

# Why Exponential Almon Lag Works Well in Econometrics: An Invariance-Based Explanation

Laxman Bokati<sup>1</sup> and Vladik Kreinovich<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Computational Science Program

<sup>2</sup>Department of Computer Science

University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, Texas 79968, USA

lbokati@miners.utep.edu, vladik@utep.edu

## 1. Ubiquity of linear prediction formulas

- One of the main objectives of science is to predict future events based on the past behavior of the corresponding system.
- In general, to adequately describe a complex system, one needs to describe the values of many quantities characterizing this system.
- However, in many cases, already a single quantity provides a reasonable description of a system.
- Let us provide two examples.
- The first example is that many quantities need to be described to get a good description of the state of a country's economy.
- However, in the first approximation, Gross Domestic Product – GDP – provides a reasonably good description of this state.

## 2. Ubiquity of linear prediction formulas (cont-d)

- Similarly:
  - to have a very good understanding of a stock market,
  - it is desirable to have a detailed description of how the values of different stocks change with time.
- However, in the first approximation:
  - a single variable – the stock market index –
  - provides a good description of the current state of the stock market and of its dynamics.
- For such descriptions, prediction means:
  - predicting the value  $x_t$  of the corresponding quantity at a future moment  $t$
  - based on the current and previous values  $x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, \dots$ , of this quantity.

### 3. Ubiquity of linear prediction formulas (cont-d)

- In mathematical terms, prediction means:
  - applying some function  $a(x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, \dots)$  to known values  $x_{t-i}$ ,  $x_{t-2}, \dots$ ,
  - to generate the estimate for the future value  $x_t$ .
- That we only use a single variable to describe a system means, as we have mentioned, that we are using a first approximation model.
- It is therefore reasonable to apply the same idea of the first approximation to describing possible functions  $a(x_{t-1}, \dots)$ .
- In general, sufficiently smooth functions can be expanded in Taylor series.

## 4. Ubiquity of linear prediction formulas (cont-d)

- By selecting appropriate terms in these series, we can get more and more accurate approximations.
  - If we only keep constant and linear terms, we get the first approximation.
  - If we also keep quadratic terms, we get the second-order approximation, etc.
- This is a usual way to analyze physical systems in general.
- So, in the first approximation, it is reasonable to assume that the function  $a(x_{t-1}, \dots)$  is linear, i.e., that

$$a(x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, \dots, x_{t-k}) =$$

$$w_0 + w_1 \cdot x_{t-1} + w_2 \cdot x_{t-2} + \dots + w_k \cdot x_{t-k}.$$

- Here  $t - k$  describes the earliest value that we take into account in our prediction.

## 5. Ubiquity of linear prediction formulas (cont-d)

- One of the main ideas about prediction is that:
  - if a system remained in the same state for a long time,
  - it will most probably remain in this state in the future.
- This idea is why we believe in conservation laws in physics:
  - since energy or momentum of a closed system have remained the same for a long time,
  - we conclude that this quantity will retain the same value in the future as well.
- In relation to the above formula, this means that:
  - if we have  $x_{t-1} = \dots = x_{t-k} = x$ ,
  - then the predicted value  $x_t = a(x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, \dots, x_{t-k})$  should also be equal to the same quantity  $x$ .

## 6. Ubiquity of linear prediction formulas (cont-d)

- In other words, we should require that  $x = w_0 + w_1 \cdot x + \dots + w_k \cdot x$ , i.e., equivalently, that

$$w_0 + x \cdot (w_1 + \dots + w_k - 1) = 0 \text{ for all } x.$$

- In general, a linear function is always equal to 0 if both its coefficients are equal to 0.
- So we should have  $w_0 = 0$  and  $w_1 + \dots + w_k = 1$ .
- Since  $w_0 = 0$ , the linear dependence takes the form

$$a(x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, \dots, x_{t-k}) = w_1 \cdot x_{t-1} + w_2 \cdot x_{t-2} + \dots + w_k \cdot x_{t-k}.$$

- Now, selecting a good prediction formula means selecting appropriate values  $w_i$ .

## 7. Empirical fact: exponential Almon formula works well in econometrics

- Several formulas for  $w_i$  have been tried.
- It turned out that in many econometric applications, the following weights work the best:

$$w_i = \frac{\exp(a_0 + a_1 \cdot i + a_2 \cdot i^2 + \dots + a_n \cdot i^n)}{\sum_{j=1}^k \exp(a_0 + a_1 \cdot j + a_2 \cdot j^2 + \dots + a_n \cdot j^n)} \text{ for some coefficients } a_i.$$

- This formula is known as *exponential Almon lag* – since it is an exponential version of a formula previously proposed by Almon.
- While the formula has been empirically successful, there is no convincing theoretical explanation for this success.
- In this talk, we use invariance ideas to provide such a theoretical explanation.

## 8. How weights depend on time?

- Let us try to formulate the problem in precise terms.
- Different weights  $w_i$  correspond to different time intervals:
  - the weight  $w_1$  corresponds to the selected time quantum  $\Delta t$ ,
  - the weight  $w_2$  corresponds to the time interval  $2 \cdot \Delta t$ , and,
  - in general, the weight  $w_i$  corresponds to the time interval  $i \cdot \Delta t$ .
- At first glance, it may look like we can simply assume that the weight is a function of time:  $w_i = f(i \cdot \Delta t)$ .
- However, this assumption will not lead to  $w_1 + \dots + w_k = 1$ .
- To maintain this equality, we need to normalize these values by dividing each value  $f(i \cdot \Delta t)$  by their sum:  $w_i = \frac{f(i \cdot \Delta t)}{\sum_{j=1}^k f(j \cdot \Delta t)}$ .
- So, a natural question is: what is an appropriate function  $f(t)$ ?

## 9. Different functions $f(t)$ may lead to the same weights $w_i$

- In different situations, we may have different weights – and thus, different functions  $f(t)$ .
- However, even when the weights are the same, we may have different functions  $f(t)$ ; for example:
  - if we take a function  $g(t) = C \cdot f(t)$  for some constant  $C$ ,
  - then both numerator and denominator of the right-hand side of the formula or  $w_i$  will be multiplied by the same constant  $C$  and
  - thus, the weights will remain the same:

$$\frac{f(i \cdot \Delta t)}{\sum_{j=1}^k f(j \cdot \Delta t)} = \frac{g(i \cdot \Delta t)}{\sum_{j=1}^k g(j \cdot \Delta t)}.$$

## 10. Different functions may lead to the same weights (cont-d)

- Vice versa, let us show that:
  - if two functions  $f(t)$  and  $g(t)$  always lead to the same weights,
  - then the functions  $f(t)$  and  $g(t)$  differ only by a multiplicative constant:  $g(t) = C \cdot f(t)$  for some constant  $C$ .
- Indeed, the above formula implies that

$$\frac{g(i \cdot \Delta t)}{f(i \cdot \Delta t)} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k g(j \cdot \Delta t)}{\sum_{j=1}^k f(j \cdot \Delta t)}.$$

- The right-hand side of this formula is the same for all time intervals  $i \cdot \Delta t$ , i.e., is a constant.
- If we denote this constant by  $C$ , we get the desired equality

$$g(t) = C \cdot f(t).$$

## 11. The desired dependence, in general, depends on many factors

- The exact form of the dependence  $f(t)$  depends on many different factors.
- So  $f(t)$  depends on the values of the quantities  $q_1, \dots, q_m$  that characterize these factors:

$$f(t) = F(q_1(t), \dots, q_m(t)).$$

## 12. Possibility to re-scale numerical values

- A numerical value of a physical quantity depends on the selection of the measuring unit.
- For example, the same height can be described as 1.7 m and as 170 cm.
- In general:
  - if we replace the original measuring unit by a new one which is  $\lambda > 0$  times smaller,
  - then all the numerical values of the corresponding quantity  $q$  get multiplied by  $\lambda$ :

$$q \mapsto \lambda \cdot q.$$

## 13. Scale-invariance

- In many cases, there is no preferred measuring unit.
- This means that the physical dependence should not depend in which units we use for measuring each of the quantities  $q_a$ .
- We should get the same formula no matter what re-scaling  $q_a \mapsto \lambda_a \cdot q_a$  we apply to the numerical values of each of these quantities.
- In other words, the weights  $w_i$  as described by the above formula should not change if:
  - instead of the original values  $q_a(t)$ ,
  - we use re-scaled values  $\lambda_a \cdot q_a(t)$ .
  - i.e., equivalently, if we use a re-scaled function

$$g(t) = F(\lambda_1 \cdot q_1(t), \dots, \lambda_m \cdot q_m(t)).$$

- We have already shown that the only possibility for two functions  $f(t)$  and  $g(t)$  to lead to the same weights  $w_i$  is when

$$g(t) = C \cdot f(t) \text{ for some constant } C.$$

## 14. Scale-invariance (cont-d)

- In our case, we conclude that there is a constant  $C$  – which may depend on the re-scaling parameters  $\lambda_i$  – for which:

$$F(\lambda_1 \cdot q_1, \dots, \lambda_m \cdot q_m) = C(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \cdot F(q_1, \dots, q_m).$$

- It is known that all continuous solutions of the above functional equation have the form  $F(q_1, \dots, q_m) = A \cdot q_1^{a_1} \cdot \dots \cdot q_m^{a_m}$ .
- Vice versa, it is easy to show that all functions of this type are scale-invariant, i.e., satisfy the above equation, for

$$C(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) = \lambda_1^{a_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda_m^{a_m}.$$

- In different situations, we may have different dependence on the quantities  $q_i$ , i.e., we may have different values  $A$  and  $a_i$ .
- It is therefore reasonable to consider the class of *all* the functions of this type.
- This class of functions is closed under multiplication and raising to a power.

## 15. Scale-invariance (cont-d)

- This closeness can be described in easier-to-process terms if we take the logarithm of both sides and consider the function

$$L(q_1(t), \dots, q_m(t)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ln(F(q_1(t), \dots, q_m(t))).$$

- For this function,  $F(q_1(t), \dots, q_m(t)) = \exp(L(q_1(t), \dots, q_m(t)))$ .
- For this logarithm, the above equality takes the form

$$L(q_1(t), \dots, q_m(t)) = a + a_1 \cdot Q_1(t) + \dots + a_m \cdot Q_m(t).$$

- Here we denoted  $a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ln(A)$  and  $Q_a(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ln(q_a(t))$ .
- The above formula for  $L$  describes all possible linear combinations of functions 1 and  $Q_a(t)$ .
- Thus, the logarithmic functions corresponding to all possible expressions of this type form a finite-dimensional linear space.

## 16. Scale-invariance with respect to time

- We have already mentioned that there is usually no preferred measuring unit for measuring the quantities  $q_a$ .
- Similarly, there is usually also no preferred unit for measuring time intervals.
- Thus, it is reasonable to assume that:
  - if we change the measuring unit for time interval  $t \mapsto \mu \cdot t$ ,
  - then we should get the same family of functions.
- In other words, it is reasonable to assume that the linear space of  $L$ -functions is *scale-invariant* in the sense that:
  - with every function  $L(t)$ ,
  - this space should also contain functions  $L(\mu \cdot t)$  corresponding to different values  $\mu$ .

## 17. Final explanation

- It is also reasonable to require that all the functions  $L$  are analytical, i.e., that they can be expanded in Taylor series.
- This is, as we have mentioned, a usual assumption in the analysis of physical systems.
- It is known that every function from a scale-invariant finite-dimensional linear space of analytical functions is a polynomial.
- Thus, every logarithmic function  $L(q_1(t), \dots, a_m(t))$  is a polynomial.
- So, the function  $f(t) = F(q_1(t), \dots, q_m(t))$  is equal to  $e$  to the polynomial-of- $t$  power.
- Thus, the weights  $w_i$  have the desired form.
- So, we have indeed explained the empirical success of the exponential Almon lag formula.
- Namely, it turns out to be the only formula that satisfies the natural invariance conditions.

## 18. Auxiliary Section: How to Prove the Result That We Cited

- Let us consider any function  $L(t)$  from the scale-invariant finite-dimensional linear space  $S$  of analytical functions.
- Since this function is analytical, it has the form

$$L(t) = c_0 + c_1 \cdot t + c_2 \cdot t^2 + \dots$$

- Some of the coefficients  $c_i$  may be equal to 0, so let us keep only non-zero terms in the Taylor expansion:

$$L(t) = c_{k_1} \cdot t^{k_1} + c_{k_2} \cdot t^{k_2} + \dots, \text{ where } k_1 < k_2 < \dots$$

- Let us prove that the space  $S$  contains all the power functions  $t^{k_1}, t^{k_2}$ , etc. corresponding to all non-zero coefficients  $c_{k_i}$ .
- Since all power functions are linearly independent, and the space  $S$  is finite-dimensional, this would imply that the expansion:
  - contains only finitely many terms and
  - is, thus, a polynomial.

## 19. How to Prove the Result That We Cited (cont-d)

- Let us first prove that the space  $S$  contains the function  $t^{k_1}$ .
- Indeed, since the space  $S$  is scale-invariant, with the function  $L(t)$ , it also contains, for every  $\mu$ , the function

$$L(\mu \cdot t) = c_{k_1} \cdot \mu^{k_1} \cdot t^{k_1} + c_{k_2} \cdot \mu^{k_2} \cdot t^{k_2} + \dots$$

- Since  $S$  is a linear space, it also contains the function

$$c_{k_1}^{-1} \cdot \mu^{-k_1} \cdot L(\mu \cdot t) = t^{k_1} + \frac{c_{k_2}}{c_{k_1}} \cdot \mu^{k_2-k_1} \cdot t^{k_2} + \dots$$

- Any finite-dimensional space is closed in the topological sense (in the sense that it contains all its limits).
- In the limit  $\mu \rightarrow 0$ , the above function tends to  $t^{k_1}$ .
- Thus, this function is indeed contained in the space  $S$ .
- Since a linear space  $S$  contains the functions  $L(t)$  and  $t^{k_1}$ , it also contains their linear combination

$$L(t) - c_{k_1} \cdot t^{k_1} = c_{k_2} \cdot t^{k_2} + \dots$$

## 20. How to Prove the Result That We Cited (cont-d)

- Thus, similarly, we can prove that the function  $t^{k_2}$  is also contained in the space  $S$ , etc.
- The statement is thus proven.

## 21. Acknowledgments

- This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation grants:
  - 1623190 (A Model of Change for Preparing a New Generation for Professional Practice in Computer Science), and
  - HRD-1834620 and HRD-2034030 (CAHSI Includes).
- It was also supported by the AT&T Fellowship in Information Technology.
- It was also supported by the program of the development of the Scientific-Educational Mathematical Center of Volga Federal District No. 075-02-2020-1478.