## Solution to Problem 9

**Task.** Use what we learned so far to explain the following two empirical formulas:

- $y = x^2 \cdot \exp(-k \cdot x)$ ; and
- $y = x^{0.3} \cdot \ln(x)$ .

**Solution.** In class, we have learned that if we consider final optimality criteria on the family of all the functions of the type

$$C_1 \cdot e_1(x) + \ldots + C_K \cdot e_K(x),$$

where the functions  $e_1(x), \ldots, e_K(x)$  are fixed and the coefficients  $C_1, \ldots, C_K$  can take all possible real values, then:

• if the criterion is shift-invariant, then every function from the optimal family is a linear combination of functions of the type

$$x^p \cdot \exp(a \cdot x) \cdot \sin(b \cdot x)$$
 and  $x^p \cdot \exp(a \cdot x) \cdot \cos(b \cdot x)$ ,

where p is a non-negative integer and a and b are real numbers;

• if the criterion is scale-invariant, then every function from the optimal family is a linear combination of functions of the type

$$(\ln(x))^p \cdot x^a \cdot \sin(b \cdot \ln(x))$$
 and  $(\ln(x))^p \cdot x^a \cdot \cos(b \cdot \ln(x))$ ,

where p is a non-negative integer and a and b are real numbers;

• if the criterion is both shift-invariant and scale-invariant, then every function from the optimal family is a polynomial.

## Here:

- The function  $x^2 \cdot \exp(-k \cdot x)$  is a particular case of the shift-invariant expression  $x^p \cdot \exp(a \cdot x) \cdot \cos(b \cdot x)$  corresponding to p = 2, a = -k, and b = 0, so it can be explained by shift-invariance: it is a particular case of functions which are invariant under a shift-invariant optimality criterion.
- The function  $x^{0.3} \cdot \ln(x)$  is a particular case of the scale-invariant expression  $(\ln(x))^p \cdot x^a \cdot \cos(b \cdot \ln(x))$  corresponding to p = 1, a = 0.3, and b = 0, so it can be explained by scale-invariance: it is a particular case of functions which are invariant under a scale-invariant optimality criterion.