

Even for non-point events, causality implies the Lorentz group

Mikhail Auguston¹, Misha Koshelev², and Olga Kosheleva³

¹Department of Mathematical Sciences
New Mexico State University
Las Cruces, NM 88003
email mikau@nmsu.edu

²Department of Computer Science

³Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
University of Texas at El Paso
El Paso, TX 79968
emails mkosh@cs.utep.edu, olga@ece.utep.edu

Abstract

There are many results that show that causality is indeed the fundamental notion of physics. In particular, it is known that for point-wise events, causality implies the Lorentz group. In quantum field theory, it is known that point-wise particles lead to divergencies and therefore, non-point particles are necessary (e.g., strings). Since particles are non-point, events occurring to these particles are also non-point events. In this paper, we show that even if we consider non-point events, causality still implies the Lorentz group. In other words, even for non-point events, the notion of causality is still fundamental.

1 Introduction

1.1 For point events, causality implies the Lorentz group

One of the most fundamental physical notions is the notion of *causality*. Many notions can be described in terms of causality: e.g., from the causality relation of special relativity, we can uniquely determine the linear structure on the space-time [1, 2, 15, 9, 10, 3, 11, 12, 6, 7, 13, 14, 8].

The successful reformulation of different physical concepts in terms of causality relation lead many physicists to believe that causality is the “only physical variable” in the sense that everything else can be described in terms of it (see, e.g., [4, 5]).

In formal terms, the above result states the following:

Definition 1. *By M , we will denote a 4-dimensional space R^4 .*

- *Elements of the set M will be called events.*
- *We say that an event a precedes event b (or causally precedes b), and denote it by $a \leq b$, if*

$$b_0 - a_0 \geq \sqrt{(b_1 - a_1)^2 + (b_2 - a_2)^2 + (b_3 - a_3)^2}.$$

Theorem. (Alexandrov–Zeeman) *Let $f : M \rightarrow M$ be a 1-1 mapping of M onto itself such that $a \leq b$ if and only if $f(a) \leq f(b)$. Then, f is linear. Moreover, f is a composition of a Lorentz transformation, a shift in 4D space-time, a 3D rotation, and a dilation.*

1.2 In quantum field theory, events are no longer point-wise

In the above result, we assumed that an event is a point in space-time, i.e., moment of time in the life of a point-wise particle. In quantum field theory, it is known that point-wise particles lead to divergencies; therefore, to avoid physically meaningless *infinities* and get physically meaningful *finite* values of physical quantities, we must consider non-point particles as well. For example, a consistent theory can be built on the assumption that particles are not *points* but 1D *strings* in space.

When a *particle* is not necessarily a point in space but may be a *set* of spatial points, an *event* in the life of a particle (e.g., the event of transforming one

particle into another) is also no longer a point in space-time, it may correspond to a *set* of points in space-time.

So, in quantum case, we get a set of events some of which are points in space-time and some of which are *sets* of points. From the mathematical viewpoint, points in space-time can be viewed as one-point sets, so we can simplify the mathematical picture by saying that *all* events are sets of points.

How can we define causality relation for these events? In general, the causality relation $a \leq b$ does not mean that the event a *necessarily* influenced the event b ; it means simply that a *could* influence b . So, to define the causality relation between non-point events $A, B \subseteq M$, we must describe when an event A *could* influence the event B . Both events consist of several points in space-time, so A could influence B if and only if some point event comprising A could influence one of the point events which constitute the non-point event B . In mathematical terms, we thus say that $A \leq B$ if and only if $a \leq b$ for *some* $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

Comment. The reader should be warned that although we use the *same symbol* \leq to describe the old causality relation (between point events) and the new causality relation (between non-point events), some *properties* of the new causality relation are quite different from the properties of the old one. For example:

- For point events, causality is transitive: if $a \leq b$ and $b \leq c$, then $a \leq c$.
- However, the new relation \leq is not always transitive: e.g., if for every real number r , we denote $a_r = (r, 0, 0, 0)$, and take $A = \{a_2, a_3\}$, $B = \{a_1, a_2\}$, and $C = \{a_0, a_1\}$, then, as one can easily check, we have $A \leq B$, $B \leq C$, but $A \not\leq C$.

This non-transitivity may not sound so strange if we recall that \leq means “can precede”, i.e., “can influence”. In the above example:

- A can influence B , and
- B can influence C ,
- but for A to be able to influence C we need *both* influences (A on B , and B on C), and
 - although each of these influences can happen on its own,
 - both of them cannot happen.

With this re-formulation, the non-transitivity is becoming no more surprising than, e.g., the fact that in traditional quantum mechanics:

- we can measure, with arbitrary accuracy, the *position* of a particle, and
- we can measure, with an arbitrary accuracy, this particle's *momentum*,
- but we cannot measure *both* position and momentum.

1.3 Formulation of the problem

Now, comes the question: *If we have the set of events (some point-wise, some non-point events), and if we only know the causality relation between these events, will we be still able to reconstruct the linear structure of space-time?*

In other words: *Will the notion of causality be still fundamental if we take the non-point events into consideration?*

Our answer to this question is: *Yes, causality is still fundamental.*

2 Definitions and the Main Result

Definition 2. *Let $M = R^4$.*

- *By a general event, we mean an arbitrary subset of the set M .*
- *We say that a general event A can precede a general event B , and denote it by $A \leq B$, if there exists points $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ for which $a \leq b$. The relation \leq will be called *causality relation* for general events.*
- *By a set of events, we mean a set E of general events that includes all one-point events.*

Theorem. *Let E be a set of events, and let $F : E \rightarrow E$ be a 1-1 mapping of the set E onto itself such that $A \leq B$ if and only if $F(A) \leq F(B)$. Then, there exists a linear mapping $f : M \rightarrow M$ such that for each one-point event $\{a\}$, $F(\{a\}) = \{f(a)\}$. Moreover, f is a composition of a Lorentz transformation, a shift in 4D space-time, a rotation in 3D space, and a dilation.*

Comment. In other words, even for non-point events, causality still implies Lorentz group.

3 Proof

1. We start with a set of events E and a causality relation \leq on this set. We do not know which of these events are one-point events, and which are not. Let us show that *we can determine whether an event A is a one-point event or not only by analyzing the causality relation.*

1.1. First, let us show that *if $a \in A$, then for every event $B \in E$:*

- if $B \leq \{a\}$, then $B \leq A$, and
- if $\{a\} \leq B$, then $A \leq B$.

Without losing generality, let us prove the first implication (the second implication is proved similarly). By definition of the causality relation \leq between events, $\{a\} \leq B$ means that $a' \leq b$ for some $a' \in \{a\}$ and $b \in B$. The only element a' in the set $\{a\}$ is the element a , so we can conclude that $a \leq b$ for some $b \in B$. Thus, $a \leq b$, where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, which, by definition, means that $A \leq B$.

1.2. So, *if A is not a one-point set, then there exists a set $A' \neq A$ with the following property:*

(*) For every event B :

- if $B \leq A'$, then $B \leq A$, and
- if $A' \leq B$, then $A \leq B$.

Indeed, according to point 1.1, as A' , we can take a set $\{a\}$ for any $a \in A$.

1.3. Let us show that *if A is a one-point set, i.e., if $A = \{a\}$ for some $a \in A$, then there cannot be a set $A' \neq A$ for which the property (*) is true.*

Indeed, let $A = \{a\}$ be a one-point set, and let A' be a set for which the condition (*) holds. Let us show that $A' = A$. Indeed, let a' be an arbitrary point from the set A' . Let us use the condition (*) for $B = \{a'\}$.

By definition of a causality relation between events, we have $B = \{a'\} \leq A'$ and therefore, due to condition (*), we have $B = \{a'\} \leq A = \{a\}$. By definition of \leq , this means that $a' \leq a$.

Similarly, from the second condition, we conclude that $a \leq a'$. Since a and a' are both points from M , from $a \leq a'$ and $a' \leq a$, we conclude that $a' = a$. Thus, every point $a' \in A'$ is equal to a , so, $A' = \{a\} = A$. The statement is proven.

1.4. So, we can define one-point set exclusively in terms of the causality relation \leq : an event A is a one-point set if and only if there does not exist another event $A' \neq A$ for which the condition (*) is true.

2. Since we have defined one-point sets exclusively in terms of the causality relation, any 1-1 mapping which preserves this relation, therefore, preserves the property “to be a one-point set”. Thus, for every set $A = \{a\}$, the result $F(A)$ of the causality-preserving mapping F is also a one-point set, i.e., $F(A) = \{a'\}$ for some $a' \in M$. Let us denote the corresponding point a' by $f(a)$. Thus, f is a 1-1-mapping from M to M .

For one-point sets, the new causality relation $\{a\} \leq \{b\}$ coincides exactly with the old one $a \leq b$, so, from the fact that the mapping F preserves causality, we can conclude that the mapping $f : M \rightarrow M$ preserves causality as well. Thus, from the Alexandrov-Zeeman theorem, we can conclude that f is a linear mapping and moreover, that f is a composition of a Lorentz transformation, a shift in 4-space, and a dilation.

The theorem is proven.

Acknowledgments. The author are thankful to V. Kreinovich and A. Kuzminykh for valuable discussions.

References

- [1] A. D. Alexandrov, “On Lorentz transformations”, *Uspekhi Math. Nauk*, 1950, Vol. 5, No. 3 (37), p. 187 (in Russian).
- [2] A. D. Alexandrov, V. V. Ovchinnikova, “Remarks on the foundations of special relativity”, *Leningrad University Vestnik*, 1953, No. 11, pp. 94–110 (in Russian).
- [3] W. Benz, “A characterization of plane Lorentz transformations”, *Journal of Geometry*, 1977, Vol. 10, pp. 45–56.
- [4] D. Finkelstein and J. M. Gibbs, “Quantum relativity”, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 1993, Vol. 32, p. 1801.
- [5] D. Finkelstein, *Quantum relativity*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1996.

- [6] O. M. Kosheleva, V. Kreinovich, and P. G. Vroegindewey, “An extension of a theorem of A. D. Alexandrov to a class of partially ordered fields”, *Proceedings of the Royal Academy of Science of Netherlands*, 1979, Vol. 82(3), Series A, September 21, pp. 363–376.
- [7] O. M. Kosheleva, V. Kreinovich, and P. G. Vroegindewey. *Note on a physical application of the main theorem of chronogeometry*, Technological University, Eindhoven, Netherlands, 1979, 7 pp.
- [8] V. Kreinovich, “Approximately measured causality implies the Lorentz group: Alexandrov-Zeeman result made more realistic”. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 1994, Vol. 33, No. 8, pp. 1733–1747.
- [9] A. V. Kuz'minykh, “Characterization of Lorentz transformations”, *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, 1975, Vol. 16, No. 6, pp. 1626–1628.
- [10] A. V. Kuz'minykh, “Minimal condition determining the Lorentz transformations”, *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, 1976, Vol. 17, No. 6, pp. 968–972.
- [11] J. A. Lester, “On null cone preserving mappings”, *Proceedings of Cambridge Mathematical Society*, 1977, Vol. 81, pp. 455–462.
- [12] J. A. Lester, “Cone preserving mappings for quadratic cones over arbitrary fields”, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 1977, Vol. 29, pp. 1247–1253.
- [13] J. A. Lester, “A physical characterization of conformal transformations of Minkowski spacetime”, *Annals of Discrete Mathematics*, 1983, Vol. 18, pp. 567–574.
- [14] G. L. Naber, *The geometry of Minkowski space-time*, Springer-Verlag, N.Y., 1992.
- [15] E. C. Zeeman, “Causality implies the Lorentz group”, *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 1964, Vol. 5, pp. 490–493.