

Estimating Quality of Support Vector Machines Learning Under Probabilistic and Interval Uncertainty: Algorithms and Computational Complexity

Canh Hao Nguyen¹, Tu Bao Ho¹,
and Vladik Kreinovich²

¹School of Knowledge Science
JAIST, Japan

²University of Texas at El Paso
El Paso, TX 79968, USA
vladik@utep.edu

Support Vector ...

Need to estimate ...

Need to estimate ...

Class Separability ...

Class Separability ...

CSM in terms of the ...

Need for an alternative ...

Feature-Space ...

Feature-Space ...

Need to take into ...

Practical results

General case: ...

Acknowledgments

This Page

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Page 1 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

1. Outline

- Support Vector Machines (SVM) is one of the most widely used technique in machines leaning.
- It is desirable to learn how well this classification fits the data.
- There exist several measures of fit. item Among them the most widely used is kernel target alignment.
- These measures, however, assume that the data are known exactly.
- In reality, the data points are only known with uncertainty.
- We show how to take this uncertainty into account.

Support Vector...

Need to estimate...

Need to estimate...

Class Separability...

Class Separability...

CSM in terms of the...

Need for an alternative...

Feature-Space...

Feature-Space...

Need to take into...

Practical results

General case:...

Acknowledgments

Title Page



Page 2 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

2. Machine learning: reminder

- *Machine learning:*

- we have several objects from different classes;
- each object x is described by d parameters x_1, \dots, x_d ;
- thus, we have several points

$$x^{(i)} = (x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_k^{(i)}, \dots, x_d^{(i)}), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

from different classes;

- we must classify a new point x to one of these classes.
- *Linear classification:* find a linear function for which
 - $c_1 \cdot x_1^{(i)} + \dots + c_d \cdot x_d^{(i)} > c_0$ for all *positive* examples, and
 - $c_1 \cdot x_1^{(i)} + \dots + c_d \cdot x_d^{(i)} < c_0$ for all *negative* examples.
- *Limitations:* not always possible (e.g., exclusive or).

Support Vector ...

Need to estimate ...

Need to estimate ...

Class Separability ...

Class Separability ...

CSM in terms of the ...

Need for an alternative ...

Feature-Space ...

Feature-Space ...

Need to take into ...

Practical results

General case: ...

Acknowledgments

Title Page

◀

▶

◀

▶

Page 3 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

3. Support Vector Machines

- There exists a continuous function $f(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ s.t.:
 - $f(x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_d^{(i)}) > 0$ for all positive examples and
 - $f(x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_d^{(i)}) < 0$ for all negative examples.
- A continuous function $f(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ can be, with arbitrary accuracy, approximated by a polynomial

$$\tilde{f}(x_1, \dots, x_d) = c_0 + c_1 \cdot x_1 + \dots + c_d \cdot x_d + \sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{l=1}^d c_{kl} \cdot x_k \cdot x_l + \dots$$

- *Conclusion:* we linearly separate points

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^2, x_1 \cdot x_2, \dots).$$

- *Comment:* instead of polynomials, we could use trigonometric polynomials, Gaussians, etc.

Support Vector ...

Need to estimate ...

Need to estimate ...

Class Separability ...

Class Separability ...

CSM in terms of the ...

Need for an alternative ...

Feature-Space ...

Feature-Space ...

Need to take into ...

Practical results

General case: ...

Acknowledgments

Title Page

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Page 4 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

4. Support Vector Machines: general description

- We start with points

$$x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}$$

in the d -dimensional space.

- We map each point x into a point

$$\phi(x) = (\phi_1(x), \dots, \phi_p(x), \dots, \phi_N(x))$$

in a higher-dimensional space (of dimension $N \geq d$).

- We use linear separation to separate the resulting points

$$\phi(x^{(1)}), \dots, \phi(x^{(n)})$$

in the N -dimensional space.

Support Vector ...

Need to estimate ...

Need to estimate ...

Class Separability ...

Class Separability ...

CSM in terms of the ...

Need for an alternative ...

Feature-Space ...

Feature-Space ...

Need to take into ...

Practical results

General case: ...

Acknowledgments

Title Page

◀◀

▶▶

◀

▶

Page 5 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

5. Need to estimate classification quality

- *Main idea:* points close to $x^{(i)}$ should be classified to the same class as $x^{(i)}$.
- *Geometric reformulation:* all the examples are sufficiently far away from the separating surface.
- *Auxiliary notion:* kernel matrix $k_{ij} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \phi(x^{(i)}), \phi(x^{(j)}) \rangle$, where $\langle \phi, \phi' \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{p=1}^N \phi_p \cdot \phi'_p$.
- *Ideal situation:* separation as sharp as possible:
 - the vectors $\phi(x^{(i)})$ corresponding to the positive examples to be equal to some unit vector e ;
 - all the vectors corresponding to the negative examples to be equal to $-e$.
- In this case, the kernel matrix is $y_i \cdot y_j$, where $y_i = 1$ for positive examples and $y_i = -1$ for negative examples.

Support Vector ...

Need to estimate ...

Need to estimate ...

Class Separability ...

Class Separability ...

CSM in terms of the ...

Need for an alternative ...

Feature-Space ...

Feature-Space ...

Need to take into ...

Practical results

General case: ...

Acknowledgments

Title Page

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Page 6 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

6. Need to estimate classification quality (cont-d)

- *Reminder*: ideal kernel matrix is $y_i \cdot y_j$,
- The closer k_{ij} to this ideal matrix, the better.
- Matrices are $N \times N$ vectors, so closeness can be measured as the cosine between these vectors:

$$A = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n k_{ij} \cdot y_i \cdot y_j}{n \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n k_{ij}^2}}$$

- This cosine is called kernel target alignment (KTA).

Support Vector ...

Need to estimate ...

Need to estimate ...

Class Separability ...

Class Separability ...

CSM in terms of the ...

Need for an alternative ...

Feature-Space ...

Feature-Space ...

Need to take into ...

Practical results

General case: ...

Acknowledgments

Title Page

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Page 7 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

7. Class Separability Measure (CSM)

- *Main idea:*
 - data points within each class should be close to each other, while
 - data points from different classes should be far away from each other.
- *Reformulation:* “within-class” scatter s_w should be much smaller than the “between-classes” scatter s_b .
- Each class is naturally characterized by its average.
- For each data point, its contribution:
 - to s_w can be described as a (squared) distance from this data point to the average, and
 - to s_b is a (squared) distance between the average of this class and the overall average.

Support Vector...

Need to estimate...

Need to estimate...

Class Separability...

Class Separability...

CSM in terms of the...

Need for an alternative...

Feature-Space...

Feature-Space...

Need to take into...

Practical results

General case:...

Acknowledgments

Title Page

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Page 8 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

8. Class Separability Measure (CSM): cont-d

- In the SVM approach, each data point $x^{(i)}$ is represented by the vector $\phi(x^{(i)})$.
- For each class S_c , $c = 1, 2, \dots, C$, let n_c denote the number of data points classified into this class.
- Let ϕ_c denote the average of all $\phi(x^{(i)})$ from S_c .
- Let ϕ denote the average of all n vectors $\phi(x^{(i)})$.
- Then, we can define the *within-class scatter* s_w as

$$s_w \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{i \in S_c} \|\phi(x^{(i)}) - \phi_c\|^2.$$

- *Between-classes scatter* is

$$s_b \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{c=1}^C n_c \cdot \|\phi_c - \phi\|^2.$$

- A classification is of good quality if $s_w \ll s_b$.

Support Vector ...

Need to estimate ...

Need to estimate ...

Class Separability ...

Class Separability ...

CSM in terms of the ...

Need for an alternative ...

Feature-Space ...

Feature-Space ...

Need to take into ...

Practical results

General case: ...

Acknowledgments

Title Page

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Page 9 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

9. CSM in terms of the kernel matrix

- *Case*: two classes, with n^+ and n^- examples.
- First, for every i , we compute

$$a_i^+ = \frac{1}{n^+} \sum_{j:y_j=1} k_{ij}; \quad a_i^- = \frac{1}{n^-} \sum_{j:y_j=-1} k_{ij}.$$

- Second, we compute

$$a^{++} = \frac{1}{n^+} \sum_{j:y_j=1} a_i^+, \quad a^{+-} = \frac{1}{n^-} \sum_{j:y_j=-1} a_i^+,$$

$$a^{-+} = \frac{1}{n^+} \sum_{j:y_j=1} a_i^-, \quad a^{--} = \frac{1}{n^-} \sum_{j:y_j=-1} a_i^-,$$

and $s_b = a^{++} - a^{+-} - a^{-+} + a^{--}$.

- Then, we compute

$$s_w = \sum_{i=1}^n k_{ii} - n^+ \cdot a^{++} - n^- \cdot a^{--}.$$

Support Vector ...

Need to estimate ...

Need to estimate ...

Class Separability ...

Class Separability ...

CSM in terms of the ...

Need for an alternative ...

Feature-Space ...

Feature-Space ...

Need to take into ...

Practical results

General case: ...

Acknowledgments

Title Page

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Page 10 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

10. Need for an alternative quality measure

- In many practical examples, KTA and CSM provides a reasonable estimate for the quality of fit.
- However, there are examples when KTA and CSM are counter-intuitive.
- *Example:* for some coordinate $\phi_p(x)$, we have
 - $\phi_p(x^{(i)}) = 1$ for all positive examples and
 - $\phi_p(x^{(i)}) = -1$ for all negative examples.
- *Intuitively:* we have a perfect classification.
- However, the values $\phi_q(x^{(i)})$ for $q \neq p$ may be widely scattered.
- *Result:* we can have a huge value of the within-class scatter $s_w \gg s_b$.

Support Vector ...

Need to estimate ...

Need to estimate ...

Class Separability ...

Class Separability ...

CSM in terms of the ...

Need for an alternative ...

Feature-Space ...

Feature-Space ...

Need to take into ...

Practical results

General case: ...

Acknowledgments

Title Page

◀◀

▶▶

◀

▶

Page 11 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

11. Feature-Space Measure (FSM)

- *Main idea:* take into account only the scatter in the direction between the centers ϕ^- and ϕ^+ .
- First, we compute:
 - the average ϕ^+ of the values $\phi(x^{(i)})$ for all the positive examples and
 - the average ϕ^- of the values $\phi(x^{(i)})$ for all the negative examples.
- In the ideal case, as we have mentioned, we should have $\phi^+ = e$ and $\phi^- = -e$ for some unit vector e .
- Then, we estimate the vector e as the unit vector in the direction of the difference $\phi^+ - \phi^-$, i.e., as

$$e = \frac{\phi^+ - \phi^-}{\|\phi^+ - \phi^-\|}.$$

Support Vector ...

Need to estimate ...

Need to estimate ...

Class Separability ...

Class Separability ...

CSM in terms of the ...

Need for an alternative ...

Feature-Space ...

Feature-Space ...

Need to take into ...

Practical results

General case: ...

Acknowledgments

Title Page

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Page 12 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

12. Feature-Space Measure (FSM): cont-d

- Next, for each example i , we compute the projection $p_i = \langle \phi(x^{(i)}), e \rangle$ of the vector $\phi^{(i)}$ to the direction e .
- We compute the population means

$$p^+ = \frac{1}{n^+} \cdot \sum_{i:y_i=1} p_i; \quad p^- = \frac{1}{n^-} \cdot \sum_{i:y_i=-1} p_i.$$

- We compute population variances

$$V^+ = \frac{1}{n^+ - 1} \cdot \sum_{i:y_i=1} (p_i - p^+)^2; \quad V^- = \frac{1}{n^- - 1} \cdot \sum_{i:y_i=-1} (p_i - p^-)^2.$$

- Then, we compute the desired value

$$\frac{\sqrt{V^+} + \sqrt{V^-}}{\|\phi^+ - \phi^-\|}.$$

Support Vector ...

Need to estimate ...

Need to estimate ...

Class Separability ...

Class Separability ...

CSM in terms of the ...

Need for an alternative ...

Feature-Space ...

Feature-Space ...

Need to take into ...

Practical results

General case: ...

Acknowledgments

Title Page

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Page 13 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

13. Need to take into account probabilistic and interval uncertainty

- *We assumed:* that all the values $x_k^{(i)}$ are known exactly.
- *In practice:* these values comes from measurements.
- *Fact:* measurement are never 100% accurate: there is always a measurement error

$$\Delta x_k^{(i)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{x}_k^{(i)} - x_k^{(i)} \neq 0.$$

- *Question:* how these measurement errors affect different measures Q of quality of fit?
- *Two possibilities:*
 - *engineering approach:* $\Delta x_k^{(i)}$ are normally distributed with 0 mean and known standard deviation $\sigma_k^{(i)}$;
 - *realistic approach:* we only know upper bounds $\Delta_k^{(i)}$ on the measurement errors: $|\Delta x_k^{(i)}| \leq \Delta_k^{(i)}$.

Support Vector ...

Need to estimate ...

Need to estimate ...

Class Separability ...

Class Separability ...

CSM in terms of the ...

Need for an alternative ...

Feature-Space ...

Feature-Space ...

Need to take into ...

Practical results

General case: ...

Acknowledgments

Title Page

◀

▶

◀

▶

Page 14 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

14. Practical results

- *Practical case*: measurements are reasonably accurate.
- *Conclusion*: we can ignore terms quadratic in $\Delta x_k^{(i)}$ and get

$$\Delta Q = \sum_{i,k} c_{ik} \cdot \Delta x_k^{(i)}, \text{ where } c_{ik} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_k^{(i)}}.$$

- *Probabilistic case*: ΔQ is normally distributed, with 0 mean and standard deviation

$$\sigma^2 = \sum_{i,k} c_{ik}^2 \cdot \sigma_{ik}^2.$$

- *Interval case*: the largest possible value of ΔQ is

$$\Delta = \sum_{i,k} |c_{ik}| \cdot \Delta_{ik}.$$

- *What we did*: for the specific quality metrics, provided easier-to-compute versions of these formulas.

Support Vector ...

Need to estimate ...

Need to estimate ...

Class Separability ...

Class Separability ...

CSM in terms of the ...

Need for an alternative ...

Feature-Space ...

Feature-Space ...

Need to take into ...

Practical results

General case: ...

Acknowledgments

Title Page

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Page 15 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

15. General case: theoretical results

- We consider situations in which measurements are accurate – and terms quadratic in $\Delta x_k^{(i)}$ can be ignored.
- *Natural question*: what if measurements are not that accurate – and quadratic terms cannot be ignored?
- *Interval case*: we want to compute the exact range of Q when

$$x_k^{(i)} \in \mathbf{x}_k^{(i)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\tilde{x}_k^{(i)} - \Delta_k^{(i)}, \tilde{x}_k^{(i)} + \Delta_k^{(i)}],$$

i.e., the range:

$$[\underline{Q}, \overline{Q}] = \{Q(\{x_k^{(i)}\}) : x_k^{(i)} \in \mathbf{x}_k^{(i)}, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq d\}.$$

- *Theoretical results*: computing the exact range of Q is NP-hard for all three quality metrics.
- *Intuitive meaning of NP-hardness*: no efficient algorithm always correctly computes $[\underline{Q}, \overline{Q}]$.

Support Vector ...

Need to estimate ...

Need to estimate ...

Class Separability ...

Class Separability ...

CSM in terms of the ...

Need for an alternative ...

Feature-Space ...

Feature-Space ...

Need to take into ...

Practical results

General case: ...

Acknowledgments

Title Page

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Page 16 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

16. Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by:

- by the Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (JAIST) International Joint Research Grant 2006-08,
- by Texas Department of Transportation contract No. 0-5453,
- by National Science Foundation grants HRD-0734825, EAR-0225670, and EIA-0080940, and
- and by the Max Planck Institut für Mathematik.

Outline

Machine learning: . . .

Support Vector Machines

Support Vector . . .

Need to estimate . . .

Need to estimate . . .

Class Separability . . .

Class Separability . . .

CSM in terms of the . . .

Need for an alternative . . .

Feature-Space . . .

Feature-Space . . .

Need to take into . . .

Practical results

General case: . . .

Acknowledgments

Title Page

◀◀

▶▶

◀

▶

Page 17 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit