

# If a Polynomial Mapping Is Rectifiable, then the Rectifying Polynomial Automorphism Can Be Algorithmically Computed

Julio Urenda<sup>1,2</sup>, David Finston<sup>1</sup>, and Vladik Kreinovich<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences

New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, NM 88003, USA

[jcurenda@utep.edu](mailto:jcurenda@utep.edu), [dfinston@nmsu.edu](mailto:dfinston@nmsu.edu)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences

<sup>3</sup>Department of Computer Science

University of Texas at El Paso

El Paso, TX 79968, USA, [vladik@utep.edu](mailto:vladik@utep.edu)

Formulation of the ...

Definitions

First Result

Need for a General Case

Definitions

Second Result

Discussion

Tarski-Seidenberg ...

Proof of First Result

Home Page

Title Page

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Page 1 of 19

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

## 1. Formulation of the Problem

- Let  $\mathbb{C}$  denote the field of all complex numbers.
- A polynomial mapping  $\alpha : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is called a *polynomial automorphism* if:
  - this mapping a bijection, and
  - the inverse mapping  $\beta = \alpha^{-1}$  is also polynomial.
- A polynomial mapping  $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is called *rectifiable* if:
  - these exists a polynomial automorphism  $\alpha : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$
  - for which  $\alpha(\varphi(t_1, \dots, t_k)) = (t_1, \dots, t_k, 0, \dots)$  for all  $(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ .
- Most existing proofs of rectifiability just prove the existence of a rectifying automorphism  $\alpha$ .
- In this talk, we show how to compute  $\alpha$ .

Definitions

First Result

Need for a General Case

Definitions

Second Result

Discussion

Tarski-Seidenberg ...

Proof of First Result

Home Page

Title Page

Page 2 of 19

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

## 2. Definitions

- We will formulate two versions of the main result:
  - for the case when the coefficients of the original polynomial mapping are algebraic numbers, and
  - for the general case, when these coefficients are not necessarily algebraic.
- A number is called *algebraic* if this number is a root of a non-zero polynomial with integer coefficients.
- In the computer, an algebraic real number can be represented by:
  - the integer coefficients of the corresponding polynomial and
  - by a rational-valued interval that contains only this root.
- Once this information is given, we can compute the corresponding root with any given accuracy.

### 3. First Result

- **Lemma.**

- *If a polynomial mapping  $\varphi$  with algebraic coefficients is rectifiable,*
- *then there exists a rectifying polynomial automorphism  $\alpha$  with algebraic coefficients.*

- **Proposition.** *There exists an algorithm that:*

- *given a rectifiable polynomial mapping  $\varphi$  with algebraic coefficients,*
- *computes the coefficients of a polynomial automorphism  $\alpha$  that rectifies  $\varphi$ .*

## 4. Need for a General Case

- In general, the coefficients of the original mapping  $\varphi$  are not necessarily algebraic.
- These coefficients may not even be computable.
- It is desirable to extend this algorithm to this general case.
- When the coefficients are not necessarily computable, we cannot represent them in a computer.
- So, we need to extend the usual notion of an algorithm to cover this case.

## 5. Definitions

- By a *generalized algorithm*, we mean a sequence of the following elementary operations with real numbers:
  - adding, subtracting, multiplying, and dividing numbers;
  - checking whether a number is equal to 0, whether it is positive, and whether it is negative;
  - given the coefficients of a polynomial that has a root, returning one of the roots.
- Of course, when the real numbers are algebraic, these operations are algorithmically computable.

## 6. Second Result

- **Proposition.** *There exists a generalized algorithm that:*
  - *given the coefficients of a rectifiable polynomial mapping  $\varphi$ ,*
  - *computes the coefficients of a polynomial automorphism  $\alpha$  that rectifies  $\varphi$ .*
- This shows that:
  - if a polynomial mapping is rectifiable,
  - then the corresponding rectification can be algorithmically computed.

## 7. Discussion

- Our proof uses the Tarski algorithm.
- As the length  $\ell$  of the formula increases, the running time of this algorithm grows faster than  $2^{2^\ell}$ .
- Thus, from the application viewpoint, it is desirable to come up with a faster algorithm.
- For some important cases, such faster algorithms were also proposed.
- These faster algorithms can be applied to other fields (and rings) as well.
- They are described in J. Urenda's NMSU PhD dissertation *Algorithmic Aspects of the Embedding Problem*.

## 8. Tarski-Seidenberg Algorithm: Reminder

- This algorithm deals with the *first-order theory of real numbers*: follows:
  - we start with real-valued variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ ;
  - *elementary formulas*:  $P = 0$ ,  $P > 0$ , or  $P \geq 0$ , where  $P$  is a polynomial with integer coefficients;
  - a general formula can be obtained from elementary formulas by using:
    - logical connectives (“and”  $\&$ , “or”  $\vee$ , “implies”  $\rightarrow$ , and “not”  $\neg$ ) and
    - quantifiers over real numbers ( $\forall x_i$  and  $\exists x_i$ ).
- Example: every quadratic polynomial with non-negative determinant has a solution:

$$\forall a \forall b \forall c ((b^2 - 4a \cdot c \geq 0) \rightarrow \exists x (a \cdot x^2 + b \cdot x + c = 0)).$$

## 9. Tarski-Seidenberg Algorithm (cont-d)

- Tarski designed an algorithm that:
  - given a formula from this theory,
  - returns 0 or 1 depending on whether this formula is true or not.
- Seidenberg used a similar construction to
  - reduce each first-order formula with free variables
  - to a quantifier-free form.
- It follows that if a formula with free variables has a solution, then it also has an algebraic solution.



## 10. Proof of Lemma

- Let  $d$  be the largest degree of polynomials  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$  forming the mappings  $\alpha$  and  $\beta = \alpha^{-1}$ .
- Each of these polynomial can be described by listing real and imaginary values of all its coefficients.
- The condition that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are inverse to each other means that  $\forall z_1 \dots \forall z_n (\&_i \alpha_i(\beta(z_1, \dots, z_n)) = z_i)$  and

$$\forall z_1 \dots \forall z_n (\&_j \beta_j(\alpha(z_1, \dots, z_n)) = z_j).$$

- Substituting the expressions for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in terms of their coefficients, we get a first order formula.
- Similarly, the condition that  $\alpha$  rectifies  $\varphi$  is  $\forall t_1 \dots \forall t_k (\&_\ell \alpha_\ell(\varphi(t_1, \dots, t_k) = t_\ell)$  – a first-order formula.
- Thus, if  $\exists$  a solution, then  $\exists$  a solution in which all coefficients of all polynomials  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$  are algebraic.

## 11. Proof of First Result

- Due to Tarski's algorithm:
  - for each tuple of algebraic numbers,
  - we can check whether the corresponding polynomials constitute a rectifying automorphism.
- To find the desired polynomial mappings  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  with algebraic coefficients, it is sufficient to:
  - enumerate all possible tuples of such coefficients,
  - try them one by one,
  - until we find a tuple which corresponds to the rectifying automorphism.
- Since we assumed that a rectification is possible, we will eventually find the desired coefficient.
- The only thing that needs to be clarified is how to enumerate all possible tuples of algebraic numbers.

## 12. Proof of First Result (cont-d)

- We need to enumerate all possible tuples of algebraic numbers.
- This can be easily done if we take into account that:
  - each algebraic number is represented in a computer
  - as a sequence of integers.
- It is easy to come with an algorithm that enumerates all possible sequences of integers.
- For example, for  $M = 0, 1, \dots$ , we can enumerate all the sequences  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  for which

$$|n_1| + \dots + |n_k| + k = M.$$

- For each  $M$ , there are finitely many such sequences, and it is easy to enumerate them all.
- The proposition is thus proven.

## 13. Proof of Second Result

- For each degree  $d$ , the Tarski-Seidenberg algorithm
  - reduces the formula describing the existing of a rectifying polynomial automorphism of degree  $d$
  - to a finite list of (in)equalities between expressions polynomially depending on the given coefficients.
- In our definition of a generalized algorithm, we allowed:
  - additions and multiplications (all we need to compute the value of a polynomial) and
  - checking whether a given value is equal to 0 or greater than 0.
- So,  $\forall d \exists$  a generalized algorithm that checks whether  $\exists$  a rectifying polynomial automorphism of degree  $d$ .

## 14. Proof of Second Result (cont-d)

- Since we assume that a rectification is possible:
  - by trying all possible degrees  $d = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,
  - we will eventually find  $d$  for which  $\exists$  a rectifying rectifying polynomial automorphism of degree  $d$ .
- To complete the proof, we need to compute the coefficients of the corresponding polynomial mapping  $\alpha$ .
- We want to find the coefficients  $c_1, \dots, c_N$  that satisfy a quantifier-free formula  $F(c_1, \dots, c_N) = 0$ .
- Let's find  $c_1$  s.t.  $\exists c_2 \dots \exists c_N (F(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N) = 0)$ .
- We can use Tarski-Seidenberg theorem to reduce this formula to a sequence of formulas

$$P_i(c_1) = 0 \text{ and } P_j(c_1) > 0.$$

## 15. Proof of Second Result (cont-d)

- All equalities  $P_i(c_1)$  be combined into a single equality  $P(c_1) = 0$ , where  $P(c_1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_i (P_i(c_1))^2$ .
- We know that this polynomial equation has a solution.
- We can therefore use one of the elementary steps of a generalized algorithm to compute a solution to it.
- If the solution  $s$  produced by this elementary step does not satisfy the inequalities, then:
  - we get a new polynomial of a smaller degree
  - by dividing  $P(c_1)$  by  $c_1 - s$ .
- It is clear that  $c_1$  is a root of this polynomial.
- Division is algorithmic since it can also be reduced to (allowed) arithmetic operations with coefficients.

## 16. Proof of Second Result: Conclusion

- We can then repeat this procedure with the new polynomial of smaller degree, etc.
- At each step, either we find the desired  $c_1$  or the degree decreases.
- Since the degree cannot decrease below 0, this means that we will eventually find  $c_1$ .
- Substituting this value  $c_1$  into the above formula, we will then similarly compute a value  $c_2$  for which

$$\exists c_3 \dots \exists c_N (F(c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_N) = 0), \text{ etc.}$$

- After  $N$  steps, we will compute all the coefficients of the rectifying polynomial  $\alpha$ .
- The proposition is proven.

## 17. Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation grants:

- HRD-0734825 and HRD-1242122 (Cyber-ShARE Center of Excellence), and
- DUE-0926721.

Formulation of the ...

Definitions

First Result

Need for a General Case

Definitions

Second Result

Discussion

Tarski-Seidenberg ...

Proof of First Result

Home Page

Title Page



Page 18 of 19

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

## 18. References

- S. Basu, R. Pollack, and M.-F. Roy, *Algorithms in Real Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- A. Tarski, *A Decision Method for Elementary Algebra and Geometry*, 2nd ed., Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1951, 63 pp.

Formulation of the ...

Definitions

First Result

Need for a General Case

Definitions

Second Result

Discussion

Tarski-Seidenberg ...

Proof of First Result

Home Page

Title Page



Page 19 of 19

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit