## Which Confidence Set Is the Most Robust?

Fredrick Ayivor, Govinda K.C., and Vladik Kreinovich University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, TX 79968, USA {fayivor,gbkc}@miners.utep.edu, vladik@utep.edu

Formulation of the problem. Once we have a probability distribution, then for each confidence level  $\alpha$ , we can form a *confidence set* S, i.e., a set S for which  $P(S) = \alpha$ . There are many such sets, which one should we choose?

It is reasonable to select S to be connected. For each connected confidence set, we can define the degree of robustness by finding out how much the probability changes if we change the set slightly around some point x on the border  $\partial S$  of the set S. If we add or subtract a small piece of volume  $\Delta V$ , then the change in probability  $\Delta P$  can be found from the definition of the probability density function (pdf)  $\rho(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{\Delta V \to 0} \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta V}$ , as  $\Delta P = \rho(x) \cdot \Delta V + o(\Delta V)$ . Thus, for fixed (and small)  $\Delta V$ , this change is proportional to  $\rho(x)$ .

The value of  $\rho(x)$  is, in general, different for different points  $x \in \partial S$ . As a measure of robustness of the given set S, it is reasonable to select the worst-case value  $r(S) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{x \in \partial S} \rho(x)$ . How can we select the confidence set with the smallest possible value of r(S)?

**Solution.** We show that the smallest possible value is attained when  $\rho(x)$  is constant on  $\partial S$ . This means that for usual distributions like Gaussian, the corresponding confidence set is  $S = \{x : \rho(x) \ge \rho_0\}$  for some  $\rho_0$ . For Gaussian distributions, such an ellipsoid is indeed usually selected as a confidence set.

Idea of the proof. If the function  $\rho(x)$  is not constant, then we can expand S slightly in the vicinity of points  $x_0$  for which  $\rho(x_0) \approx \max_x \rho(x)$  – thus making the border value of  $\rho(x)$  smaller, and at the same time shrink S slightly near all other points  $x \in \partial S$ , to compensate for the increase in P(S) caused by expanding. After this shrinking, the border values of  $\rho(x)$  at the corresponding points x will increase – but if we shrink a little bit, these values will still be smaller than  $\max_x \rho(x)$ . This way, we will get a new confidence set for which  $\max_x \rho(x)$  is slightly smaller.

Thus, for the set S at which r(S) is the smallest, the values of pdf cannot be non-constant on the border. So, for the optimal (most robust) confidence set, we indeed have  $\rho(x) = \text{const}$  for all  $x \in \partial S$ .