Fast Computation of Centroids for Constant-Width Interval-Valued Fuzzy Sets

Jerry M. Mendel and Hongwei Wu Signal and Image Processing Institute Department of Electrical Engineering University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA 90089-2564, USA

Vladik Kreinovich and Gang Xiang NASA Pan-American Center for Earth and Environmental Studies University of Texas at El Paso El Paso, TX 79968, USA, vladik@utep.edu



1. Practical Need for Fuzzy Sets: A Brief Reminder

- Fact: in many application areas, top-quality best experts (medical doctors, pilots, etc.) provide the best recommendations.
- Desirable: incorporate the knowledge of these top experts into automated (expert) systems.
- Problem: usually, experts cannot describe their knowledge in precise terms.
- Example: size is "approximately 1.5, with an error about 0.1".
- Fuzzy logic: designed by Zadeh to formalize such statements.
- How: a statement like "approximately 1.5" is described by a membership function:
 - to each real value x around 1.5,
 - we assign a degree $\mu(x)$ to which this value x fits the expert's description.



2. Where Do Membership Degrees Come From: A Brief Reminder

- Fact: membership degrees $\mu(x)$ describe the degree of certainty of an expert.
- Conclusion: it is natural to ask the expert to provide these degrees.
- Interpolation:
 - an expert provides the degree of certainty for several values x;
 - then we use interpolation and extrapolation to estimate the values of $\mu(x)$ for all other x.
- Important case: we ask the expert to provide us with:
 - the range $[\underline{x}, \overline{x}]$ of possible values so that $\mu(x) = 0$ for all $x \notin [\underline{x}, \overline{x}]$;
 - the "most probable" value \widetilde{x} of the quantity x for which $\mu(\widetilde{x}) = 1$.

We use linear interpolation on $[\underline{x}, \widetilde{x}]$ and $[\widetilde{x}, \overline{x}]$.

- Resulting $\mu(x)$ is triangular:
 - 0 outside the interval $[\underline{x}, \overline{x}];$
 - its graph on this interval consists of two straight line segments:
 - * rising from 0 to 1, and then
 - * going from 1 to 0.

Practical Need for . . . Where Do . . . Applications of Fuzzy . . . Limitations of . . . Important Case: . . . Increase in . . . Centroid . . . Sophisticated . . . There Are Techniques . . . Our Result: Fast . . . Discussion: Can We... Acknowledgments Title Page 44 **>>** Page 3 of 13 Go Back Full Screen Close

Applications of Fuzzy Sets: In Brief

- Expert systems: we transform fuzzy rules and fuzzy inputs into fuzzy recommendations.
- Intelligent control: the objective is to generate a single (crisp) control value u_c .
- Need for defuzzification:
 - we first combine the membership functions of the inputs into a membership function $\mu(u)$ for the desired control u;
 - then, we must transform $\mu(u)$ into a single value u_c .
- Centroid defuzzification: minimizing the mean square difference between the actual (unknown) optimal control u and u_c :

$$\int \mu(u) \cdot (u - u_c)^2 du \to \min_{u_c}.$$

• Resulting algorithm: differentiate by u_c and equate to 0:

$$u_c = \frac{\int u \cdot \mu(u) \, du}{\int \mu(u) \, du}.$$

• Comment: it is called centroid because it is the center of mass of the region under the graph of $\mu(u)$.



>>

4. Limitations of Traditional Fuzzy Sets and a Practical Need for Interval-Valued Fuzzy Sets

- Assumption: an expert can provide us with the degrees $\mu(x)$ that describe how values x fit his/her statement.
- Fact: this expert is unable to provide the crisp values of the desired quantities.
- Corollary: the expert is usually unable to describe his or her degree of certainty by an exact number.
- In practice: an expert can provide us with:
 - an approximate degree $\widetilde{\mu}(x)$, and
 - a bound $\Delta(x)$ describing his uncertainty.
- Enter interval: in effect, we have an interval of possible values of certainty:

$$[\underline{\mu}(x), \overline{\mu}(x)] = [\widetilde{\mu}(x) - \Delta(x), \widetilde{\mu}(x) + \Delta(x)].$$

- Comment: interval-valued membership functions
 - also provides us with the expert's estimates of how accurately this expert estimates his/her own uncertainty;
 - are, thus, a more adequate description of expert's uncertainty.



5. Important Case: Constant-Width Interval-Valued Fuzzy Sets

- Fact: extracting the valued $\mu(x)$ from an expert is difficult.
- Corollary: extracting the uncertainty bounds on these degrees is even more difficult.
- Conclusion: in practice, often, at best, we can ask for an overall estimate Δ of the expert's uncertainty:
 - instead of different bounds $\Delta(x)$ for different values x,
 - we get the same bound Δ for all x.
- Constant-width set: $\overline{\mu}(x) \mu(x) = 2\Delta \equiv \text{const.}$



6. Increase in Computational Complexity: One of the Main Reasons Preventing Us from Wider Use of Interval-Valued Fuzzy Sets

- + Interval-valued fuzzy sets have been successfully applied to many practical problems.
- Replacing traditional fuzzy sets with interval-valued fuzzy sets often leads to an increase in computational complexity:
 - to describe a traditional fuzzy set, we store a *single* value $\mu(x)$ for each x;
 - to describe an interval-valued fuzzy set, we need two values for every x:
 - * either the endpoints $\mu(x)$ and $\overline{\mu}(x)$,
 - * or midpoint $\widetilde{\mu}(x)$ and half-width $\Delta(x)$.
- Fact: for efficient data processing algorithms, the computation time grows as a square $O(n^2)$ or a cube $O(n^3)$ of the size n of the input.
- Corollary: the doubling of the input size leads to a 4 or 8 times increase in computation time.
- This is crucial: the increase in computation time is especially crucial in realtime control applications.



7. Centroid Defuzzification: The Most Time-Consuming Part of Intelligent Control

• Reminder:

$$u_c = \frac{\int u \cdot \mu(u) \, du}{\int \mu(u) \, du}.$$

- Computation time: if we have n values $\mu(x_i)$ of $\mu(x)$, we need a linear time O(n).
- In practice: we often know the analytical expression for $\mu(u)$ (e.g., triangular) and we can integrate analytically.
- Result: we get explicit analytical expressions for u_c and thus, avoid time-consuming integrations altogether.
- Interval-valued case: in effect, we may have different $\mu(x) \in [\mu(x), \overline{\mu}(x)]$.
- Fact: different $\mu(x)$ lead, in general, to different u_c .
- Objective: produce an interval $[\underline{u}_c, \overline{u}_c]$ of possible values of u_c .
- Situation: for each of n values x, we consider m possible values

$$\mu(x) \in [\underline{\mu}(x), \overline{\mu}(x)].$$

- Straightforward approach: we need to check m^n different membership functions.
- Problem: for large n, this number exceeds the number of particles in the Universe.

Practical Need for . . . Where Do . . . Applications of Fuzzy . . . Limitations of . . . Important Case: . . . Increase in . . . Centroid . . . Sophisticated . . . There Are Techniques . . . Our Result: Fast . . . Discussion: Can We . . . Acknowledgments Title Page 44 **>>** Page 8 of 13 Go Back Full Screen Close

8. Sophisticated Algorithms Make Centroids of Interval-Valued Fuzzy Sets Computable – But Still More Computationally Intensive Than for Traditional Fuzzy Sets

• Known formulas:

$$\underline{u}_c = \min_{\ell} \frac{\underline{N}(\ell)}{\underline{D}(\ell)}, \overline{u}_c = \max_{\ell} \frac{\overline{N}(\ell)}{\overline{D}(\ell)},$$

where

$$\underline{D}(\ell) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\underline{u}}^{\ell} \overline{\mu}(u) \, du + \int_{\ell}^{\overline{u}} \underline{\mu}(u) \, du; \quad \underline{N}(\ell) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\underline{u}}^{\ell} u \cdot \overline{\mu}(u) \, du + \int_{\ell}^{\overline{u}} u \cdot \underline{\mu}(u) \, du;$$

$$\overline{D}(\ell) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{u}^{\ell} \underline{\mu}(u) \, du + \int_{\ell}^{\overline{u}} \overline{\mu}(u) \, du; \quad \overline{N}(\ell) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{u}^{\ell} u \cdot \underline{\mu}(u) \, du + \int_{\ell}^{\overline{u}} u \cdot \overline{\mu}(u) \, du.$$

- Algorithm:
 - compute these ratios for different values ℓ , and
 - to find the ratio which is the smallest (for \underline{u}_c) or the largest (for \overline{u}_c).
- Computation time: O(n) steps for each of n values ℓ , i.e., $O(n^2)$.
- + This is drastically faster than m^n steps and actually doable.
- It is still much slower than O(n) computational steps that we need for a traditional fuzzy set.

Practical Need for . . .

Where Do . . .

Applications of Fuzzy . . .

Limitations of . . .
Important Case: . . .

Increase in . . .

Centroid . . .

Sophisticated . . .

There Are Techniques...

Our Result: Fast...

Discussion: Can We...

Acknowledgments

Title Page







Page 9 of 13

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

9. There Are Techniques for Computing the Centroid somewhat Faster – but in General, These Faster Computations Still Require Time $O(n^2) \gg O(n)$.

- *Known fact*: the min and the max of a function are attained when the derivative is 0.
- Corollary:
 - for \underline{u}_c , we get $\underline{D}(\ell) \cdot \ell = \underline{N}(\ell)$;
 - for \overline{u}_c , we get $\overline{D}(\ell) \cdot \ell = \overline{N}(\ell)$.
- Conclusion: we can find:
 - $-\underline{u}_c$ as the value ℓ for which $\ell = \frac{N(\ell)}{\underline{D}(\ell)}$;
 - $-\overline{u}_c$ as the value ℓ for which $\ell = \frac{\overline{N}(\ell)}{\overline{D}(\ell)}$.
- Advantage:
 - once we fine ℓ , we can stop;
 - so, on average, we need to check n/2 values ℓ ;
 - for optimization, in general, we need to check all n values ℓ .
- Remaining problem: this is twice faster, but we still need $O(n^2)$ steps.

Practical Need for . . . Where Do . . . Applications of Fuzzy . . . Limitations of . . . Important Case: . . . Increase in . . . Centroid . . . Sophisticated . . . There Are Techniques . . Our Result: Fast . . . Discussion: Can We... Acknowledgments Title Page 44 **>>** Page 10 of 13 Go Back Full Screen Close Quit

10. Our Result: Fast Computation of Centroids for Arbitrarily Shaped Constant-Width Interval-Valued Fuzzy Sets

- Known fact: for a constant-width triangular membership function, we can use explicit formulas to compute u and \overline{u} .
- New result: extend to arbitrary constant-width interval-valued fuzzy sets.
- Main idea: $\overline{\mu}(u) = \mu(u) + 2\Delta$, hence

$$\underline{D}(\ell) = \int_{u}^{\overline{u}} \underline{\mu}(u) \, du + \int_{u}^{\ell} 2\Delta \, du = D_0 + 2\Delta \cdot (\ell - \underline{u}),$$

where $D_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_u^{\overline{u}} \underline{\mu}(u) du$. Similarly,

$$\underline{N}(\ell) = \int_{\underline{u}}^{\overline{u}} u \cdot \underline{\mu}(u) \, du + \int_{\underline{u}}^{\ell} u \cdot 2\Delta \, du = N_0 + \Delta \cdot (\ell^2 - \underline{u}^2),$$

where $N_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_u^{\overline{u}} u \cdot \underline{\mu}(u) du$.

- Corollary: the condition $\underline{D}(\ell) \cdot \ell = \underline{N}(\ell)$ is a quadratic equation, hence $\underline{u}_c = \ell = \frac{-(D_0 2\Delta \cdot \underline{u}) \pm \sqrt{\underline{d}}}{2\Delta}$, where $\underline{d} = D_0^2 + 4\Delta \cdot (N_0 D_0 \cdot \underline{u})$.
- Similarly, $\overline{u}_c = \ell = \frac{(D_0 + 2\Delta \cdot \overline{u}) \pm \sqrt{d}}{2\Delta}$, where $\overline{d} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D_0^2 4\Delta \cdot (N_0 D_0 \cdot \overline{u})$.
- Algorithm: linear time O(n).

Practical Need for...

Where Do . . .

Applications of Fuzzy . . .

Limitations of . . .

Important Case: . . .
Increase in . . .

Centroid . . .

Sophisticated . . .

There Are Techniques...

Our Result: Fast...

Discussion: Can We...

Acknowledgments

44

Title Page





>>



Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Discussion: Can We Extend Our Algorithms to a 11. More General Case?

- Linear width: for a linear (or piece-wise linear) $\Delta(u)$, we get cubic equations for \underline{u}_c and \overline{u}_c .
- + For cubic equations, there are also explicit formulas.
- These formulas are not so numerically simple and useful.
- Case of narrow intervals: when $\Delta(u) \ll \widetilde{\mu}(u)$, we can linearize, i.e., ignore quadratic and higher order terms in $\Delta(u)$.
- Resulting algorithm:

– first, compute
$$\widetilde{u} = \frac{\widetilde{N}}{\widetilde{D}}$$
, where $\widetilde{D} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int \widetilde{\mu}(u) \, du$, $\widetilde{N} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int u \cdot \widetilde{\mu}(u) \, du$;

– then, compute $\delta u = \frac{\delta N}{\widetilde{D}}$, where

$$\delta N \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \int_{\tilde{u}}^{\overline{u}} u \cdot \Delta(u) \, du - \int_{\underline{u}}^{\tilde{u}} u \cdot \Delta(u) \, du - \widetilde{u} \cdot \left(\int_{\tilde{u}}^{\overline{u}} \Delta(u) \, du - \int_{\underline{u}}^{\tilde{u}} \Delta(u) \, du \right);$$

- the resulting centroid interval is $[\widetilde{u} \delta u, \widetilde{u} + \delta u]$.
- Complexity: O(n), but with three intervals $(\widetilde{D}, \widetilde{N}, \text{ and } \delta N)$ instead of two (D and N).

Practical Need for . . . Where Do . . . Applications of Fuzzy . . . Limitations of . . . Important Case: . . . Increase in . . . Centroid . . . Sophisticated . . . There Are Techniques . . . Our Result: Fast . . . Discussion: Can We . . . Acknowledgments 44

Title Page



Page 12 of 13

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

12. Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part:

- by NSF grant EAR-0225670,
- by NASA under cooperative agreement NCC5-209,
- by NIH grant 3T34GM008048-20S1,
- by Army Research Lab grant DATM-05-02-C-0046,
- by Star Award from the University of Texas System,
- and by Texas Department of Transportation grant No. 0-5453.

