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1. Empirical Observation That Needs Explaining

- How do companies make big decisions and how often do they make the right decisions?

- Analyzing dozens of cases, P. C. Nutt concluded that:
  - in the vast majority of cases,
  - companies considered only one alternative.

- It turns out that in such cases, the correct decision was made in half of the times.

- (Actually, slightly less than half).

- In other 50% of the cases, the decision led to a failure.

- In several cases, companies considered two different alternatives before making a decision.

- In such cases, the companies were successful 2/3 of the time.
2. Our Explanation

• Usually, a big company has one major competitor.

• Thus, a company’s project leads to a success if
  – this project is better than
  – a project implemented by a competing company.

• Let us first consider the case when a company considers only one alternative.

• The vast majority of companies only consider one alternative.

• So, it is reasonable to assume that the competitor also considers only one alternatives.

• One of the two considered alternatives is better.

• In our analysis, we consider both companies.
3. **Our Explanation (cont-d)**

- So, the situation is symmetric:
  - the probability that the first company’s project is better is the same as
  - the probability that the second company’s project is better.
- These two probabilities should add up to 1.
- So, each company prevails with probability 50%. Thus, the 50% observation is explained.
- On the other hand, if a company consider two alternatives, then,
  - since a competitor usually considers only one alternative,
  - now we have three possible projects to consider.
4. **Our Explanation (cont-d)**

- The probability for each of these projects to be the best is the same: 1/3.
- The first company wins the best of its two projects is the best.
- So, it wins if either its first project is the best or if its second project is the best.
- The probability of this happening is equal to
  \[ \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{3}. \]
- This explains the second empirical observation.
5. Comment

- Let us go back to the one-alternative case.
- Let us take into account that sometimes, the competitor considers two alternatives.
- In such cases, the probability for the first company to succeed is 1/3; so:
  - in most cases, the company succeeds with probability 1/2, but
  - in some cases, it succeeds with a lower probability 1/3.
- Thus, overall, the probability of success is slightly lower than 1/2.
- This is exactly what was observed.
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