

Why Sparse? Fuzzy Techniques Explain Empirical Efficiency of Sparsity-Based Data- and Image-Processing Algorithms

Fernando Cervantes¹, Brian Usevitch¹,
Leobardo Valera², and Vladik Kreinovich^{2,3}

¹Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering

²Computational Science Program

³Department of Computer Science

University of Texas at El Paso

El Paso, TX 79968, USA

fcervantes@miners.utep.edu, usevitch@utep.edu

leobardovalera@gmail.com, vladik@utep.edu

In many practical applications, it turned out to be efficient to assume that the signal or an image is *sparse*, i.e., when we decompose it into appropriate basic functions (e.g., sinusoids or wavelets), most of the coefficients in this decomposition will be zeros; see, e.g., [1, 2, 3].

At present, the empirical efficiency of sparsity-based techniques is somewhat a mystery. In this paper, we show that fuzzy-related techniques (see, e.g., [4, 5]) can explain this empirical efficiency.

References

- [1] B. Amizic, L. Spinoulas, R. Molina, and A. K. Katsaggelos, “Compressive blind image deconvolution”, *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 2013, Vol. 22, No. 10, pp. 3994–4006.
- [2] M. Elad, *Sparse and Redundant Representations*, Springer Verlag, 2010.
- [3] J. Ma and F.-X. Le Dimet, “Deblurring from highly incomplete measurements for remote sensing”, *IEEE Transactions on Geosciences Remote Sensing*, 2009, Vol. 47, No. 3, pp. 792–802.
- [4] H. T. Nguyen and E. A. Walker, *A First Course in Fuzzy Logic*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, Florida, 2006.
- [5] L. A. Zadeh, “Fuzzy sets”, *Information and Control*, 1965, Vol. 8, pp. 338–353.