

Natural Color Interpretation of Interval-Valued Fuzzy Degrees

Victor L. Timchenko¹, Yury P. Kondratenko^{2,3}, Vladik Kreinovich⁴,
and Olga Kosheleva⁵

¹Admiral Makarov National Univ. of Shipbuilding, Mykolaiv 054025, Ukraine
vl.timchenko58@gmail.com

²Petro Mohyla Black Sea National Univ., Mykolaiv 054003, Ukraine
y.kondrat2002@yahoo.com

³Institute of Artificial Intelligence Problems,
Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine (MES) and
the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine (NAS)
Kyiv 01001, Ukraine

^{4,5}Departments of ⁴Computer Science and ⁵Teacher Education
University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, Texas 79968, USA
vladik@utep.edu, olgak@utep.edu

1. Fuzzy knowledge is important

- A significant part of human knowledge is described by imprecise (“fuzzy”) words from natural language.
- This includes expert knowledge in many application areas.
- For example, medical instructions are filled with words like “high fever”, “high blood pressure”, “low red blood cell count”.
- There are often precise thresholds – e.g., the precise 38° C threshold for fever.
- However, it is very clear that there is no critical difference between temperatures 37.9 and 38.0.
- As a result, in such borderline cases, medical doctors do not blindly follow the formalized rules.
- Instead, they take into account the whole state of the patient when prescribing treatment.

2. Traditional $[0, 1]$ -based approach to describing fuzzy knowledge

- We need to describe imprecise (fuzzy) knowledge in computer-understandable numerical terms.
- Lotfi Zadeh suggested to describe:
 - for each corresponding statement,
 - the degree to which this statement is true.
- For example:
 - 38.5 is clearly a fever (with degree 1),
 - 36.6 is clearly not a fever (i.e., a fever with degree 0), while
 - 37.8 is a fever with some degree intermediate between 0 and 1.
- This idea started the currently well developed area of fuzzy techniques.
- This area has many successful applications.

3. Need to go beyond the traditional $[0, 1]$ -based logic

- In the traditional fuzzy technique:
 - to each imprecise natural-language property P (like “high”) and
 - to each possible value x of the corresponding quantity (e.g., “temperature”),
 - we ask the expert to assign a degree $P(x)$ to which the value x has the property P .
- This idea works successfully in many applications.
- However, it does not fully capture our intuitive idea of fuzziness and imprecision:
- We start with the correct idea that users *cannot* come up with an *exact* threshold separating:
 - what is high and
 - what is not high.

4. Need to go beyond the $[0, 1]$ -based logic (cont-d)

- But then we require the same users to come up with the *exact* number describing their degree of certainty.
- Intuitively:
 - we can usually meaningfully distinguish between degrees 0.6 and 0.8,
 - but hardly anyone can meaningfully distinguish between degrees 0.8 and 0.81.
- It seems more natural to take into account that:
 - we can only assign degrees with uncertainty;
 - e.g., describe the range (interval) of possible degrees.
- If we allow intervals of degrees helps, this helps resolve another problems of $[0, 1]$ -based degree.

5. Need to go beyond the $[0, 1]$ -based logic (cont-d)

- For these degrees, there is no easy way to distinguish between:
 - the case when we have no information about the situation and
 - the case when we have many arguments in favor of the statements and equally many arguments against.
- In the $[0, 1]$ -based approach, both cases are described by the same degree 0.5.
- This is the degree which is exactly in the middle between 0 and 1.
- In contrast, in the interval-valued case we can do better.
- We can keep the degree 0.5 (i.e., the interval $[0.5, 0.5]$) for the case when we have:
 - many arguments for and
 - equally many arguments against.

6. Need to go beyond the $[0, 1]$ -based logic (cont-d)

- And we can assign the interval $[0, 1]$ to the case when we have no information.
- This would mean that anything is possible.

7. If interval-valued fuzzy techniques are so promising, why not everyone is using them?

- On a theoretical level, interval-valued techniques provide a more adequate description of uncertainty.
- However, in practice, most applications of fuzzy techniques still use the traditional $[0, 1]$ -based values.
- Why?
- A possible answer comes from the following.
- People are accustomed to marking a value on a scale:
 - we do it for surveys,
 - students do it for faculty evaluations,
 - we do it to indicate our opinion about the quality of a restaurant or a movie.

8. If interval-valued fuzzy techniques are so promising, why not everyone is using them (cont-d)

- However, most people are not accustomed to marking intervals.
- So, we need to make interval-valued techniques more natural, more convenient for people to use.

9. Geometric analysis of the problem

- In the traditional approach to fuzzy uncertainty:
 - possible degrees of certainty are
 - numbers from the interval $[0, 1]$.
- In geometric terms, this interval can be described as follows:
 - we start with two degrees 0 and 1, and
 - we consider all possible convex combinations of these two degrees, i.e., all possible combinations of the type

$$\alpha_1 \cdot 1 + \alpha_0 \cdot 0, \text{ where } \alpha_i \geq 0 \text{ and } \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 = 1.$$

- Indeed, any value a from the interval $[0, 1]$ can be represented in this form, with $\alpha_0 = a$ and $\alpha_1 = 1 - a$.

10. Geometric analysis of the problem (cont-d)

- Interval-valued degrees can be described in a similar way:
 - we have three degrees: 0 (i.e., $[0, 0]$), 1 (i.e., $[1, 1]$), and $[0, 1]$, and
 - we consider all possible convex combinations of these three degrees,
 - i.e., all possible combinations of the type

$$\alpha_1 \cdot [1, 1] + \alpha_0 \cdot [0, 0] + \alpha_{01} \cdot [0, 1]$$

where $\alpha_i \geq 0$ and $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_{01} = 1$.

- In this formula, addition and multiplication are understood component-wise, i.e.,
 - $\alpha \cdot [a, b]$ is understood as $[\alpha \cdot a, \alpha \cdot b]$, and
 - $[a_1, b_1] + [a_2, b_2]$ is understood as $[a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2]$.
- In this interpretation, the formula leads to the interval

$$[\alpha_1 \cdot 1 + \alpha_0 \cdot 0 + \alpha_{01} \cdot 0, \alpha_1 \cdot 1 + \alpha_0 \cdot 0 + \alpha_{01} \cdot 1] = [\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_{01}].$$

11. Geometric analysis of the problem (cont-d)

- Thus:
 - to represent any interval $[a, b] \subseteq [0, 1]$ in this form,
 - it is sufficient to select the values α_i for which $\alpha_1 = a$, $\alpha_1 + \alpha_{01} = b$, and $\sigma_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_{01} = 1$.
- So, we can take $\alpha_1 = a$, $\alpha_{01} = b - a$ and, correspondingly,

$$\alpha_0 = 1 - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_{01}) = 1 - b.$$

12. In view of this geometric representation, how can we make it natural?

- In line with this geometric representation of interval-valued fuzzy techniques, how can make this natural?
- To look for such a natural representation, let us look for some natural phenomenon in which:
 - we have 3 basic states and
 - every other state can be represented as a convex combination of these basic states.
- Of course, there is such a phenomenon – it is the phenomenon of color:
 - there are three basic colors, e.g., red, green, and blue, and
 - every color can be represented as a convex combination of these colors.

13. In view of this geometric representation, how can we make it natural (cont-d)

- This is not just a theoretical idea:
 - this is how our eyes perceive color, via cells attuned to these three basic colors,
 - this is how computer screens represent color – by three dense grids of light sources corresponding to three basic colors, etc.
- So, we arrive at the following idea.

14. Main idea

- Let us represent each fuzzy interval $[a, b]$ by an appropriate color.
- Namely, by a convex combination of three basic colors with coefficients a , $b - a$ and $1 - b$.

15. Details

- Which of the three basic colors should correspond to the three basic elements 0, 1, and $[0, 1]$?
- Which basic colors should corresponding to 0, which to 1, and which to $[0, 1]$?
- From the commonsense viewpoint, the interval $[0, 1]$ is kind of between 0 (“absolutely false”) and 1 (“absolutely true”).
- Thus:
 - as a color corresponding to $[0, 1]$,
 - it is reasonable to select a color which is, in some reasonable sense, intermediate between the two others.
- For colors, there is a natural linear order.

16. Details (cont-d)

- This order corresponds to the frequency of the corresponding light. In this order:
 - red color has the smallest frequency,
 - blue has the largest frequency, and
 - green is in between.
- Thus, it is reasonable to associate green with the interval $[0, 1]$.
- How do we interpret the remaining two colors: red and blue?
- One of them should correspond to 0, another to one.
- With street lights, we are accustomed to associating red with prohibition and negation.
- So, it is reasonable to associate red with 0 (false) and blue with 1 (true).

17. Comment

- There is another reason for such an association.
- Indeed, “true” usually carries more information than “false”.
- E.g., when we try to explain a natural phenomenon:
 - most explanation attempts turn out to be false, and
 - only one is true.
- From this viewpoint, it is reasonable:
 - to associate 1 (true)
 - with the color that carries more information.
- From this viewpoint:
 - since each cycle can convey a certain amount of information,
 - the information-carrying capacity of each color is proportional to its frequency.

18. Comment (cont-d)

- Since the blue color corresponds to higher frequency, it is more informative.
- Thus, it should be associated with 1.

19. Resulting color representation of interval-valued fuzzy degrees

- So, we arrive at the following representation of an interval $[a, b] \subseteq [0, 1]$: it is a convex combination, in which we have:
 - blue with coefficient b ,
 - green with coefficient $b - a$, and
 - red with coefficient a .
- Our preliminary tests show that this scheme indeed provides users with a reasonable representation of uncertainty.

20. Color representation can be used not only to represent fuzzy degrees, but also to process them

- Up to now, we explained that colors provide a natural *representation* of interval-valued fuzzy degrees.
- Interestingly, the color representation can be also used for *processing* fuzzy degrees.
- Namely, we can process the corresponding colored beams of light.
- The main advantage of such optical data processing – and optical data processing in general – is that:
 - it can be naturally parallelized,
 - this drastically speeds up computations.
- An additional speed-up comes from the fact that:
 - optical computing occurs with the speed of light,
 - which is, according to modern physics, the fastest possible process in the Universe.

21. Color representation beyond interval-valued fuzzy degrees

- In describing colors, we may be interested not only in the color itself, but also in how bright or how light is this color.
- Interestingly, there is a natural way to extend our color-based fuzzy interpretation to this additional feature.
- Namely, in 2011, Lotfi Zadeh proposed to make our description of the expert's opinion more adequate by adding,
 - to the expert's degree of confidence – as described by a number from the interval $[0, 1]$ or by a subinterval of this interval,
 - an additional number that describes the expert's degree of confidence in this number.
- Zadeh called the resulting pairs *Z-numbers*.

22. Beyond interval-valued fuzzy degrees (cont-d)

- For example, when asked whether a person who one saw for a second was tall:
 - the witness may say “somewhat tall”, and
 - explain that he/she is somewhat – but not fully – confident about this.
- Thus, in this case:
 - in addition to the numerical value corresponding to “somewhat tall”,
 - we have an additional number describing “somewhat confident”.
- This additional number describe the degree to which the expert is confident about his/her statement.

23. Beyond interval-valued fuzzy degrees (cont-d)

- This number is thus a natural counterpart of the intensity of color.
- Thus, a color scheme can be naturally used:
 - not only to describe interval-valued fuzzy degrees,
 - but also to describe interval-valued Z-numbers.

24. An additional confirmation that interval-valued fuzzy degrees are more adequate

- An interesting side effect of our idea is that we now have a new argument of:
 - why interval-valued fuzzy degrees are more adequate
 - than values from the interval $[0, 1]$ – at least for describing sufficiently complex phenomena.
- Indeed, as we have mentioned:
 - while interval-valued degrees can be obtained as convex combinations of 3 basic degrees, and thus, correspond to combinations of 3 colors,
 - $[0, 1]$ -based degrees are convex combinations of 2 basic degrees, and thus, correspond to combinations of 2 colors.

25. An additional confirmation that interval-valued fuzzy degrees are more adequate (cont-d)

- Herein lies the crucial difference:
 - for general graphs, the question of whether a graph can be colored in 3 colors is known to be NP-hard,
 - while the question of whether a graph can be colored in 2 colors can be answered by a simple feasible algorithm.
- Thus, if we want to be able to describe complex phenomena – for many of which important questions are NP-hard:
 - we cannot use 2 colors, that would lead to models which are too simple,
 - we need at least 3 colors.
- (And, by the way, 3 colors are sufficient to reflect the corresponding complexity.)

26. Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by:

- National Science Foundation grants 1623190, HRD-1834620, HRD-2034030, and EAR-2225395;
- AT&T Fellowship in Information Technology;
- program of the development of the Scientific-Educational Mathematical Center of Volga Federal District No. 075-02-2020-1478, and
- a grant from the Hungarian National Research, Development and Innovation Office (NRDI).