

A Symmetry-Based Approach to Selecting Membership Functions and Its Relation to Chemical Kinetics

Vladik Kreinovich, Olga Kosheleva
Jorge Y. Cabrera, and Mario Gutierrez
University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, TX 79968, USA
olgak@utep.edu, vladik@utep.edu

Thavatchai Ngamsantivong
King Mongkut's Univ. of Technology North Bangkok, Thailand
tvc@kmutnb.ac.th

Shift-Invariant . . .

Ideally, Membership . . .

A Collection of Several . . .

Definitions

Main Result

What Is Chemical . . .

Relation Between . . .

Connection Between . . .

Discussion

Home Page

Title Page

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Page 1 of 14

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

1. Shift-Invariant Quantities: A Brief Reminder

- In many physical theories, there is no fixed starting point for measuring the corr. physical quantities.
- We can measure time based on the current calendar or starting with 1789 (the year of the French revolution).
- If we select a new one which is q_0 units smaller, then the original numerical value q changes into $q' = q + q_0$.
- For such quantities, all the properties do not change if we change this starting point, i.e., if replace q by $q + q_0$.
- Strictly speaking, there is the absolute starting point for measuring time: the Big Bang.
- However, in most cases, the equations remains the same if we change a starting point for time.
- Similarly, in many practical applications, there is no absolute starting point for measuring potential energy.

2. Ideally, Membership Functions Should Reflect This Symmetry

- Often, our knowledge is imprecise (“fuzzy”).
- To describe and process such knowledge, L. Zadeh invented the ideas of fuzzy sets.
- A fuzzy set on a universal set X is characterized by its membership function $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$.
- For shift-invariant quantities, our selection of $\mu(x)$ should reflect shift-invariance.
- A seemingly natural idea is to require that $\mu(x)$ be shift-invariant, i.e., $\mu(q) = \mu(q + q_0)$ for all q and q_0 .
- Unfortunately, the only membership function $\mu(q)$ which satisfies this condition is the constant function.
- So, we cannot require that a *single* membership function is shift-invariant.

3. A Collection of Several Membership Functions Should Be Shift-Invariant

- A *single* membership function cannot be shift-invariant.
- It is thus reasonable to require that a collection of *several* membership functions is shift-invariant.
- In T-S fuzzy control, we often end up with a linear combination $\sum c_i \cdot \mu_i(q)$ of these membership functions.
- Thus, we consider sets of all such linear combinations – a *linear space*.
- We are therefore looking for shift-invariant linear spaces.

4. Definitions

- By a *finite-dimensional linear space*, we mean the class of all functions of the type $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \cdot \mu_i(q)$, where:
 - $n \geq 1$,
 - differentiable functions $\mu_1(q), \dots, \mu_n(x)$ are fixed (and assumed to be linearly independent), and
 - the coefficients c_1, \dots, c_n can take any real values.
- We say that a linear space L is *shift-invariant* if
 - for every function $f(q)$ from the space L and
 - for every real number q_0 ,
 - the function $f(q + q_0)$ also belongs to the class L .
- We say that a shift-invariant linear space L is *basic* if $L \neq \text{Lin}(L_1 \cup L_2)$ for shift-inv. linear spaces L_1, L_2 .

Shift-Invariant...

Ideally, Membership...

A Collection of Several...

Definitions

Main Result

What Is Chemical...

Relation Between...

Connection Between...

Discussion

Home Page

Title Page



Page 5 of 14

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

5. Main Result

- We say that a linear space of functions L is *fuzzy-related* if the following two conditions hold:
 - L is the set of all linear combinations of functions $\mu_1(q), \dots, \mu_n(q)$ s.t. $\mu_i(q) \in [0, 1]$ for all $q \geq 0$.
 - L does not include the constant functions $f(q) \equiv 1$.
- **Proposition.** *Each basic shift-invariant fuzzy-related linear space L is a linear combination of functions*

$$\mu_1(q) = \exp(-\lambda \cdot q), \mu_2(q) = q \cdot \exp(-\lambda \cdot q), \dots,$$
$$\mu_i(q) = q^{i-1} \cdot \exp(-\lambda \cdot q), \dots, \mu_n(q) = q^{n-1} \cdot \exp(-\lambda \cdot q),$$

for some $\lambda > 0$.

6. Proof of the Main Result: Ideas

- Shift-invariance means that for every q_0 , there are some $c_{ij}(q_0)$ for which $\mu_i(q + q_0) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(q_0) \cdot \mu_j(q)$.
- Differentiating both sides w.r.t. q_0 and taking $q_0 = 0$, we get a system of linear differential equations

$$\mu_i'(q) = \sum_{j=1}^n C_{ij} \cdot \mu_j(q).$$

- Solutions to such systems are well-known: they are linear combinations of expressions $x^k \cdot \exp(-\lambda \cdot q)$.
- Expressions corresponding to different λ form shift-invariant spaces.
- Thus, since L is basic, we can only have one value λ .
- The restrictions that $\mu_i(q) \in [0, 1]$ for all $q \geq 0$ and $\mu(q) \neq 1$ imply that $\lambda > 0$. Q.E.D.

Shift-Invariant...

Ideally, Membership...

A Collection of Several...

Definitions

Main Result

What Is Chemical...

Relation Between...

Connection Between...

Discussion

Home Page

Title Page



Page 7 of 14

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

7. What Is Chemical Kinetics: Brief Reminder

- Chemical kinetics describes the change in concentration of chemical substances.
 - The reaction rate is proportional to the product of concentrations of reagents.
 - For example, for a reaction $A + B \rightarrow C$, the reaction rate is proportional to the product $a \cdot b$.
 - Due to this reaction rate $k \cdot a \cdot b$:
 - the amounts a and b of substances A and B decrease with this rate, while
 - the amount c of the substance C increases with this rate:
- $$\frac{da}{dt} = -k \cdot a \cdot b; \quad \frac{db}{dt} = -k \cdot a \cdot b; \quad \frac{dc}{dt} = k \cdot a \cdot b.$$
- If we have several reactions, then we add the rates corresponding to different reactions.

Shift-Invariant . . .

Ideally, Membership . . .

A Collection of Several . . .

Definitions

Main Result

What Is Chemical . . .

Relation Between . . .

Connection Between . . .

Discussion

Home Page

Title Page



Page 8 of 14

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

8. Relation Between Membership Functions and Chemical Kinetics: An Intuitive Idea

- Let us consider “small”, “medium”, and “large”.
- The value $q = 0$ is absolutely small. As we increase q :
 - what was originally small starts slowly transforming into medium: $s \rightarrow m$;
 - then, what was originally medium starts slowly transforming into large: $m \rightarrow \ell$, etc.

- It is reasonable to assume that both “chemical reactions” $s \rightarrow m$ and $m \rightarrow \ell$ have the same rate k , then:

$$\frac{ds}{dq} = -k \cdot s; \quad \frac{dm}{dq} = k \cdot s - k \cdot m; \quad \frac{d\ell}{dq} = k \cdot m.$$

- It is natural to interpret the “concentrations” $s(q)$, $m(q)$, \dots , as degrees to which q is small, medium, \dots
- In other words, we take $\mu_{\text{small}}(q) = s(q)$, $\mu_{\text{medium}}(q) = m(q)$, \dots

Shift-Invariant...

Ideally, Membership...

A Collection of Several...

Definitions

Main Result

What Is Chemical...

Relation Between...

Connection Between...

Discussion

Home Page

Title Page



Page 9 of 14

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

9. Connection Between Chemical Kinetics and Membership Functions: General Case

- Let's consider $n \geq 3$ membership functions $\mu_1(q), \dots, \mu_n(q)$, with reactions $\mu_1 \rightarrow \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{n-1} \rightarrow \mu_n$.
- The corresponding equations of chemical kinetics have the form:

$$\frac{d\mu_1(q)}{dq} = -\lambda \cdot \mu_1(q), \dots, \frac{d\mu_i(q)}{dq} = \lambda \cdot \mu_{i-1}(q) - \lambda \cdot \mu_i(q), \dots,$$

$$\frac{d\mu_n(q)}{dq} = \lambda \cdot \mu_{n-1}(q).$$

- The initial values are $\mu_1(0) = 1$ and $\mu_2(0) = \dots = \mu_n(0) = 0$.
- Thus, this system allows us to uniquely determine the values $\mu_i(q)$ for all $q \geq 0$, as

$$\mu_i(q) = \frac{\lambda^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} \cdot q^{i-1} \cdot \exp(-\lambda \cdot q).$$

Shift-Invariant...

Ideally, Membership...

A Collection of Several...

Definitions

Main Result

What Is Chemical...

Relation Between...

Connection Between...

Discussion

Home Page

Title Page



Page 10 of 14

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

10. Comment about Normalization

- The membership f-s $\mu_i(q) = \frac{\lambda^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} \cdot q^{i-1} \cdot \exp(-\lambda \cdot q)$ are not normalized: $\max_q \mu_i(1) \neq 1$.
- We can normalize each function by dividing it by its maximum value.
- The equation $\frac{d\mu_i(q)}{dq} = 0$ leads to $q_{\max} = (i-1) \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda}$, hence $\mu_i(q_{\max}) = \frac{(i-1)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} \cdot \exp(-(i-1))$.
- So, the normalized membership functions have the form

$$\tilde{\mu}_i(q) = \frac{\lambda^{i-1}}{(i-1)^{i-1}} \cdot q^{i-1} \cdot \exp((i-1) - \lambda \cdot q).$$

11. Discussion

- Two different approaches lead to the same class of membership functions $\mu_i(q) = \text{const} \cdot q^{i-1} \cdot \exp(-\lambda \cdot q)$:
 - the approach based on shift-invariance, and
 - an analogy between chemical kinetics and fuzzy logic.
- The fact that two different ideas lead to the same membership f-s $\mu_i(q)$ confirms that these f-s are reasonable.
- It is worth mentioning that these functions $\mu_i(q)$ have equally spaced maxima $q_{\max} = (i - 1) \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda}$.
- This is good news, since people normally use membership functions $\mu_i(q)$ with equally spaced maxima.

12. Acknowledgment

- This work was supported in part:
 - by the National Science Foundation grants HRD-0734825 and HRD-1242122 (Cyber-ShARE Center of Excellence) and DUE-0926721,
 - by Grants 1 T36 GM078000-01 and 1R43TR000173-01 from the National Institutes of Health, and
 - by grant N62909-12-1-7039 from the Office of Naval Research.
- The authors are thankful:
 - to Grigory Yablonsky for valuable discussions of the possible relation between membership functions and chemical kinetics, and
 - to the anonymous referees for valuable suggestions.

Shift-Invariant . . .

Ideally, Membership . . .

A Collection of Several . . .

Definitions

Main Result

What Is Chemical . . .

Relation Between . . .

Connection Between . . .

Discussion

Home Page

Title Page

◀◀

▶▶

◀

▶

Page 13 of 14

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

13. References on Chemical Kinetics

- G. S. Yablonsky, D. Constales, and G. B. Marin, “Coincidences in chemical kinetics: surprising news about simple reactions”, *Chemical Engineering Science*, 2010, Vol. 65, pp. 6065–6076.
- G. S. Yablonsky, D. Constales, and G. B. Marin, “Equilibrium relationships for non-equilibrium chemical dependencies”, *Chemical Engineering Science*, 2011, Vol. 66, pp. 111–114.

[Shift-Invariant...](#)

[Ideally, Membership...](#)

[A Collection of Several...](#)

[Definitions](#)

[Main Result](#)

[What Is Chemical...](#)

[Relation Between...](#)

[Connection Between...](#)

[Discussion](#)

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)



Page 14 of 14

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)