Solutions to Test 1

Problem 1. Why do we need to study automata? Provide two main reasons.

Solution to Problem 1.

- To help develop a general understanding of which general problems are solvable and which are not.
- To understand how programs are compiled.
Problem 2–4. Let us consider the automaton that has two states: \( n \) (the student is in normal state) and \( p \) (the student is on probation); \( n \) is the starting state and it is also a final state. The symbols are \( a, b, c, \) and \( f \) that describe letter grades. From each state, grades \( a, b, \) and \( c \) lead to \( n \), while grade \( f \) leads to \( p \).

Problem 2. Trace, step-by-step, how this finite automaton will check that the word \( afa \) belongs to this language. Use the tracing to find the parts \( x, y, \) and \( z \) of the word \( afa \) corresponding to the Pumping Lemma. Check that the “pumped” word \( xyyz \) will also be accepted by this automaton.

Solution to Problem 2. Let us first trace how the automaton will accept the word \( afa \):

- we start in the starting state \( n \);
- we read the first symbol \( a \) and stay in \( n \);
- we read \( f \) and move to \( p \);
- we read \( a \) and go back to \( n \).

We have read all the letters of the word, we are in the final state, so the word is accepted.

Let us now trace how the automaton will accept the word \( afa \):

\[
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
| & a & f & a \\
\hline
n & n & f & n
\end{array}
\]

In this derivation, the first pair of repeating states is the pair of the \( n \) states:

So:

- \( x \) is what is before the first repetition, i.e., \( x = \Lambda \);
- \( y \) is what is in between the repetitions, i.e., \( y = a \); and
- \( z \) is what is after the second repetition, i.e., \( z = fa \).

By repeating the part between the two repetitions we get the derivation of the word \( xyyz = aafa \):

\[
\begin{array}{c|ccccc}
| & a & a & f & a \\
\hline
n & n & n & f & n
\end{array}
\]
Problem 3. Write down the tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ corresponding to this automaton:

- $Q$ is the set of all the states,
- $\Sigma$ is the alphabet, i.e., the set of all the symbols that this automaton can encounter;
- $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \to Q$ is the function that describes, for each state $q$ and for each symbol $s$, the state $\delta(q, s)$ to which the automaton that was originally in the state $q$ moves when it sees the symbol $s$ (you do not need to describe all possible transitions this way, just describe two of them);
- $q_0$ is the starting state, and
- $F$ is the set of all final states.

Solution to Problem 3. Here, $Q = \{n, p\}$, $\Sigma = \{a, b, c, f\}$, $q_0 = n$, $F = \{n\}$, and the function $\delta$ is described by the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>$n$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>$n$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>$n$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>$p$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Problem 4. Use a general algorithm that we had in class to generate a context-free grammar corresponding to this automaton. Show how this grammar will generate the word $afa$.

Solution to Problem 4. The corresponding grammar has variables $N$ and $P$ corresponding to the states of the automaton. The variable $N$ corresponding to the starting state $n$ is the starting variable. We have the following rules:

- $N \rightarrow aN$
- $N \rightarrow bN$
- $N \rightarrow cN$
- $N \rightarrow fP$
- $P \rightarrow aN$
- $P \rightarrow bN$
- $P \rightarrow cN$
- $P \rightarrow fP$
- $N \rightarrow \varepsilon$

The corresponding derivation is:

$$N \rightarrow aN \rightarrow afP \rightarrow afaN \rightarrow afa.$$
Problem 5. Let $A_1$ be the automaton described in Problem 2. Let $A_2$ be an automaton that accepts only straight-A students. This automaton has two states: the starting state $s$ which is also final, and the error state $e$. The transitions are as follows:

- from the start state, $a$ lead back to the start state, while every other grade leads to the state $e$;
- from the state $e$, any symbol leads back to this state.

Use the algorithm that we had in class to describe the following two new automata:

- the automaton that recognizes the union $A_1 \cup A_2$ of the two corresponding languages, and
- the automaton that recognizes the intersection of the languages $A_1$ and $A_2$.

Solution to Problem 5.
Problem 6. Use the general algorithm that we learned in class to design a non-deterministic finite automaton that recognizes the language $f(a \cup b)^*$ – that corresponds to the case when a student first got an F but after that gets only As and Bs:

- first, describe the automata for recognizing $a$, $b$, and $f$;
- then, combine them into the automata for recognizing the union $a \cup b$, and the Kleene star $(a \cup b)^*$;
- finally, combine the automata for $f$ and $(a \cup b)^*$ into an automaton for recognizing the desired composition of the two languages.

Solution to Problem 6. We start with the standard non-deterministic automata for recognizing:

- the language $a$ – that consists of a single word $a$,
- the language $b$ – that consists of a single word $b$, and
- the language $f$ – that consists of a single word $f$:

Then, we use the general algorithm for the union to design a non-deterministic automaton for recognizing the language $a \cup b$:

Now, we apply a standard algorithm for the Kleene star, and we get the following non-deterministic automaton for $(a \cup b)^*$:
Now, we use the algorithm for concatenation for combine them: final states of the automaton for $f$ are no longer final, and from each of them, we add a jump to the starting state of the automaton for $(a \cup b)^*$:
Problem 7. Use the general algorithm to transform the resulting non-deterministic finite automaton into a deterministic one.

Solution to Problem 7. Let us first enumerate the states of the resulting non-deterministic automaton.

In the beginning, before we see any symbol, we are in state 1. So, the resulting state is \{1\}.

- If in the state \{1\}, we see a letter a or a better b, we cannot go anywhere since there are no arrows starting at 1 with a or b on top. Thus, the resulting state is the empty set \(\emptyset\).

- If in the state \{1\}, we see letter f, we can go to 2 and from there, jump to 3, 4, 5, and 7. Thus, the resulting state is \{2,3,4,5,7\}. This state contains the final state 3 and is, thus, final.

- If in the state \{2,3,4,5,7\}, we see letter a, we can go to 6 and from there, jump to 4, 5, and 7. Thus, the resulting state is \{4,5,6,7\}. This state contains the final state 6 and is, thus, final.

- If in the state \{2,3,4,5,7\}, we see letter b, we can go to 8 and from there, jump to 4, 5, and 7. Thus, the resulting state is \{4,5,7,8\}. This state contains the final state 8 and is, thus, final.

- If in the state \{2,3,4,5,7\}, we see letter f, we cannot go anywhere. Thus, the resulting state is \(\emptyset\).

- If in the state \{4,5,6,7\}, we see letter a, we can go to 6 and from there, jump to 4, 5, and 7. Thus, the resulting state is the same state \{4,5,6,7\}.

- If in the state \{4,5,6,7\}, we see letter b, we can go to 8 and from there, jump to 4, 5, and 7. Thus, the resulting state is \{4,5,7,8\}.

- If in the state \{4,5,6,7\}, we see letter f, we cannot go anywhere. Thus, the resulting state is \(\emptyset\).

- If in the state \{4,5,7,8\}, we see letter a, we can go to 6 and from there, jump to 4, 5, and 7. Thus, the resulting state is the \{4,5,6,7\}.
• If in the state \( \{4, 5, 7, 8\} \), we see letter \( b \), we can go to 8 and from there, jump to 4, 5, and 7. Thus, the resulting state is the same state \( \{4, 5, 7, 8\} \).

• If in the state \( \{4, 5, 7, 8\} \), we see letter \( f \), we cannot go anywhere. Thus, the resulting state is \( \emptyset \).

Thus, we arrive at the following deterministic automaton.
Problem 8–9. Use a general algorithm to transform the finite automaton from Problem 2 into the corresponding regular expression. Start with eliminating the state $n$.

Solution to Problem 8–9. We start with the described automaton:

According to the general algorithm, first we add a new start state $ns$ and a new final state $f$, and we add jumps:

- from the new start state $ns$ to the old start state, and
- from each old final state to the new final state $nf$.

As a result, we get the following automaton.

Then, we need to eliminate the two intermediate states $n$ and $g$ one by one. We first eliminate the state $n$. First, we draw all possible arrows:
Now, to find expressions to place at all these arrows, we will use the general formula
\[ R_{i,j}' = R_{i,j} \cup (R_{i,k}R_{k,k}^*R_{k,j}), \]
where \( k \) is the state that we are eliminating, i.e., in this case, the state \( k = n \).

By applying this formula, and by using simplification formulas described in the lecture, we get the following results:

\[ R_{ns,p}' = R_{ns,p} \cup (R_{ns,n}R_{n,n}^*R_{n,p}) = \emptyset \cup (\Lambda(a \cup b \cup c)^*g) = \emptyset \cup (a \cup b \cup c)^*f = (a \cup b \cup c)^*f; \]
\[ R_{ns,nf}' = R_{ns,nf} \cup (R_{ns,n}R_{n,n}^*R_{n,nf}) = \emptyset \cup (\Lambda(a \cup b \cup c)^*\Lambda) = \emptyset \cup (a \cup b \cup c)^* = (a \cup b \cup c)^*; \]
\[ R_{p,p}' = R_{p,p} \cup (R_{p,n}R_{n,n}^*R_{n,p}) = f \cup ((a \cup b \cup c)(a \cup b \cup c)^*\Lambda) = f \cup ((a \cup b \cup c)(a \cup b \cup c)^*); \]
\[ R_{p,nf}' = R_{p,nf} \cup (R_{p,n}R_{n,n}^*R_{n,nf}) = \emptyset \cup ((a \cup b \cup c)(a \cup b \cup c)^*\Lambda) = (a \cup b \cup c)(a \cup b \cup c)^*. \]

Thus, the 3-state \( a \)-automaton takes the following form:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{(a \cup b \cup c)(a \cup b \cup c)^*} \\
\text{(a \cup b \cup c)(a \cup b \cup c)^*f} \\
\text{(a \cup b \cup c)^f} \\
\text{ns} \\
\end{array}
\]

Now, all that remains to do is to go from here to the 2-state \( a \)-automaton by eliminating the remaining state \( h \):

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{(a \cup b \cup c)(a \cup b \cup c)^*} \\
\text{(a \cup b \cup c)(a \cup b \cup c)^*f} \\
\text{(a \cup b \cup c)^f} \\
\text{ns} \\
\end{array}
\]

The final expression is the corresponding expression for \( R_{ns,nf}' \):

\[
R_{ns,nf}' = R_{ns,nf} \cup (R_{ns,h}R_{h,h}^*R_{h,nf}) = (a \cup b \cup c)^* \cup ((a \cup b \cup c)^*(a \cup b \cup c)^*f)(a \cup b \cup c)(a \cup b \cup c)^*.
\]

The formula on the previous line is a regular expression corresponding to the original automaton.
**Problem 10.** To make changes to Texas Constitution, we need to have at least \(2/3\) votes, i.e., the number of those who vote For should be at least twice larger than the number of those who vote Against. If we denote For by \(f\), and Against by \(a\), then the sequences \(f a f\) and \(f f a f\) lead to acceptance while the sequence \(a f a f\) does not. Prove that the language \(L\) of all the sequence that lead to acceptance – i.e., that have at least twice more \(f\)’s than \(a\)’s – is not regular.

**Solution to Problem 10.** We will prove it by contradiction. Let us assume that the language \(L\) is regular, and let us show that this assumption leads to a contradiction.

Since this language is regular, according to the Pumping Lemma, there exists an integer \(p\) such that every word from \(L\) whose length \(\text{len}(w)\) is at least \(p\) can be represented as a concatenation \(w = xyz\), where:

- \(y\) is non-empty;
- the length \(\text{len}(xy)\) does not exceed \(p\), and
- for every natural number \(i\), the word \(x y^i z \overset{\text{def}}{=} xy \ldots yz\), in which \(y\) is repeated \(i\) times, also belongs to the language \(L\).

Let us take the word

\[
w = a^p f^{2p} = a \ldots a f \ldots f,
\]

in which first \(a\) is repeated \(p\) times, then \(f\) is repeated \(2p\) times. The length of this word is \(p + 2p = 3p > p\). So, by pumping lemma, this word can be represented as \(w = xyz\) with \(\text{len}(xy) \leq p\). This word starts with \(xy\), and the length of \(xy\) is smaller than or equal to \(p\). Thus, \(xy\) is among the first \(p\) symbols of the word \(w\) – and these symbols are all \(a\)’s. So, the word \(y\) only has \(a\)’s.

Thus, when we go from the word \(w = xyz\) to the word \(x y y z\), we add at least one \(a\), and we do not add any \(f\)’s. So, in the word \(x y y z\), there are now at least \(p + 1\) letters \(t\). Since there are \(2p\) letters \(f\), this means that the number of \(f\)’s is no longer at least twice larger than the number of \(a\)’s. Thus, the word \(x y y z\) cannot be in the language \(L\), since by definition \(L\) only contains words which have at least twice more \(f\)’s than \(a\)’s.

On the other hand, by Pumping Lemma, the word \(x y y z\) must be in the language \(L\). So, we proved two opposite statements:

- that this word is not in \(L\) and
- that this word is in \(L\).

This is a contradiction.

The only assumption that led to this contradiction is that \(L\) is a regular language. Thus, this assumption is false, so \(L\) is not regular.