Reducing Over-Conservative Expert Failure Rate Estimates in the Presence of Limited Data: A New Probabilistic/Fuzzy Approach

Carlos Ferregut, F. Joshua Campos, and Vladik Kreinovich

Future Aerospace Science & Technology (FAST) Center University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, TX 79968, USA ferregut@utep.edu, fjcampos@miners.utep.edu, vladik@utep.edu Reliability Reliability in . . . Available Data and ... How Parameters Are What Is the Accuracy . . . New Approach: Main Idea Fuzzy Interpretation Analysis of the . . . Resulting Algorithm Home Page **>>** Page 1 of 16 Go Back Full Screen Close Quit

1. Reliability

- Failures are ubiquitous, so reliability analysis is an important part of engineering design.
- In reliability analysis of a *complex system*, it is important to know the reliability of its components.
- Reliability of a component is usually described by an exponential model:

 $P(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Prob}(\text{system is intact by time } t) = \exp(-\lambda \cdot t).$

- For this model, the average number of failures per unit time (failure rate) is equal to λ .
- Another important characteristic mean time between failure (MTBF) θ is, in this model, equal to $1/\lambda$.
- Usually, the MTBF is *estimated* as the average of observed times between failures.

Reliability Reliability in . . . Available Data and ... How Parameters Are . . . What Is the Accuracy . . . New Approach: Main Idea Fuzzy Interpretation Analysis of the . . . Resulting Algorithm Home Page Title Page **>>** Page 2 of 16 Go Back Full Screen Close Quit

2. Reliability in Aerospace Industry: A Challenge

- In aerospace industry, reliability is extremely important, especially for manned flights.
- Because of this importance, aerospace systems use unique, highly reliable components; but:
 - since each component is highly reliable,
 - we have few (\leq 5) failure records, not enough to make statistically reliable estimates of λ .
- So, we have to also use expert estimates.
- Problem: experts are over-conservative, their estimates for λ are higher than the actual failure rate.
- In this paper, we propose an algorithm that reduces the effect of this over-conservativeness.



3. Available Data and Main Assumptions

- For each of n components i = 1, ..., n, we have n_i observed times-between-failures $t_{i1}, ..., t_{in_i}$.
- We also have expert estimates e_1, \ldots, e_n for the failure rate of each component.
- We usually assume the exponential distribution for the failure times, i.e., the probability density $\lambda_i \cdot \exp(-\lambda_i \cdot t)$.
- Thus, the probability density corresponding to each observation t_{ij} is equal to $\lambda_i \cdot \exp(-\lambda_i \cdot t_{ij})$.
- Different observations are assumed to be independent.
- Different components are assumed to be independent.
- Thus, the probability density ρ corresponding to all observed failures is equal to the product:

$$\rho = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} (\lambda_i \cdot \exp(-\lambda_i \cdot t_{ij})).$$



4. How Parameters Are Determined Now

- Reminder: prob. is $\rho = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} (\lambda_i \cdot \exp(-\lambda_i \cdot t_{ij})).$
- Maximum Likelihood Approach: find λ_i with the highest probability ρ .
- *Idea:* $\rho \to \max$ if and only if $\psi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\ln(\rho) \to \min$:

$$\psi(\lambda_i) = -\sum_{i=1}^n n_i \cdot \ln(\lambda_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \lambda_i \cdot t_{ij}.$$

• So, $\psi(\lambda_i) = -\sum_{i=1}^n n_i \cdot \ln(\lambda_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n n_i \cdot \lambda_i \cdot t_i$, where

$$t_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{n_i} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} t_{ij}.$$

• Differentiating by λ_i and equating the derivative to 0, we get the traditional estimate $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{t_i}$.

Reliability

Reliability in . . .

Available Data and...

How Parameters Are...

What Is the Accuracy...

New Approach: Main Idea

Fuzzy Interpretation

Analysis of the...

Resulting Algorithm

Home Page

Title Page

⋈ >>

4

Page 5 of 16

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

5. What Is the Accuracy of This Estimate?

• Central Limit Theorem: when we have a large amount of data, the distribution of each parameter is \approx normal:

$$\rho(\lambda_i) = \text{const} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{(\lambda_i - \mu_i)^2}{2 \cdot \sigma_i^2}\right).$$

- Thus, $\psi(\lambda_i) = \text{const} + \frac{(\lambda_i \mu_i)^2}{2 \cdot \sigma_i^2}$, hence $\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \lambda_i^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}$, and $\sigma_i^2 = \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \lambda_i^2}\right)^{-1}$.
- For $\psi(\lambda_i) = -\sum_{i=1}^n n_i \cdot \ln(\lambda_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n n_i \cdot \lambda_i \cdot t_i$, we get $\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \lambda_i^2} = \frac{n_i}{\lambda_i^2}$, so the standard deviation is $\sigma_i = \frac{\lambda_i}{\sqrt{n_i}}$.
- So, the relative accuracy of the estimate λ_i is equal to

$$\frac{\sigma_i}{\lambda_i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_i}}.$$

Reliability
Reliability in . . .

Available Data and...

How Parameters Are...

What Is the Accuracy...

New Approach: Main Idea
Fuzzy Interpretation

Analysis of the...

Resulting Algorithm

Home Page

Title Page





Page 6 of 16

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

6. Confidence Interval

• Based on λ_i and σ_i , we can form an interval that contains the actual failure rate with a given confidence:

$$[\lambda_i - k_0 \cdot \sigma_i, \lambda_i + k_0 \cdot \sigma_i] = \left[\lambda_i \cdot \left(1 - \frac{k_0}{\sqrt{n_i}}\right), \lambda_i \cdot \left(1 + \frac{k_0}{\sqrt{n_i}}\right)\right].$$

- We take $k_0 = 2$ if we want 90% confidence.
- We take $k_0 = 3$ if we want 99.9% confidence.
- We take $k_0 = 6$ if we want $99.9999999\% = 1 10^{-8}$ confidence.
- Example: for $n_i = 5$ and $k_0 = 2$, the confidence interval is approximately equal to $[0, 2\lambda_i]$.
- In other words, the actual failure rate can be 0 or it can be twice higher than what we estimated.
- Thus, if we only have 5 measurements, we cannot extract much information about the actual failure rate.

Reliability
Reliability in . . .

Available Data and . . .

How Parameters Are...
What Is the Accuracy...

New Approach: Main Idea

Fuzzy Interpretation

Analysis of the...

Resulting Algorithm

Home Page

Title Page





Page **7** of **16**

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

7. New Approach: Main Idea

- Experts provide estimates e_i for the failure rates λ_i .
- Expert over-estimate, i.e., $\lambda_i = k_i \cdot e_i$ for some $k_i < 1$.
- As usual, it is reasonable to assume that k_i are normally distributed, with unknown k and σ^2 :

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\cdot\pi}\cdot\sigma}\cdot\exp\left(-\frac{(k_i-k)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right).$$

- Approximation errors $k_i k$ corresponding to different components are independent.
- We then find λ_i , k, and σ from the Maximum Likelihood Method $\rho \to \max$:

$$\rho = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} (k_i \cdot e_i \cdot \exp(-(k_i \cdot e_i \cdot t_{ij}))) \right] \cdot \rho', \text{ where}$$

$$\rho' = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cdot \pi} \cdot \sigma} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{(k_i - k)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right).$$

Reliability in . . . Available Data and . . . How Parameters Are . . . What Is the Accuracy . . . New Approach: Main Idea Fuzzy Interpretation Analysis of the . . . Resulting Algorithm Home Page Title Page **>>** Page 8 of 16 Go Back Full Screen Close

Quit

Reliability

Fuzzy Interpretation

• Reminder:
$$\rho = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} (k_i \cdot e_i \cdot \exp(-(k_i \cdot e_i \cdot t_{ij}))) \right] \cdot \rho',$$

where
$$\rho' = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cdot \pi} \cdot \sigma} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{(k_i - k)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$
.

- This formula is based on the assumptions of
 - Gaussian distribution, and
 - independence.
- A similar formula can be obtained if we simply use:
 - Gaussian membership functions, and
 - a product t-norm $f_{\&}(a,b) = a \cdot b$ to combine information about different components.
- In this case, we do not need independence assumptions.

Reliability

Reliability in . . .

Available Data and ...

How Parameters Are . . .

What Is the Accuracy . . .

New Approach: Main Idea Fuzzy Interpretation

Analysis of the...

Resulting Algorithm

Home Page

Title Page





Page 9 of 16

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

9. Analysis of the Optimization Problem

• Reminder:
$$\rho = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} (k_i \cdot e_i \cdot \exp(-(k_i \cdot e_i \cdot t_{ij}))) \right] \cdot \rho',$$

where $\rho' = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cdot \pi} \cdot \sigma} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{(k_i - k)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right).$

• We reduce
$$\rho \to \max$$
 to $\psi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\ln(\rho) \to \min$ and use t_i :

$$\psi = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} n_i \cdot \ln(k_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i \cdot n_i \cdot e_i \cdot t_i + n \cdot \ln(\sigma) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(k_i - k)^2}{2\sigma^2}.$$

• Equating derivatives w.r.t. σ , k, and k_i to 0, we get:

$$\sigma^{2} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} (k_{i} - k)^{2}; \quad k = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i};$$
$$-\frac{n_{i}}{k_{i}} + n_{i} \cdot e_{i} \cdot t_{i} + \frac{k_{i} - k}{\sigma^{2}} = 0.$$

Reliability

Reliability in . . .

Available Data and...

How Parameters Are...

What Is the Accuracy...

New Approach: Main Idea

Fuzzy Interpretation

Analysis of the...

Resulting Algorithm

Home Page

Title Page





Page 10 of 16

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

10. Analysis of the Problem (cont-d)

• We get:
$$k = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i$$
; $\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} (k_i - k)^2$;
$$-\frac{n_i}{k_i} + n_i \cdot e_i \cdot t_i + \frac{k_i - k}{\sigma^2} = 0.$$

• Multiplying both sides by k_i , we get a quadratic equation, with solution

$$k_i = \frac{k - n_i t_i e_i \sigma^2 + \sqrt{(k - n_i t_i e_i \sigma^2)^2 + 4n_i \sigma^2}}{2}.$$

• Thus, we start with some initial values $k_i^{(0)}$, and perform the following iterations until the process converges:

- update
$$k$$
 to $\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i$ and σ^2 to $\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} (k_i - k)^2$;

- update k_i to $\frac{k - n_i t_i e_i \sigma^2 + \sqrt{\dots}}{2}$.

Reliability

Reliability in . . .

Available Data and . . .

How Parameters Are . . .

What Is the Accuracy . . . New Approach: Main Idea

Fuzzy Interpretation

Analysis of the . . .

Resulting Algorithm

Home Page









Go Back

Full Screen

Close

11. Resulting Accuracy of This Estimate

- The st. dev. σ_i of the estimate k_i is $\sigma_i^2 = \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial k_i^2}\right)^{-1}$.
- Here, $\psi = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} n_i \cdot \ln(k_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i \cdot n_i \cdot e_i \cdot t_i + n \cdot \ln(\sigma) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(k_i k)^2}{2\sigma^2}$, so $\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial k_i^2} = \frac{n_i}{k_i^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$.
- Thus, $\frac{\sigma_i}{k_i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_i + k_i^2 \cdot \sigma^{-2}}}$.
- The relative accuracy does not change if we multiply the k_i by e_i , i.e., go from k_i to $\lambda_i = k_i \cdot e_i$.
- So, the confidence interval for λ_i is:

$$\left[\lambda_i \cdot \left(1 - \frac{k_0}{\sqrt{n_i + k_i^2 \cdot \sigma^{-2}}}\right), \lambda_i \cdot \left(1 + \frac{k_0}{\sqrt{n_i + k_i^2 \cdot \sigma^{-2}}}\right)\right].$$

Reliability

Reliability in . . .

Available Data and . . .

How Parameters Are . . .

What Is the Accuracy...

New Approach: Main Idea

Fuzzy Interpretation

Analysis of the . . .

Resulting Algorithm

Home Page

Title Page



•

Page 12 of 16

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Clos

Resulting Algorithm

- Given:
 - For each of component $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we have n_i observed times-between-failures t_{i1}, \ldots, t_{in_i} .
 - We also have expert estimates e_1, \ldots, e_n for the failure rate of each component.
- Pre-processing:
 - First, for each component, we compute the average of the observed times-between-failures

$$t_i = \frac{1}{n_i} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} t_{ij}.$$

- Then, we compute the first approximation $k_i^{(0)}$ to the auxiliary parameter k_i : $k_i^{(0)} = \frac{1}{c_{i+1}t_i}$.

Reliability Reliability in . . . Available Data and ... How Parameters Are . . . What Is the Accuracy . . . New Approach: Main Idea Fuzzy Interpretation Analysis of the . . . Resulting Algorithm Home Page Title Page













13. Algorithm (cont-d)

• *Iterations:* on each iteration p, p = 0, 1, 2..., based on the current approximations $k_i^{(p)}$, we compute:

$$k^{(p)} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i^{(p)}; \quad (\sigma^2)^{(p)} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} (k_i^{(p)} - k^{(p)})^2;$$

$$z = k^{(p)} - n_i \cdot t_i \cdot e_i \cdot (\sigma^2)^{(p)}; \quad k_i^{(p+1)} = \frac{z + \sqrt{z^2 + 4n_i \cdot (\sigma^2)^{(p)}}}{2}.$$

- We stop when $|k_i^{(p+1)} k_i^{(p)}| \le \varepsilon \cdot k_i^{(p)}$ for all i.
- Once we have $k_i = k_i^{(p)}$, we then estimate λ_i as $k_i \cdot e_i$, and the corresponding confidence interval as

$$\left[\lambda_i \cdot \left(1 - \frac{k_0}{\sqrt{n_i + k_i^2 \cdot \sigma^{-2}}}\right), \lambda_i \cdot \left(1 + \frac{k_0}{\sqrt{n_i + k_i^2 \cdot \sigma^{-2}}}\right)\right].$$

Reliability Reliability in . . . Available Data and . . . How Parameters Are . . . What Is the Accuracy . . . New Approach: Main Idea Fuzzy Interpretation Analysis of the . . . Resulting Algorithm Home Page Title Page **>>** Page 14 of 16 Go Back Full Screen Close Quit

14. Discussion.

• Reminder: we get the following confidence interval:

$$\left[\lambda_i \cdot \left(1 - \frac{k_0}{\sqrt{n_i + k_i^2 \cdot \sigma^{-2}}}\right), \lambda_i \cdot \left(1 + \frac{k_0}{\sqrt{n_i + k_i^2 \cdot \sigma^{-2}}}\right)\right].$$

- The difference between this confidence interval and the confidence interval based only on observations is that:
 - we replace n_i in the denominator
 - with a larger value $n_i + k_i^2 \cdot \sigma^{-2}$.
- Thus, the new confidence interval is indeed narrower: expert estimates help.
- When the values k_i are very close and $\sigma \approx 0$, this denominator tends to ∞ .
- So, we get very narrow confidence intervals for λ_i even when we have the same small number of observations.

Reliability Reliability in . . . Available Data and ... How Parameters Are . . . What Is the Accuracy . . . New Approach: Main Idea Fuzzy Interpretation Analysis of the . . . Resulting Algorithm Home Page Title Page **>>** Page 15 of 16 Go Back Full Screen Close Quit

15. Acknowledgments

- This work was supported by a contract from the Boeing Company.
- It is also partly supported:
 - by the National Science Foundation grants HRD-0734825 and DUE-0926721 and
 - by Grant 1 T36 GM078000-01 from the National Institutes of Health.

