

When Revolutions Happen: Algebraic Explanation

Julio Urenda^{1,2} and Vladik Kreinovich²

¹Department of Mathematical Sciences

²Department of Computer Science

University of Texas at El Paso

500 W. University

El Paso, Texas 79968, USA

jcurenda@utep.edu, vladik@utep.edu

When Revolutions . . .

How Can We Explain . . .

Traditional Decision . . .

This General . . .

Which Basis Functions . . .

Two Simple Situations

Linear Extrapolation

What About More . . .

Discussion

Home Page

Title Page

«

»

«

»

Page 1 of 14

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

1. When Revolutions Happen

- People usually believe that revolutions happen when life under the old regime becomes intolerable.
- However, a historical analysis shows that the usual understanding is wrong.
- Most revolutions happen *not* when the situation is at its worst.
- They usually happen when the situation has been improving for some time and then suddenly gets worse.
- Although, by the way, it never gets as bad as it was before the improvement started.

When Revolutions . . .

How Can We Explain . . .

Traditional Decision . . .

This General . . .

Which Basis Functions . . .

Two Simple Situations

Linear Extrapolation

What About More . . .

Discussion

Home Page

Title Page

◀◀

▶▶

◀

▶

Page 2 of 14

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

2. How Can We Explain This?

- Experiments show that in most situations, people act rationally:
 - the more their needs are satisfied, in general,
 - the happier they are.
- So why right before the revolution:
 - when the level of living is higher (often much higher) than in the recent past,
 - people are so much less happy that they start a revolution?
- How can we explain this unexpected (and somewhat counterintuitive) behavior?

3. Traditional Decision Theory: A Brief Reminder

- In traditional decision theory, people's preferences are described by numerical values called *utilities*.
- The actions of a person are determined:
 - not just by this person's current level of satisfaction
 - as described by the current utility value u_0 ,
 - but also by the expected future utility values u_1 , u_2 , etc.
- If we have m dollars, we can place it in a bank and get $(1 + \alpha)^t \cdot m$ at time t , where α is the interest rate.
- Thus, \$1 at time t is equivalent to q^t dollars now, where $q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{1 + \alpha}$.
- So, if we get m_0 now, m_1 in the next year, etc., this is equivalent to getting the following amount now:

$$m_0 + q \cdot m_1 + q^2 \cdot m_2 + \dots$$

[When Revolutions...](#)[How Can We Explain...](#)[Traditional Decision...](#)[This General...](#)[Which Basis Functions...](#)[Two Simple Situations](#)[Linear Extrapolation](#)[What About More...](#)[Discussion](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[<<](#)[>>](#)[<](#)[>](#)[Page 4 of 14](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

4. This General Approach Requires Extrapolation

- The future amounts are based on extrapolation:
 - we select a family of functions characterized by a few parameters $u_t = f(p_1, \dots, p_n, t)$,
 - then we find the values $\hat{p}_1, \dots, \hat{p}_n$ of the parameters that best fit the observed data u_0, u_{-1}, u_{-2} , etc.,
 - and then we use these values to predict future values as $f(\hat{p}_1, \dots, \hat{p}_n, t)$.
- Let's use models that linearly depend on p_i :
 - then, matching parameters to data means easy-to-solve solving systems of linear equations,
 - while solving systems of nonlinear equations is, in general, NP-hard.
- Thus, we consider models $u_t = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \cdot f_i(t)$, where $f_i(t)$ are given functions, and p_i are parameters.

[When Revolutions...](#)[How Can We Explain...](#)[Traditional Decision...](#)[This General...](#)[Which Basis Functions...](#)[Two Simple Situations](#)[Linear Extrapolation](#)[What About More...](#)[Discussion](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[<<](#)[>>](#)[<](#)[>](#)[Page 5 of 14](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

5. Which Basis Functions $f_i(t)$ Should We Choose?

- Most transitions are smooth; so, it's reasonable to require that all the functions $f_i(t)$ are smooth.
- Another reasonable requirement is related to the fact that the numerical value of time depends:
 - on the choice of a measuring unit – years or months,
 - and on the choice of a starting time.
- If we change a measuring unit by a new one which is a times smaller, then $t \rightarrow a \cdot t$.
- If we replace the original starting point with the new one, b units in the past $t \rightarrow t + b$.
- The general formulas for extrapolation should not depend on such an arbitrary things as:
 - selecting a unit of time or
 - selecting a starting point.

[When Revolutions...](#)[How Can We Explain...](#)[Traditional Decision...](#)[This General...](#)[Which Basis Functions...](#)[Two Simple Situations](#)[Linear Extrapolation](#)[What About More...](#)[Discussion](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[◀◀](#)[▶▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Page 6 of 14](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

6. Choosing $f_i(t)$ (cont-d)

- It is therefore reasonable to assume that the approximating family $\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \cdot f_i(t) \right\}$ will not change:

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \cdot f_i(a \cdot t) \right\}_{p_1, \dots, p_n} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \cdot f_i(t + b) \right\}_{p_1, \dots, p_n} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \cdot f_i(t) \right\}_{p_1, \dots, p_n}.$$

- It turns out that under these conditions, all the basic functions are polynomials.
- So, all their linear combinations are polynomials.
- Thus, it is reasonable to approximate the actual history by a polynomial.

[When Revolutions...](#)[How Can We Explain...](#)[Traditional Decision...](#)[This General...](#)[Which Basis Functions...](#)[Two Simple Situations](#)[Linear Extrapolation](#)[What About More...](#)[Discussion](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[◀◀](#)[▶▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Page 7 of 14](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

7. Two Simple Situations

- We will compare two simple situations:
 - a situation in which the level of living is consistently bad $u_0 = u_{-1} = \dots = u_{-k} = \dots = c_1$ for small c_1 ;
 - a situation in which the level of living used to be much better, but now somewhat decreased:

$$u_{-1} = u_{-2} = \dots = c_+ \text{ but } u_0 = c_- < c_+.$$

- In the first situation, of course, a reasonable extrapolation should lead to the exact same small value $u_0 = c$.
- Thus, the overall utility is equal to

$$u_0 + q \cdot u_1 + \dots = c \cdot (1 + q + q^2 + \dots) = \frac{c}{1 - q}.$$

- But what to expect in the second situation?
- Let us start our analysis with the simplest possible linear extrapolation.

[When Revolutions...](#)[How Can We Explain...](#)[Traditional Decision...](#)[This General...](#)[Which Basis Functions...](#)[Two Simple Situations](#)[Linear Extrapolation](#)[What About More...](#)[Discussion](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[<<](#)[>>](#)[<](#)[>](#)[Page 8 of 14](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

8. Linear Extrapolation

- In this case, we make our future predictions based only on two utility values: u_0 and u_{-1} .
- Since $u_0 < u_{-1}$, we get a linear decreasing function.
- Its values tend to $-\infty$ as the time t increases.
- So, when q is close to 1, the corresponding value $u_0 + q \cdot u_1 + \dots \approx u_0 + u_1 + \dots$ becomes negative.
- This explains why in the second situation, the revolution is much more probable.

[When Revolutions...](#)[How Can We Explain...](#)[Traditional Decision...](#)[This General...](#)[Which Basis Functions...](#)[Two Simple Situations](#)[Linear Extrapolation](#)[What About More...](#)[Discussion](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[<<](#)[>>](#)[<](#)[>](#)[Page 9 of 14](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

9. What About More Realistic Approximations?

- One may think that the above explanation is caused by our oversimplification of the extrapolation model.
- Of course, linear extrapolation is a very crude and oversimplified idea.
- What happens if we use higher degree polynomials for extrapolation?
- Let us assume that for extrapolation, we use polynomials of order d .
- The corresponding family of polynomials have d_1 parameters, so we can fit $d + 1$ values $u_0, u_{-1}, \dots, u_{-d}$.
- Let us find the polynomial $P(t)$ of degree d that fits these values: $P(0) = c_-, P(-1) = \dots = P(-d) = c_+$.

[When Revolutions...](#)[How Can We Explain...](#)[Traditional Decision...](#)[This General...](#)[Which Basis Functions...](#)[Two Simple Situations](#)[Linear Extrapolation](#)[What About More...](#)[Discussion](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[<<](#)[>>](#)[<](#)[>](#)[Page 10 of 14](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

10. Realistic Approximations (cont-d)

- For $Q(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P(t) - c_+$, we have $Q(-d) = \dots = Q(-1) = 0$ and $Q(0) = c_- - c_+$.

- This polynomial of degree d has d roots $t = -1, \dots, t = -d$, so $Q(t) = C \cdot (t+1) \cdot (t+2) \cdot \dots \cdot (t+d)$, and

$$Q(t) = c_+ + (c_- - c_+) \cdot \frac{(t+1) \cdot (t+2) \cdot \dots \cdot (t+d)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot d}.$$

- Since $c_- < c_+$, this value is negative – and tends to $-\infty$ as the time t increases.
- In comparison with the linear extrapolation case, it tends to $-\infty$ even faster: as t^d .
- So, *the revolution phenomenon can be explained* no matter what degree of extrapolation we use.

[When Revolutions...](#)[How Can We Explain...](#)[Traditional Decision...](#)[This General...](#)[Which Basis Functions...](#)[Two Simple Situations](#)[Linear Extrapolation](#)[What About More...](#)[Discussion](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[<<](#)[>>](#)[<](#)[>](#)[Page 11 of 14](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

11. Discussion

- We have explained the seemingly counterintuitive revolution phenomenon.
- Based on our analysis, we can make auxiliary conclusions (which also fit well with common sense).
- Revolutions only happen if people care about the future.
- If they don't, if $q \approx 0$, people are happy with their present-day level of living.
- The more into the past the people go in their analysis, the more probable it is that they will revolt.
- People who do not know their history are less prone to revolutions than people who do.

[When Revolutions...](#)

[How Can We Explain...](#)

[Traditional Decision...](#)

[This General...](#)

[Which Basis Functions...](#)

[Two Simple Situations](#)

[Linear Extrapolation](#)

[What About More...](#)

[Discussion](#)

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[◀◀](#)

[▶▶](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

Page 12 of 14

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

12. Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the US National Science Foundation via grant HRD-1242122 (Cyber-ShARE).

[When Revolutions . . .](#)[How Can We Explain . . .](#)[Traditional Decision . . .](#)[This General . . .](#)[Which Basis Functions . . .](#)[Two Simple Situations](#)[Linear Extrapolation](#)[What About More . . .](#)[Discussion](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[<<](#)[>>](#)[<](#)[>](#)[Page 13 of 14](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

13. Bibliography

- R. B. Cialdini, *Influence: Science and Practice*, Pearson, Boston, 2009.
- J. C. Davies, “Towards a theory of revolution”, *American Sociological Review*, 1962, Vol. 27, pp. 5–19.
- J. C. Davies, “The J-curve of rising and declining satisfactions as a cause of some great revolutions and a contained rebellion”, In: H. D. Graham and T. R. Gurr (eds.), *Violence in America*, Signet Books, New York, 1969.

[When Revolutions...](#)[How Can We Explain...](#)[Traditional Decision...](#)[This General...](#)[Which Basis Functions...](#)[Two Simple Situations](#)[Linear Extrapolation](#)[What About More...](#)[Discussion](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[Page 14 of 14](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)