

Grading Homeworks, Verifying Code: How Thorough Should the Feedback Be?

Francisco Zapata, Olga Kosheleva, and
Vladik Kreinovich

University of Texas at El Paso
500 W. University
El Paso, TX 79968, USA
fcozpt@outlook.com, olgak@utep.edu
vladik@utep.edu

[How Thoroughly...](#)

[Comment](#)

[How Thoroughly...](#)

[Simplified Case, When...](#)

[Solving the Problem](#)

[Case of Empirical...](#)

[General Case, When...](#)

[General Case \(cont-d\)](#)

[Acknowledgments](#)

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

«

»

«

»

Page 1 of 10

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

1. How Thoroughly Should We Grade the Homeworks?

- In the ideal world, we should assign many homeworks, and grade each of them very thoroughly.
- In reality, our time – and if we have a Teaching Assistant (TA), the time of the TA – is limited.
- So, we have a choice:
 - we can assign few homeworks and grade all of them very thoroughly,
 - or we can assign many homeworks and grade all of them less thoroughly.
- What is the optimal level of thoroughness?

2. Comment

- Doing homeworks helps students, even if these homeworks are not graded at all.
- This provides the students with additional practice.
- There are many ways to entice the students to do homeworks even when not all homeworks will be graded.
- We can assign several problems, but grade only some.
- The selection of graded problems can be decided by a random choice after the homeworks are submitted.
- So the students do not know beforehand which problems will be graded.
- Another idea is, after the homework is submitted, to randomly select students whose homeworks will be graded.
- It's also possible to combine these two ideas.

How Thoroughly...

Comment

How Thoroughly...

Simplified Case, When...

Solving the Problem

Case of Empirical...

General Case, When...

General Case (cont-d)

Acknowledgments

Home Page

Title Page

◀◀

▶▶

◀

▶

Page 3 of 10

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

3. How Thoroughly Should We Verify the Code

- Usually, when a company designs software, the resulting code is not only tested to make sure it works.
- It is also verified by specialists who make sure that this code is well-written; in the ideal world:
 - every line of code should be thoroughly analyzed and verified, and
 - the detailed feedback should be provided to the programmer.
- In practice, the verifier's time is limited, so:
 - we can verify fewer lines of code and provide more thorough feedback,
 - or we can verify more lines of code and provide less thorough feedback.
- What is the optimal level of thoroughness?

How Thoroughly...

Comment

How Thoroughly...

Simplified Case, When...

Solving the Problem

Case of Empirical...

General Case, When...

General Case (cont-d)

Acknowledgments

Home Page

Title Page

◀◀

▶▶

◀

▶

Page 4 of 10

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

4. Simplified Case, When We Ignore the Difference Between Students

- Let us first consider the simplified case, when:
 - we ignore the difference between students, and
 - assume that the effect of feedback is the same for all students.
- Let us denote the effect of a piece of feedback that took t hours by $f(t)$.
- Let T denote the overall time allocated to producing this feedback.
- What we want is find the value n and the values t_1, \dots, t_n for which $t_1 + \dots + t_n = T$.
- We want to maximize the overall effect

$$E = f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_n).$$

[How Thoroughly...](#)[Comment](#)[How Thoroughly...](#)[Simplified Case, When...](#)[Solving the Problem](#)[Case of Empirical...](#)[General Case, When...](#)[General Case \(cont-d\)](#)[Acknowledgments](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[<<](#)[>>](#)[<](#)[>](#)[Page 5 of 10](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

5. Solving the Problem

- Lagrange multiplier technique reduces our problem to the unconstrained optimization problem of maximizing

$$f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_n) + \lambda \cdot (t_1 + \dots + t_n - T).$$

- Differentiating this expression with respect to t_i and equating the derivative to 0, we get $f'(t_i) + \lambda = 0$.
- So, in general, the values t_i should be the same:

$$t_1 = \dots = t_n, \text{ so } n \cdot t_1 = T \text{ and } E = n \cdot f(t_1).$$

- Thus, $n = \frac{T}{t_1}$ and $E = \frac{T}{t_1} \cdot f(t_1)$.
- So, the optimal t_1 should maximize the expression

$$\frac{E}{T} = \frac{f(t_1)}{t_1}.$$

- This is equivalent to equating the derivative of this expression to 0, which leads to $f'(t_1) \cdot t_1 = f(t_1)$.

[How Thoroughly...](#)[Comment](#)[How Thoroughly...](#)[Simplified Case, When...](#)[Solving the Problem](#)[Case of Empirical...](#)[General Case, When...](#)[General Case \(cont-d\)](#)[Acknowledgments](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[<<](#)[>>](#)[<](#)[>](#)[Page 6 of 10](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

6. Case of Empirical Dependence

- Empirically: $f(t) = 1 - \frac{c}{t^q + c}$.
- For this dependence, the optimal time is

$$t_1 = (c \cdot (q - 1))^{1/q}.$$

- This optimal time does not depend on the overall time T .
- This means that if we get extra time – e.g., get a TA:
 - we should increase number of homeworks,
 - but we should spend the same time grading each homework.

[How Thoroughly...](#)[Comment](#)[How Thoroughly...](#)[Simplified Case, When...](#)[Solving the Problem](#)[Case of Empirical...](#)[General Case, When...](#)[General Case \(cont-d\)](#)[Acknowledgments](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[<<](#)[>>](#)[<](#)[>](#)[Page 7 of 10](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

7. General Case, When We Take Into Account That Students Are Different

- In practice, the effect function $f_i(t)$ is different for different students.
- To be fair, we divide the grading time T equally between all s students.
- Then, for each student i , the optimal time t_i for grading one homework can be found from the formula

$$f'_i(t_i) \cdot t_i = f_i(t_i).$$

- For the empirical formula $f_i(t) = \frac{t^{q_i}}{t^{q_i} + c_i}$, we get

$$t_i = (c_i \cdot (q_i - 1))^{1/q_i}.$$

[How Thoroughly...](#)[Comment](#)[How Thoroughly...](#)[Simplified Case, When...](#)[Solving the Problem](#)[Case of Empirical...](#)[General Case, When...](#)[General Case \(cont-d\)](#)[Acknowledgments](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[<<](#)[>>](#)[<](#)[>](#)[Page 8 of 10](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

8. General Case (cont-d)

- The optimal times t_i are different for different students.
- However, we allocated the same overall grading time $\frac{T}{s}$ for each student.
- This means that different students should get different number of homeworks:
 - to some students, we assign fewer homeworks, and provide more thorough feedback, while
 - to other students, we assign more homeworks, and provide less thorough feedback.

How Thoroughly...

Comment

How Thoroughly...

Simplified Case, When...

Solving the Problem

Case of Empirical...

General Case, When...

General Case (cont-d)

Acknowledgments

Home Page

Title Page



Page 9 of 10

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

9. Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation grants:

- 1623190 (A Model of Change for Preparing a New Generation for Professional Practice in Computer Science),
- HRD-1242122 (Cyber-ShARE Center of Excellence).

How Thoroughly...

Comment

How Thoroughly...

Simplified Case, When...

Solving the Problem

Case of Empirical...

General Case, When...

General Case (cont-d)

Acknowledgments

Home Page

Title Page



Page 10 of 10

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit