S$

and we need to find the sequence of rules applied to the second word after which the two words will match – i.e., become identical.

The first symbol of the second word is $S$, the first symbol of the first word is $(. According to the table, we have to apply Rule 2, i.e., we perform the transition

$$S \rightarrow (S+F)$$

According to Rule 2, the variable $S$ get transformed into the expression

$(S+F)$
So now, we need to match our word \((a + a)\) with this expression:

\[
(a + a \text{ and } (S + F))
\]

The first symbols of the words \((a + a)\) and \((S + F)\) match, so what we need is to match the remaining parts of the words, i.e., the words

\[
a + a \text{ and } S + F
\]

The first symbol of the second word that we need to match is \(S\), and the first symbol in the first word is \(a\). So, according to the table, we need to use Rule 1 \((S \rightarrow F)\), i.e., to perform a transition

\[
S \rightarrow (S + F) \rightarrow (F + F)
\]

When we apply Rule 1 to the word \(S + F\), we get \(F + F\). Thus, now, we need to match the words:

\[
a + a \text{ and } F + F
\]

The first symbol of the second word that we need to match is the symbol \(F\) (the first of the two symbols \(F\)), and the first symbol of the first word is \(a\). Thus, according to the table, we need to use Rule 3, i.e., replace the first occurrence of \(F\) with the letter \(a\):

\[
S \rightarrow (S + F) \rightarrow (E + F) \rightarrow (a + F)
\]

When we apply Rule 2 to the first symbol \(F\) of the word \(F + F\), this word turns into \(a + F\). So now, we need to match the words

\[
a + a \text{ and } a + F
\]

The first two symbols \(a\) and + match, so what is left is to match the remaining parts of these two words:

\[
a \text{ and } F
\]

The first symbol of the second word that we need to match is \(F\), and the first symbol of the first word is \(a\). So, according to the table, we need to use Rule 3: replace \(F\) with \(a\). In this case, the previous derivation extends to

\[
S \rightarrow (S + F) \rightarrow (E + F) \rightarrow (a + F) \rightarrow (a + a)
\]

When we apply this rule \(F \rightarrow a\) to the word \(F\), we get \(a\). So now, we need to match the words

\[
a \text{ and } a
\]

These words are different, and there are no variables left that we can replace and make the words match. So, we conclude that the word \((a + a)\) does not belong to our language.
**Another example of an LL(1) language.** Another example of an LL(1) language is a language with which we started the study of context-free grammars: the language

\[ \{a^n b^n : n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \} = \{\Lambda, ab, aabb, aaabbb, \ldots\} \]

which is described by a grammar with one variable \( S \), two terminal symbols \( a \) and \( b \), and two rules:

1. \( S \to \epsilon \)
2. \( S \to aSb \)

**Let us design a table for this language.** Let us brainstorm. As you remember, when we transformed finite automata into a context-free grammar, variables of a grammar corresponded to states of the corresponding automaton. In this case, we have only one variable \( S \), so we have only one state \( S \).

The desired language consists of an empty word and words of the type \( ab, aabb, \ldots \). The empty string \( \Lambda \) is obtained by using Rule 1:

\[ S \to \epsilon. \]

All other words are obtained if we first apply Rule 2 several times and then, at the end, Rule 1. For example, the word \( ab \) can be obtained if we apply Rule 2 once:

\[ S \to aSb \to ab; \]

The word \( aabb \) is obtained if we apply the Rule 2 twice:

\[ S \to aSb \to aabb \to aabb. \]

So:

- If in the start state, we send end-of-line symbol eol, this means that we have an empty string \( \Lambda \), so we need to apply Rule 1.
- If we see symbol \( a \), this means that we need to apply Rule 2.
- If we see symbol \( b \), this means that we have went through all \( a \)'s, so now we need to apply Rule 1.

Here is the resulting table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( a )</th>
<th>( b )</th>
<th>eol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( S )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comment.** In the previous example, we did not need to invoke end-of-line symbol: this is only needed when we can march a variable with a remaining
empty part of the given string, i.e., in effect, when have a rule of the type
A → ε for some variable A.

**Examples.** Let us show how, by using this table, we can find the derivation
of the words Λ, ab, and aabb – and thus show that these words belong to the
language, and let us also show that, e.g., the word abb does not belong to this
language.

**Empty string.** We are in the state S, so we need to match the empty string
Λ with the expression S:

Λ and S.

The string is empty, so the first symbol we see is the end-of-line symbol eol.
According to the table, we have to use Rule 1, so the transition takes the form

S → ε.

Replacing S with ε, the matching turns into

Λ and ε.

On both sides, we get empty strings – a perfect match, so we are done. We
conclude that the empty string belongs to the language – and S → ε is the
derivation of this string in our grammar.

**String ab.** We are in the state S, so we need to match the string ab with the
expression S:

ab and S.

The first symbol we see is the symbol a. According to the table, we have to use
Rule 2, so the transition takes the form

S → aSb.

Replacing S with aSb, the matching turns into

ab and aSb.

The first symbols in these two expressions match, so the remaining expression-
to-match are as follows:

b and Sb.

Now, we need to match S with the word whose first symbol is b, so, according
to the table, we use Rule 1. The derivation thus continues:

S → aSb → ab.

Replacing S with an empty string in the expression Sb that we try to match,
we conclude that we need to match

b and b.
These two expressions match, so we are done. We conclude that the string \( ab \) belongs to the language – and \( S \rightarrow aSb \rightarrow ab \) is the derivation of this string in our grammar.

**String** \( aabb \). We are in the state \( S \), so we need to match the string \( aabb \) with the expression \( S \):

\[
aabb \text{ and } S.
\]

The first symbol we see is the symbol \( a \). According to the table, we have to use Rule 2, so the transition takes the form

\[
S \rightarrow aSb.
\]

Replacing \( S \) with \( aSb \), the matching turns into

\[
aabb \text{ and } aSb.
\]

The first symbols in these two expressions match, so the remaining expression-to-match are as follows:

\[
abb \text{ and } Sb.
\]

Now, we need to match \( S \) with the word whose first symbol is \( a \), so, according to the table, we use Rule 2. The derivation thus continues:

\[
S \rightarrow aSb \rightarrow aaSbb.
\]

Replacing \( S \) with an expression \( aSb \) in the expression \( Sb \) that we try to match, we conclude that we need to match

\[
abb \text{ and } aSbb.
\]

The first symbols in these two expressions match, so the remaining expression-to-match are as follows:

\[
abb \text{ and } Sbb.
\]

Now, we need to match \( S \) with the word whose first symbol is \( b \), so, according to the table, we use Rule 1. The derivation thus continues:

\[
S \rightarrow aSb \rightarrow aaSbb \rightarrow aabb.
\]

Replacing \( S \) with an empty string in the expression \( Sbb \) that we try to match, we conclude that we need to match

\[
abb \text{ and } b.
\]

These two expressions match, so we are done. We conclude that the string \( aabb \) belongs to the language – and \( S \rightarrow aSb \rightarrow aaSbb \rightarrow aabb \) is the derivation of this string in our grammar.

**String** \( abb \). We are in the state \( S \), so we need to match the string \( abb \) with the expression \( S \):

\[
abb \text{ and } S.
\]
The first symbol we see is the symbol $a$. According to the table, we have to use Rule 2, so the transition takes the form

$$S \rightarrow aSb.$$  

Replacing $S$ with $aSb$, the matching turns into

$$abb$$

and

$$aSb.$$  

The first symbols in these two expressions match, so the remaining expression-to-match are as follows:

$$bb$$

and

$$Sb.$$  

Now, we need to match $S$ with the word whose first symbol is $b$, so, according to the table, we use Rule 1. The derivation thus continues:

$$S \rightarrow aSb \rightarrow ab.$$  

Replacing $S$ with an empty string in the expression $Sb$ that we try to match, we conclude that we need to match

$$bb$$

and

$$b.$$  

These two expressions do not match, and there are no more variables left – so we cannot replace any variables and make the two expressions match. We therefore conclude that the string $abb$ does not belong to the language.