

How Uncertainty-Related Ideas Can Provide Theoretical Explanation for Empirical Dependencies: A Preface

Martine Ceberio and Vladik Kreinovich

In some situations, we have a perfect knowledge of the corresponding processes. Based on this knowledge, we can, based on the current and past measurements results, predict the future state of the system – and, if needed, decide on the best way to control the system so as to get to the desired future state. This is the situation, e.g., in describing the trajectory of a spaceship.

In many other application areas, however, we not do have a perfect knowledge of the situation, so we have to rely on empirically determined dependencies, dependencies that often have no convincing theoretical explanations. Because of this lack of explanations, practitioners are somewhat reluctant to use these dependencies – since, without convincing theoretical explanations, these empirical dependencies may (and sometimes do) turn out to be accidental coincidences which only hold for some cases and are not satisfied in other situations. It is therefore desirable to come up with a theoretical explanation of these dependencies.

The problem of finding and explaining empirical dependencies is made more complex by the fact that measurements and observations come with uncertainty, as a result of which the measurement results provide only an approximate picture of the corresponding processes.

In this book, we collected several papers explaining how we can take this uncertainty into account when providing the desired theoretical explanations. Most of these papers have been presented, in different years, at annual International Workshops on Constraint Programming and Decision Making and at other related workshops that we were organizing. This volume presents extended versions of selected papers from these workshops.

These papers provide explanations of empirical dependencies in different application areas:

- decision making [1], where the authors explain how the status quo bias is useful,

Martine Ceberio and Vladik Kreinovich

Department of Computer Science, University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, Texas 79968, USA
e-mail: mceberio@utep.edu, vladik@utep.edu

- electrical engineering [3], where the authors explain why class D audio amplifiers work so well,
- image processing [15], where the authors explain why representing contour segments by fragments of straight lines, circles, and hyperbolas works well,
- geological sciences [6, 7], where the authors explain the ubiquity of gamma distribution in seismology and the observed absence of large triggered earthquakes,
- logic [10, 19, 20], where the authors explain why we use “and”, “or”, “not” and not other operations, how to describe the degree of implication, and why either “and”- or “or”-operations are approximate,
- pedagogy [5, 18], where the authors explain why we all have similar learning potential and explain the empirical effect of repetitions on short-term and long-term learning,
- physics [2, 11, 12], where the authors explain quark confinement, the presence of galaxy superclusters, and the minimum entropy production principle of non-equilibrium thermodynamics,
- psychology [4, 9, 13, 14], where the author explain why we use certain number systems, why we use 3 basic colors and 4 basic tastes, how to avoid echo chamber phenomenon, and how to achieve family happiness,
- quantum computing [8], where the authors provide one more explanation of effectiveness of quantum computing, and
- transportation engineering [16, 17], where the authors explain empirical formulas describing the current strength of the road pavement and how this strength deteriorates with time.

We are greatly thankful to all the authors and referees, and to all the participants of the CoProd and other workshops. Our special thanks to Professor Janusz Kacprzyk, the editor of this book series, for his support and help. Thanks to all of you!

References

1. G. Acosta, E. Smith, and V. Kreinovich, “Status Quo Bias Actually Helps Decision Makers to Take Nonlinearity into Account: An Explanation”, this volume
2. G. Acosta, E. Smith, and V. Kreinovich, “A Natural Explanation for the Minimum Entropy Production Principle”, this volume
3. K. Alvarez, J. C. Urenda, and V. Kreinovich, “Why Class-D Audio Amplifiers Work Well: A Theoretical Explanation”, this volume
4. L. Bokati, O. Kosheleva, and V. Kreinovich, “How Can We Explain Different Number Systems?”, this volume
5. L. Bokati, J. Urenda, O. Kosheleva, and V. Kreinovich, “Why Immediate Repetition Is Good for Short-Term Learning Results but Bad For Long-Term Learning: Explanation Based on Decision Theory”, this volume
6. L. Bokati, A. Velasco, and V. Kreinovich, “Absence of Remotely Triggered Large Earthquakes: A Geometric Explanation”, this volume
7. L. Bokati, A. Velasco, and V. Kreinovich, “Why Gamma Distribution of Seismic Inter-Event Times: A Theoretical Explanation”, this volume

8. M. Ceberio and V. Kreinovich, “Quantum Computing as a Particular Case of Computing With Tensors”, this volume
9. O. Kosheleva and V. Kreinovich, “A ‘Fuzzy’ Like Button Can Decrease Echo Chamber Effect”, this volume
10. O. Kosheleva and V. Kreinovich, “Intuitive Idea of Implication vs. Formal Definition: How to Define the Corresponding Degree”, this volume
11. V. Kreinovich, “Dimension Compactification – a Possible Explanation for Superclusters and for Empirical Evidence Usually Interpreted as Dark Matter”, this volume
12. V. Kreinovich, “Fundamental Properties of Pair-Wise Interactions Naturally Lead to Quarks and Quark Confinement: A Theorem Motivated by Neural Universal Approximation Results”, this volume
13. V. Kreinovich, “Linear Neural Networks Revisited: From PageRank to Family Happiness”, this volume
14. V. Kreinovich, “Why 3 Basic Colors? Why 4 Basic Tastes?”, this volume
15. V. Kreinovich and C. Quintana, “What Segments Are the Best in Representing Contours?”, this volume
16. E. D. Rodriguez Velazquez and V. Kreinovich, “Strength of Lime Stabilized Pavement Materials: Possible Theoretical Explanation of Empirical Dependencies”, this volume
17. E. D. Rodriguez Velazquez and V. Kreinovich, “Towards a Theoretical Explanation of How Pavement Condition Index Deteriorates over Time”, this volume
18. C. Servin, O. Kosheleva, and V. Kreinovich, “A Recent Result about Random Metrics Explains Why All of Us Have Similar Learning Potential”, this volume
19. J. Urenda, O. Kosheleva and V. Kreinovich, “Finitely Generated Sets of Fuzzy Values: If ‘And’ Is Exact, Then ‘Or’ Is Almost Always And Vice Versa”, this volume
20. J. Urenda, O. Kosheleva and V. Kreinovich, “Fuzzy Logic Explains the Usual Choice of Logical Operations in 2-Valued Logic”, this volume