Gartner's Hype Cycle: A Simple Explanation

Jose M. Perez and Vladik Kreinovich

Department of Computer Science University of Texas at El Paso El Paso, TX 79968, USA jmperez6@miners.utep.edu vladik@utep.edu



1. Gartner's Hype Cycle

- In the ideal world, any good innovation should be gradually accepted.
- It is natural that initially some people are reluctant to adopt a new largely un-tested idea.
- However:
 - as more and more evidence appears that this new idea works,
 - we should see a gradual increase in number of adoptees –
 - until the idea becomes universally accepted.
- In real life, the adoption process is not that smooth.



2. Gartner's Hype Cycle (cont-d)

- Usually, after the few first successes:
 - the idea is over-hyped,
 - it is adopted in situations way beyond the inventors' intent.
- In these remote areas, the new idea does not work well.
- So, we have a natural push-back, when:
 - the idea is adopted to a much less extent
 - than it is reasonable.
- Only after these wild oscillations, the idea is finally universally adopted.
- These oscillations are known as Gartner's hype cycle.



3. Gartner's Hype Cycle (cont-d)

- A similar phenomenon is known in economics:
 - when a new positive information about a stock appears,
 - the stock price does not rise gradually.
- At first, it is somewhat over-hyped and over-priced.
- And only then, it moves back to a reasonable value.



4. Our Explanation

• Any system is described by some parameters

$$x_1,\ldots,x_n.$$

• The rate of change \dot{x}_i of each of these parameters is determined by the system's state, i.e.:

$$\dot{x}_i = f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

- In the first approximation, we can replace each expression by the first few terms in its Taylor expansion.
- For example, we can approximate it by a linear expression:

$$\dot{x}_i = \sum_j a_{ij} \cdot x_j.$$

• A general solution of such systems of linear differential equations is known.



5. Our Explanation (cont-d)

- In the generic case, it is:
 - a linear combination of terms $\exp(\lambda_k \cdot t)$,
 - where λ_k are (possible complex) eigenvalues of the matrix a_{ij} ,
 - i.e., roots of the corresponding characteristic equation

$$P(\lambda) = 0.$$

- When the imaginary part b_k of $\lambda_k = a_k + i \cdot b_k$ is non-zero:
 - we get:

$$\exp(\lambda_k \cdot t) = \exp(a_k \cdot t) \cdot (\cos(b_k \cdot t) + i \cdot \sin(b_k \cdot t)),$$

- i.e., we get oscillations.



6. Our Explanation (cont-d)

- Why do we see oscillations practically always?
- The more parameters we take into account, the more accurate our description; thus:
 - to get a good accuracy,
 - we need to use large n.
- Any polynomial can be represented as a product of real-valued quadratic terms.
- Some of these quadratic terms have real roots.
- If p_0 is the probability that both roots are real, then:
 - for a polynomial of order n,
 - the probability p that all its terms have real roots is:

$$p \approx p_0^{n/2}.$$

• For large n, this is practically 0.



7. Our Explanation (cont-d)

- Thus, practically all polynomials have at least one non-real root.
- So, almost all systems show oscillations.
- This explain why Gartner's hype cycle is ubiquitous.

Gartnet's Hype Cycle Gartnet's Hype Cycle . . . Gartnet's Hype Cycle... Our Explanation Our Explanation (cont-d) Our Explanation (cont-d) Our Explanation (cont-d) Home Page Title Page 44 Page 8 of 8 Go Back Full Screen Close

Quit