

From Interval Computations to Constraint-Related Set Computations: Towards Faster Estimation of Statistics and ODEs under Interval and p-Box Uncertainty

Martine Ceberio, Vladik Kreinovich,
and Andrzej Pownuk
University of Texas, El Paso, Texas 79968, USA
emails mceberio@cs.utep.edu, vladik@utep.edu

Interval Part - Overview

Straightforward...

Discussion

Reason for Excess Width

Constraint-Based Set...

From Main Idea to...

Implementing...

Limitations of This...

Estimating Variance...

Other Statistical...

Dynamical Systems...

Possibility to Take...

p-Boxes and Classes of...

Set Computations for...

Acknowledgments

This Page

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Page 1 of 26

Go Back

1. Outline

- *Interval computations*: at each intermediate stage of the computation, we have intervals of possible values of the corresponding quantities.
- In our previous papers, we proposed an extension of this technique to *set computations*.
- *Set computations*: on each stage, in addition to intervals of possible values of the quantities, we also keep sets of possible values of pairs (triples, etc.).
- In this paper, we consider several practical problems:
 - estimating statistics (variance, correlation, etc.),
 - solving ordinary differential equations (ODEs).
- For these problems, the new formalism enables us to find estimates in feasible (polynomial) time.

Interval Arithmetic

Straightforward...

Discussion

Reason for Excess Width

Constraint-Based Set...

From Main Idea to...

Implementing...

Limitations of This...

Estimating Variance...

Other Statistical...

Dynamical Systems...

Possibility to Take...

p-Boxes and Classes of...

Set Computations for...

Acknowledgments

Title Page



Page 2 of 26

Go Back

2. Need for Data Processing

- *Problem:* in many real-life situations, we are interested in the value of a physical quantity y that is difficult or impossible to measure directly.
- *Examples:* distance to a star, amount of oil in a well.
- *Solution:*
 - find easier-to-measure quantities x_1, \dots, x_n which are related to y by a known relation $y = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$;
 - measure or estimate the values of the quantities x_1, \dots, x_n ; results are $\tilde{x}_i \approx x_i$;
 - estimate y as $\tilde{y} = f(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n)$.
- Computing \tilde{y} is called *data processing*.
- *Comment:* algorithm f can be complex, e.g., solving ODEs.

Straightforward...

Discussion

Reason for Excess Width

Constraint-Based Set...

From Main Idea to...

Implementing...

Limitations of This...

Estimating Variance...

Other Statistical...

Dynamical Systems...

Possibility to Take...

p-Boxes and Classes of...

Set Computations for...

Acknowledgments

Title Page



Page 3 of 26

Go Back

3. Measurement Uncertainty

- *Measurement errors*: measurement are never 100% accurate, so $\Delta x_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{x}_i - x_i \neq 0$.
- *Result*: the estimate $\tilde{y} = f(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n)$ is, in general, different from the actual value $y = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.
- *Problem*: based on the information about Δx_i , estimate the error $\Delta y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{y} - y$.
- *What do we know about Δx_i* : the manufacturer of the measuring instrument (MI) supplies an upper bound Δ_i :

$$|\Delta x_i| \leq \Delta_i.$$

- *Interval uncertainty*: $x_i \in [\tilde{x}_i - \Delta_i, \tilde{x}_i + \Delta_i]$.

Straightforward...

Discussion

Reason for Excess Width

Constraint-Based Set...

From Main Idea to...

Implementing...

Limitations of This...

Estimating Variance...

Other Statistical...

Dynamical Systems...

Possibility to Take...

p-Boxes and Classes of...

Set Computations for...

Acknowledgments

Title Page



Page 4 of 26

Go Back

4. Measurement Uncertainty: from Probabilities to Intervals

- *Reminder*: we know that $\Delta x_i \in [-\Delta_i, \Delta_i]$.
- *Probabilistic uncertainty*: often, we also know the probability of different values $\Delta x_i \in [\Delta_i, \Delta_i]$.
- We can determine these probabilities by using standard measuring instruments.
- Two cases when this is not done:
 - cutting edge measurements (e.g., Hubble telescope);
 - manufacturing.
- In these cases, we have a purely interval uncertainty.

Straightforward...

Discussion

Reason for Excess Width

Constraint-Based Set...

From Main Idea to...

Implementing...

Limitations of This...

Estimating Variance...

Other Statistical...

Dynamical Systems...

Possibility to Take...

p-Boxes and Classes of...

Set Computations for...

Acknowledgments

Title Page



Page 5 of 26

Go Back

5. Interval Part: Outline

- We start by recalling the basic techniques of interval computations and their drawbacks.
- Then we will describe the new set computation techniques.
- We describe a class of problems for which these techniques are efficient.
- Finally, we talk about how we can extend these techniques to other types of uncertainty (e.g., classes of probability distributions).

Straightforward...

Discussion

Reason for Excess Width

Constraint-Based Set...

From Main Idea to...

Implementing...

Limitations of This...

Estimating Variance...

Other Statistical...

Dynamical Systems...

Possibility to Take...

p-Boxes and Classes of...

Set Computations for...

Acknowledgments

Title Page



Page 6 of 26

Go Back

6. Straightforward Interval Computations: Main Idea

- *Parsing*: inside the computer, every algorithm consists of elementary operations (arithmetic operations, min, max, etc.).
- *Interval arithmetic*: for each elementary operation $f(a, b)$, if we know the intervals \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} , we can compute the exact range $f(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$:

$$[\underline{a}, \bar{a}] + [\underline{b}, \bar{b}] = [\underline{a} + \underline{b}, \bar{a} + \bar{b}]; \quad [\underline{a}, \bar{a}] - [\underline{b}, \bar{b}] = [\underline{a} - \bar{b}, \bar{a} - \underline{b}];$$

$$[\underline{a}, \bar{a}] \cdot [\underline{b}, \bar{b}] = [\min(\underline{a} \cdot \underline{b}, \underline{a} \cdot \bar{b}, \bar{a} \cdot \underline{b}, \bar{a} \cdot \bar{b}), \max(\underline{a} \cdot \underline{b}, \underline{a} \cdot \bar{b}, \bar{a} \cdot \underline{b}, \bar{a} \cdot \bar{b})];$$

$$\frac{1}{[\underline{a}, \bar{a}]} = \left[\frac{1}{\bar{a}}, \frac{1}{\underline{a}} \right] \text{ if } 0 \notin [\underline{a}, \bar{a}]; \quad \frac{[\underline{a}, \bar{a}]}{[\underline{b}, \bar{b}]} = [\underline{a}, \bar{a}] \cdot \frac{1}{[\underline{b}, \bar{b}]}.$$

- *Main idea*: replace each elementary operation in f by the corresponding operation of interval arithmetic.
- *Known*: we get an enclosure $\mathbf{Y} \supseteq \mathbf{y}$ for the desired range.

Straightforward...

Discussion

Reason for Excess Width

Constraint-Based Set...

From Main Idea to...

Implementing...

Limitations of This...

Estimating Variance...

Other Statistical...

Dynamical Systems...

Possibility to Take...

p-Boxes and Classes of...

Set Computations for...

Acknowledgments

Title Page



Page 7 of 26

Go Back

7. Discussion

- *Fact:* not every real number can be exactly implemented in a computer.
- *Conclusion:* after implementing an operation of interval arithmetic, we must enclose the result $[r^-, r^+]$ in a computer-representable interval:
 - round-off r^- to a smaller computer-representable value \underline{r} , and
 - round-off r^+ to a larger computer-representable value \bar{r} .
- *Computation time:* increase by a factor of ≤ 4 .
- *Computing exact range:* NP-hard.
- *Conclusion:* excess width is inevitable.
- *More accurate techniques exist:* centered form, bisection, etc.

Straightforward...

Discussion

Reason for Excess Width

Constraint-Based Set...

From Main Idea to...

Implementing...

Limitations of This...

Estimating Variance...

Other Statistical...

Dynamical Systems...

Possibility to Take...

p-Boxes and Classes of...

Set Computations for...

Acknowledgments

Title Page



Page 8 of 26

Go Back

8. Reason for Excess Width

- *Main reason:*
 - intermediate results are dependent on each other;
 - straightforward interval computations ignore this.
- *Example:* the range of $f(x_1) = x_1 - x_1^2$ over $\mathbf{x}_1 = [0, 1]$ is $\mathbf{y} = [0, 0.25]$.
- *Parsing:*
 - we first compute $x_2 := x_1^2$,
 - then subtract x_2 from x_1 .
- *Straightforward interval computations:*
 - compute $\mathbf{r} = [0, 1]^2 = [0, 1]$,
 - then $\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2 = [0, 1] - [0, 1] = [-1, 1]$.
- *Illustration:* the values of x_1 and x_2 are not independent: x_2 is uniquely determined by x_1 , as $x_2 = x_1^2$.

Interval Arithmetic

Discussion

Reason for Excess Width

Constraint-Based Set...

From Main Idea to...

Implementing...

Limitations of This...

Estimating Variance...

Other Statistical...

Dynamical Systems...

Possibility to Take...

p-Boxes and Classes of...

Set Computations for...

Acknowledgments

Title Page



Page 9 of 26

Go Back

9. Constraint-Based Set Computations

- *Main idea* (Shary): at every computation stage, we also keep *sets*:
 - sets \mathbf{x}_{ij} of possible values of pairs (x_i, x_j) ;
 - if needed, sets \mathbf{x}_{ijk} of possible values of triples (x_i, x_j, x_k) .
- *Example*: instead of just keeping two intervals $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}_2 = [0, 1]$, we would then also generate and keep the set $\mathbf{x}_{12} = \{(x_1, x_1^2) \mid x_1 \in [0, 1]\}$.
- *Result*: Then, the desired range is computed as the range of $x_1 - x_2$ over this set – which is exactly $[0, 0.25]$.

- *Set arithmetic*: e.g., if $x_k := x_i + x_j$, we set

$$\mathbf{x}_{ik} = \{(x_i, x_i + x_j) \mid (x_i, x_j) \in \mathbf{x}_{ij}\},$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{jk} = \{(x_j, x_i + x_j) \mid (x_i, x_j) \in \mathbf{x}_{ij}\},$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{kl} = \{(x_i + x_j, x_l) \mid (x_i, x_j) \in \mathbf{x}_{ij}, (x_i, x_l) \in \mathbf{x}_{il}, (x_j, x_l) \in \mathbf{x}_{jl}\}.$$

Straightforward...

Discussion

Reason for Excess Width

Constraint-Based Set...

From Main Idea to...

Implementing...

Limitations of This...

Estimating Variance...

Other Statistical...

Dynamical Systems...

Possibility to Take...

p-Boxes and Classes of...

Set Computations for...

Acknowledgments

Title Page



Page 10 of 26

Go Back

10. From Main Idea to Actual Computer Implementation

- We fix the number C of granules (e.g., $C = 10$).
- We divide each interval \mathbf{x}_i into C equal parts \mathbf{X}_i .
- Thus each box $\mathbf{x}_i \times \mathbf{x}_j$ is divided into C^2 subboxes $\mathbf{X}_i \times \mathbf{X}_j$.
- We then describe each set \mathbf{x}_{ij} by listing all subboxes $\mathbf{X}_i \times \mathbf{X}_j$ which have common elements with \mathbf{x}_{ij} .
- The union of such subboxes is an enclosure for the desired set \mathbf{x}_{ij} .

Straightforward...

Discussion

Reason for Excess Width

Constraint-Based Set...

From Main Idea to...

Implementing...

Limitations of This...

Estimating Variance...

Other Statistical...

Dynamical Systems...

Possibility to Take...

p -Boxes and Classes of...

Set Computations for...

Acknowledgments

Title Page



Page 11 of 26

Go Back

11. Implementing Arithmetic Operations

- *Example:* implementing

$$\mathbf{x}_{ik} = \{(x_i, x_i + x_j) \mid (x_i, x_j) \in \mathbf{x}_{ij}\}.$$

- *Step 1:* we take all the subboxes $\mathbf{X}_i \times \mathbf{X}_j$ that form the set \mathbf{x}_{ij} .
- *Step 2:* for each of these subboxes, we enclosure the corresponding set of pairs

$$\{(x_i, x_i + x_j) \mid (x_i, x_j) \in \mathbf{X}_i \times \mathbf{X}_j\}$$

into a set $\mathbf{X}_i \times (\mathbf{X}_i + \mathbf{X}_j)$.

- *Step 3:* we add all subboxes $\mathbf{X}_i \times \mathbf{X}_k$ intersecting with this set to the enclosure for \mathbf{x}_{ik} .
- *Enclosure property:* we always have enclosure.
- *Relative accuracy:* $1/C$.

Straightforward...

Discussion

Reason for Excess Width

Constraint-Based Set...

From Main Idea to...

Implementing...

Limitations of This...

Estimating Variance...

Other Statistical...

Dynamical Systems...

Possibility to Take...

p-Boxes and Classes of...

Set Computations for...

Acknowledgments

Title Page




Page 12 of 26

Go Back

12. First Example: Computing the Range of $x - x$

- For $f(x) = x - x$ on $[0, 1]$, the actual range is $[0, 0]$;
- *Problem:* straightforward interval computations lead to an enclosure $[0, 1] - [0, 1] = [-1, 1]$.
- In straightforward interval computations:
 - we have $r_1 = x$ with interval $\mathbf{r}_1 = [0, 1]$;
 - we have $r_2 = x$ with interval $\mathbf{x}_2 = [0, 1]$;
 - the variables r_1 and r_2 are dependent, but we ignore this dependence.
- *New approach:* $\mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{r}_2 = [0, 1]$, and \mathbf{r}_{12} :



- The resulting set is the exact range $\{0\} = [0, 0]$.

Interval Arithmetic

Straightforward...

Discussion

Reason for Excess Width

Constraint-Based Set...

From Main Idea to...

Implementing...

Limitations of This...

Estimating Variance...

Other Statistical...

Dynamical Systems...

Possibility to Take...

p-Boxes and Classes of...

Set Computations for...

Acknowledgments

Title Page



Page 13 of 26

Go Back

13. First Example (cont-d)

- *Problem:* compute the range of $f(x) = x - x$ on $[0, 1]$.
- In the new approach: we have $\mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{r}_2 = [0, 1]$, and we also have \mathbf{r}_{12} :

				×
			×	
		×		
	×			
×				

- For each small box, we have $[-0.2, 0.2]$, so the union is $[-0.2, 0.2]$.
- If we divide into more pieces, we get close to 0.

[Straightforward...](#)

[Discussion](#)

[Reason for Excess Width](#)

[Constraint-Based Set...](#)

[From Main Idea to...](#)

[Implementing...](#)

[Limitations of This...](#)

[Estimating Variance...](#)

[Other Statistical...](#)

[Dynamical Systems...](#)

[Possibility to Take...](#)

[p-Boxes and Classes of...](#)

[Set Computations for...](#)

[Acknowledgments](#)

[Title Page](#)




Page 14 of 26

[Go Back](#)

14. Second Example: Computing the Range of $x - x^2$

- *Straightforwad approach:* $r_1 = x$ with $\mathbf{r}_1 = [0, 1]$, $r_2 = x^2$ with $\mathbf{r}_2 = [0, 1]$, $[0, 1] - [0, 1] = [-1, 1] \supset [0, 0.25]$.
- *New approach:* for $\mathbf{R}_1 = [0.2, 0.4]$, we have $\mathbf{R}_1^2 = [0.04, 0.16] \subseteq [0, 0.2]$.
- For $\mathbf{R}_1 = [0.4, 0.6]$, $\mathbf{R}_1^2 = [0.16, 0.25] \subseteq [0, 0.2] \cup [0.2, 0.4]$, etc.



- For each pair $\mathbf{R}_1 \times \mathbf{R}_2$, we have $\mathbf{R}_1 - \mathbf{R}_2 = [-0.2, 0.2]$, $[0, 0.4]$ and $[0.2, 0.6]$.
- So, the union of sets $\mathbf{R}_1 - \mathbf{R}_2$ is $\mathbf{r}_3 = [-0.2, 0.6]$.
- If we divide into more pieces, we get closer to $[0, 0.25]$.

Straightforward...

Discussion

Reason for Excess Width

Constraint-Based Set...

From Main Idea to...

Implementing...

Limitations of This...

Estimating Variance...

Other Statistical...

Dynamical Systems...

Possibility to Take...

p-Boxes and Classes of...

Set Computations for...

Acknowledgments

Title Page



Page 15 of 26

Go Back

15. Limitations of This Approach

- *Fact:* to get an accuracy ε , we must use $\sim 1/\varepsilon$ granules.
- *Reasonable situation:* we want to compute the result with k digits of accuracy, i.e., with accuracy $\varepsilon = 10^{-k}$.
- *Problem:* we must consider exponentially many boxes ($\sim 10^k$).
- *Conclusion:* this method is only applicable when we want to know the desired quantity with a given accuracy (e.g., 10%).

Interval Arithmetic

Straightforward...

Discussion

Reason for Excess Width

Constraint-Based Set...

From Main Idea to...

Implementing...

Limitations of This...

Estimating Variance...

Other Statistical...

Dynamical Systems...

Possibility to Take...

p -Boxes and Classes of...

Set Computations for...

Acknowledgments

Title Page

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 16 of 26

Go Back

16. Estimating Variance under Interval Uncertainty

- *We know:* intervals $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$ of possible values of x_i .
- *We need to compute:* the range of the variance $V = \frac{1}{n} \cdot M - \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot E^2$, where $M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ and $E \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$.
- *Natural idea:* compute $M_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^2$ and $E_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i$:
 $M_0 = E_0 = 0$, $(M_{k+1}, E_{k+1}) = (M_k + x_{k+1}^2, E_k + x_{k+1})$.
- *Set computations:* $\mathbf{p}_0 = \{(M_0, E_0)\} = \{(0, 0)\}$,
 $\mathbf{p}_{k+1} = \{(M_k + x^2, E_k + x) \mid (M_k, E_k) \in \mathbf{p}_k, x \in \mathbf{x}_{k+1}\}$,
$$\mathbf{V} = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \cdot M - \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot E^2 \mid (E, M) \in \mathbf{p}_n \right\}.$$
- *Accuracy:* after n steps, we add the inaccuracy of n/C . Thus, to get $n/C \approx \varepsilon$, we must choose $C = n/\varepsilon$.
- *Computation time:* C^3 subboxes on n steps – $O(n^4)$.

Straightforward...

Discussion

Reason for Excess Width

Constraint-Based Set...

From Main Idea to...

Implementing...

Limitations of This...

Estimating Variance...

Other Statistical...

Dynamical Systems...

Possibility to Take...

p-Boxes and Classes of...

Set Computations for...

Acknowledgments

Title Page



Page 17 of 26

Go Back

17. Other Statistical Characteristics

- *Central moment:* $C_d = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^d$ is a linear combination of d moments $M^{(j)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^j$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$.
- *How to compute:* keep, for each k , the set of possible values of tuples $(M_k^{(1)}, \dots, M_k^{(d)})$, where $M_k^{(j)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^j$.
- *Computation time:* $n \cdot C^{d+1} \sim n^{d+2}$ steps.
- *Covariance:* $C = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot y_i - \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$.
- *How to compute:* keep the values of the triples (C_k, X_k, Y_k) , where $C_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \cdot y_i$, $X_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i$, and $Y_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^k y_i$.
- *Correlation* $\rho = C / \sqrt{V_x \cdot V_y}$: similar.

Straightforward...

Discussion

Reason for Excess Width

Constraint-Based Set...

From Main Idea to...

Implementing...

Limitations of This...

Estimating Variance...

Other Statistical...

Dynamical Systems...

Possibility to Take...

p-Boxes and Classes of...

Set Computations for...

Acknowledgments

Title Page



Page 18 of 26

Go Back

18. Dynamical Systems under Interval Uncertainty

- *Situation:*

$$x_i(t+1) = f_i(x_1(t), \dots, x_m(t), t, a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1(t), \dots, b_l(t)),$$

where:

- the dependence f_i is known,
 - we know the intervals \mathbf{a}_j of possible values of the global parameters a_i , and
 - we know the intervals $\mathbf{b}_j(t)$ of possible values of the noise-like parameters $b_j(t)$.
- *Set computations solution:*
 - keep the set of all possible values of a tuple
$$(x_1(t), \dots, x_m(t), a_1, \dots, a_k),$$
 - use the dynamic equations to get the exact set of possible values of this tuple at the next moment of time.

Straightforward...

Discussion

Reason for Excess Width

Constraint-Based Set...

From Main Idea to...

Implementing...

Limitations of This...

Estimating Variance...

Other Statistical...

Dynamical Systems...

Possibility to Take...

p-Boxes and Classes of...

Set Computations for...

Acknowledgments

Title Page



Page 19 of 26

Go Back

19. Possibility to Take Constraints into Account

- *Traditional formulation:* all combinations of $x_i \in \mathbf{x}_i$ are possible.
- *In practice:* we may have additional constraints on x_i .
- *Example:* $\mathbf{x}_i = [-1, 1]$ and $|x_i - x_{i+1}| \leq \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ (i.e., x_i is smooth).
- *Estimating:* a high-frequency Fourier coefficient

$$f = x_1 - x_2 + x_3 - x_4 + \dots + x_{2n-1} - x_{2n}.$$

- *Usual interval computations:* enclosure $[-2n, 2n]$.
- *Actual range* of $(x_1 - x_2) + (x_3 - x_4) + \dots$ is $[-n \cdot \varepsilon, n \cdot \varepsilon]$.
- *Set computations approach:* keep the set \mathbf{s}_k of pairs (f_k, x_k) , where $f_k = x_1 - x_2 + \dots + (-1)^{k+1} \cdot x_k$, then
$$\mathbf{s}_{k+1} = \{(f_k + (-1)^k \cdot x_{k+1}, x_{k+1}) \mid (f_k, x_k) \in \mathbf{s}_k \ \& \ |x_k - x_{k+1}| \leq \varepsilon\}.$$
- *Result:* almost exact bounds (modulo $1/C$).

Straightforward...

Discussion

Reason for Excess Width

Constraint-Based Set...

From Main Idea to...

Implementing...

Limitations of This...

Estimating Variance...

Other Statistical...

Dynamical Systems...

Possibility to Take...

p-Boxes and Classes of...

Set Computations for...

Acknowledgments

Title Page

◀◀

▶▶

◀

▶


Page 20 of 26

Go Back

20. Toy Example with Prior Dependence

- *Case study*: find the range of $r_1 - r_2$ when $\mathbf{r}_1 = [0, 1]$, $\mathbf{r}_2 = [0, 1]$, and $|r_1 - r_2| \leq 0.2$.
- *Actual range*: $[-0.2, 0.2]$.
- *Straightforward interval computations*: $[0, 1] - [0, 1] = [-1, 1]$.
- *New approach*:

– First, we describe the set \mathbf{r}_{12} :



– Next, we compute $\{r_1 - r_2 \mid (r_1, r_2) \in \mathbf{r}_{12}\}$.

- *Result*: $[-0.2, 0.2]$.

Straightforward...

Discussion

Reason for Excess Width

Constraint-Based Set...

From Main Idea to...

Implementing...

Limitations of This...

Estimating Variance...

Other Statistical...

Dynamical Systems...

Possibility to Take...

p-Boxes and Classes of...

Set Computations for...

Acknowledgments

Title Page

◀◀

▶▶

◀


▶

Page 21 of 26

Go Back

21. Toy Example with Prior Dependence (cont-d)

- *Case study*: find the range of $r_1 - r_2$ when $\mathbf{r}_1 = [0, 1]$, $\mathbf{r}_2 = [0, 1]$, and $|r_1 - r_2| \leq 0.1$.
- *Actual range*: $[-0.2, 0.2]$.
- *Straightforward approach*: $[0, 1] - [0, 1] = [-1, 1]$.
- *New approach*: first, we describe the constraint in terms of subboxes:



- Next, we compute $\mathbf{R}_1 - \mathbf{R}_2$ for all possible pairs and take the union.
- *Result*: $[-0.6, 0.6]$.
- If we divide into more pieces, we get the enclosure closer to $[-0.2, 0.2]$.

[Straightforward...](#)

[Discussion](#)

[Reason for Excess Width](#)

[Constraint-Based Set...](#)

[From Main Idea to...](#)

[Implementing...](#)

[Limitations of This...](#)

[Estimating Variance...](#)

[Other Statistical...](#)

[Dynamical Systems...](#)

[Possibility to Take...](#)

[p-Boxes and Classes of...](#)

[Set Computations for...](#)

[Acknowledgments](#)

[Title Page](#)



Page 22 of 26

[Go Back](#)

22. p -Boxes and Classes of Probability Distributions

- *Situation*:
 - in addition to \mathbf{x}_i ,
 - we may also have *partial* information about the probabilities of different values $x_i \in \mathbf{x}_i$.
- An *exact* probability distribution can be described, e.g., by its cumulative distribution function

$$F_i(z) = \text{Prob}(x_i \leq z).$$

- A *partial* information means that instead of a single cdf, we have a *class* \mathcal{F} of possible cdfs.
- *p-box*:
 - for every z , we know an interval $\mathbf{F}(z) = [\underline{F}(z), \overline{F}(z)]$;
 - we consider all possible distributions for which, for all z , we have $F(z) \in \mathbf{F}(z)$.

Straightforward...

Discussion

Reason for Excess Width

Constraint-Based Set...

From Main Idea to...

Implementing...

Limitations of This...

Estimating Variance...

Other Statistical...

Dynamical Systems...

Possibility to Take...

p -Boxes and Classes of...

Set Computations for...

Acknowledgments

Title Page



Page 23 of 26

Go Back

23. Set Computations for p -Boxes and Classes of Probability Distributions

- *Idea:* keep and update, for all t , the set of possible joint distributions for the tuple $(x_1(t), \dots, a_1, \dots)$.
- *Implementation:*
 - divide both the x -range and the probability (p -range) into C granules, and
 - describe, for each x -granule, which p -granules are covered.
- *Remaining challenge:*
 - to describe a p -subbox, we need to attach one of C probability granules to each of C x -granules;
 - these are $\sim C^C$ such attachments, so we need $\sim C^C$ subboxes;
 - for $C = 10$, we already get an unrealistic 10^{10} increase in computation time.

Straightforward...

Discussion

Reason for Excess Width

Constraint-Based Set...

From Main Idea to...

Implementing...

Limitations of This...

Estimating Variance...

Other Statistical...

Dynamical Systems...

Possibility to Take...

p -Boxes and Classes of...

Set Computations for...

Acknowledgments

Title Page



Page 24 of 26

Go Back

24. Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part:

- by NSF grant HRD-0734825, and
- by Grant 1 T36 GM078000-01 from the National Institutes of Health.

Many thanks to Sergey P. Shary for valuable suggestions.

Measurement Uncertainty
Measurement . . .
Interval Part: Outline
Straightforward . . .
Discussion
Reason for Excess Width
Constraint-Based Set . . .
From Main Idea to . . .
Implementing . . .
Limitations of This . . .
Estimating Variance . . .
Other Statistical . . .
Dynamical Systems . . .
Possibility to Take . . .
p-Boxes and Classes of . . .
Set Computations for . . .
Acknowledgments

Title Page



Page 25 of 26

Go Back

Full Screen

Close