

# How to Make a Neural Network Learn from a Small Number of Examples – and Learn Fast: An Idea\*

Chitta Baral<sup>1</sup> and Vladik Kreinovich<sup>2</sup>

**Abstract**—Current deep learning techniques have led to spectacular results, but they still have limitations. One of them is that, in contrast to humans who can learn from a few examples and learn fast, modern deep learning techniques require a large amount of data to learn, and they take a long time to train. In this paper, we show that neural networks do have a potential to learn from a small number of examples – and learn fast. We speculate that the corresponding idea may already be implicitly implemented in Large Language Models – which may partially explain their (somewhat mysterious) success.

## I. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

**What is machine learning: a brief reminder.** In many practical situations, we want to learn how a quantity  $y$  depends on the quantities  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . We have several ( $K$ ) examples in which we know the values both of the values  $x_i$  and  $y$ , i.e., for each  $k = 1, \dots, K$  we know the *patterns*  $(x_1^{(k)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)}, y^{(k)})$  for which  $y^{(k)} \approx f(x_1^{(k)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)})$  for the unknown function  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Based on such information, we want to reconstruct the desired function  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . This will enable us in the future cases when we know the values  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , to predict  $y$  as  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

**Main limitation of current machine learning techniques.** Deep learning algorithms have spectacular successes (see, e.g., [1]), they enable computers to play chess and Go much better than humans, they help us solve many practical problems. However, in comparison to humans, the current deep learning techniques have a severe limitation:

- to a human being, it is sufficient to have a few examples (patterns), and without practically any delay we can learn to distinguish cats from dogs, etc.;
- in contrast, a deep neural network requires a large number of examples – thousands and even millions – to start producing reasonable results, and even on modern super-fast high-performance computers, it takes a long time to train a neural network.

\*This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation grants 1623190 (A Model of Change for Preparing a New Generation for Professional Practice in Computer Science), HRD-1834620 and HRD-2034030 (CAHSI Includes), EAR-2225395, and by the AT&T Fellowship in Information Technology. It was also supported by the program of the development of the Scientific-Educational Mathematical Center of Volga Federal District No. 075-02-2020-1478, and by a grant from the Hungarian National Research, Development and Innovation Office (NRDI).

<sup>1</sup>Chitta Baral is with the Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287-5406, USA, chitta@asu.edu

<sup>2</sup>Vladik Kreinovich is with the Department of Computer Science, University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, TX 79968, USA, vladik@utep.edu

*Comment.* To be fair, it should be mentioned that while a neural network usually takes a very long time to *train*, once it is trained, it *produces its results very fast*. For example, even in many applications involving solution to differential equations, where algorithms are known, it is now much faster to use a trained neural network to produce the solution than to use the known algorithms.

**What we do in this paper.** In view of the above limitation, it is desirable to come up with a machine learning tool that will enable us to learn from a small number of examples – and to learn fast, just like we humans do. In this paper, we describe a proposal for designing such a tool.

*Comment.* We also speculate that this may already be happening for in-context learning systems such as GPT3 and ChatGPT – that produce very reasonable answers to queries already after 5-10 examples.

## II. WHAT WE WANT

Let us describe what we want in precise terms. What we would like to have is a *universal* computer system that:

- given a small number of examples from any area and a new input,
- would generate a reasonable answer to this new input.

In mathematical terms, this means that this system should take, as an input, the tuple  $X$  that contain all the input information, i.e.,

$$X = (x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}, y^{(1)}, \dots, x_1^{(K)}, \dots, x_n^{(K)}, y^{(K)}, x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (1)$$

and this system should return the value  $y$  corresponding to the input  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . For example:

- we should show this system several images of a cat (i.e., images  $x_1^{(k)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)}$  for which  $y^{(k)} = 1$ ), several images of other animals (i.e., images  $x_1^{(k)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)}$  for which  $y^{(k)} = 0$ ), and a new image  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ ,
- and the system should decide whether this new image is the image of a cat ( $y = 1$ ) or of some other animal ( $y = 0$ ).

Also:

- we should show, to this same system, many images of vehicles indicating which of them are trucks and which are not trucks, and then show this system a new picture of a vehicle,
- and this system should tell us whether this new image is truck or not a truck.

### III. ANALYSIS OF THE PROBLEM

We know that we humans have this universal ability:

- given the corresponding input  $X$ ,
- to produce the corresponding value  $y$  – whether it is about cars, about cars, or about something else.

In other words, we know that the values forming the tuple  $X$  largely uniquely determine the desired value  $y$ . In mathematical terms, as we have mentioned, this means that there exists a function  $y = F(X)$  that takes, as input, a tuple of type (1) and returns the corresponding value  $y$ .

This formulation leads to the following natural idea.

### IV. RESULTING IDEA

**Natural idea.** We have an unknown function  $F(X)$ . We know that neural networks are universal approximators, i.e., that for each reasonable function and each desired accuracy, there exists a neural network that approximates the given function with the desired accuracy.

So why not use a neural network to approximate this unknown function  $F(X)$ ?

**Important comment.** In the above statement, we overlooked a somewhat minor but important point: that the universal approximation theorem was proven for the case when the number of inputs is fixed. In our case, the number of inputs  $N$  forming a tuple  $X$  may be different depending on how many examples  $K$  we have and how many inputs  $n$  are there in each example:

- we have  $K$  examples with  $n + 1$  values in each of them, and
- we have  $n$  values of a new example,

to the total of  $N = K \cdot (n + 1) + n$ .

So, to be able to apply the universal approximation result, let us limit ourselves to the cases when we have a fixed number of examples  $K$  and the fixed number of inputs  $n$  in each example.

For example, we can limit ourselves to cases when we have  $K = 10$  examples with  $n = 4$  inputs each. Then, in each such case, we have tuples  $X$  with  $N = 10 \cdot (4 + 1) + 4 = 54$  inputs.

**Resulting proposal.** Suppose that we want to design a computer system that will learn – in all possible application areas – after being presented  $K$  examples. Ideally, we should make this system really universal, i.e., it should be applicable to all possible input sizes  $n$ . However, in this text, what we are proposing is to do *almost* this – namely, to design a system that only works for situations when we have a fixed number of inputs  $n$ .

To design such a system, we do the following.

- First, in each of many different application areas, we collect a large number  $P$  of patterns  $(x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_n^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, P$  corresponding to this particular area. In most application areas, this is already done, we already have many such example.
- Then, for each application area, many times, we select  $K + 1$  of these patterns  $i_1, \dots, i_K, i_{K+1}$  and use,

as  $X$ , the first  $K$  of these patterns and the inputs corresponding to the  $(K + 1)$ -st pattern:

$$X = (x_1^{(i_1)}, \dots, x_n^{(i_1)}, y^{(i_1)}, \dots, x_1^{(i_K)}, \dots, x_n^{(i_K)}, y^{(i_K)}, x_1^{(i_{K+1})}, \dots, x_n^{(i_{K+1})}).$$

As the value  $y = F(X)$  correspond to this tuple  $X$ , we use the value  $y$  for the  $(K + 1)$ -st pattern, i.e.,  $y = y^{(i_{K+1})}$ .

- Finally, we use all the resulting pairs  $(X, y)$  – corresponding to different application areas and to different selection of  $K + 1$  patterns within each area – to train a neural network that will produce, in all application areas,  $y$  based on  $X$ .

**What we expect.** Once trained, this neural network will transform each tuple  $X$  of type (1) into an appropriate value  $y$ . In other words, it will:

- take a small number  $K$  patterns from some application area and an input  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and
- generate the output corresponding to what we expect in this particular application area.

In other words, this system will do exactly what we wanted: produce reasonable answer after a small number  $K$  of examples.

How fast will this system be? Training this neural network may take forever. However, as we have mentioned earlier, once this neural network is trained, it will produce its results really fast. In other words, not only will the resulting system learn from a small number of examples – it will also produce its results practically immediately, just like we human do. Again, this is exactly what we wanted.

*Speculative comment.* We were discussing how to design a neural network that can learn from few examples – and learn fast. But there are already networks that seems to be doing this – namely, modern Large Linguistic Models like GPT3 and ChatGPT. This ability of such models is largely a mystery. So maybe the partial explanation of their success is that these models are, in effect, already implementing the above idea? (Of course, it does not explain their zero-shot ability, when we get an answer without having any examples to train on.)

Indeed, in contrast to the usual neural network that is usually trained on examples from one application area, these models are trained on all kinds of language examples, i.e., examples from all possible application areas – and, as we have argued, such training is one of the main features that can lead to such training-fast-on-few examples.

### REFERENCES

- [1] I. Goodfellow, Y. Bengio, and A. Courville, “Deep Learning”, MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 2016.