

# Shapley Value Under Interval Uncertainty Revisited: Why Seemingly Natural Axiomatic Approach Is Not Fully Adequate

Miroslav Svitek<sup>1</sup>, Olga Kosheleva<sup>2</sup> and Vladik Kreinovich<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Transportation Sciences, Czech Technical University in Prague  
110 00 Prague 1, Czech Republic, miroslav.svitek@cvut.cz

<sup>2,3</sup>Departments of <sup>2</sup>Teacher Education and <sup>3</sup>Computer Science  
University of Texas at El Paso, 500 W. University  
El Paso, Texas 79968, USA  
olgak@utep.edu, vladik@utep.edu

## 1. Shapley value: a brief reminder

- Many successes are due to collaboration, be it in manufacturing or in research.
- How to fairly divide the dividends between all  $n$  participants?
- For example, when we evaluate individual researchers, how to fairly distribute the overall points-for-paper between paper co-authors?
- In this division, it is reasonable to take into account:
  - for each set  $S \subseteq N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,
  - what would be the productivity  $v(S)$  if only participants from the set  $S$  worked together.
- The answer to this question was produced by the future Nobelist Lloyd Shapley.

## 2. Shapley value: a brief reminder (cont-d)

- Shapley formulated natural conditions:
  - additivity;
  - symmetry; and
  - that a person who does not contribute anything, i.e., for whom  $v(S \cup \{i\}) = v(S)$  for all  $S$ , should not get anything.
- He proved that there is only one distribution scheme that satisfies these conditions, in which Person  $i$  gets the amount

$$x_i(v) = \sum a(|S|) \cdot (v(S \cup \{i\}) - v(S)).$$

- Here, the sum is taken overall all sets  $S$  for which  $i \notin S$ ,  $|S|$  denoted the number of elements in a set  $S$ , and

$$a(m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{m! \cdot (n - m)!}{n!}.$$

- This expression for  $x_i(v)$  is known as the *Shapley value*.

### 3. Shapley value: a brief reminder (cont-d)

- Lately, Shapley value has also been actively used in machine learning.
- There, it is used to decide which of  $n$  features used to make a decision are most important.
- In this case,  $v(S)$  is the effectiveness that we get when we only use features from the set  $S$ .

## 4. Need for interval uncertainty

- In practice, we rarely know the exact values  $v(S)$ .
- Often, we only know an interval  $[v](S) = [\underline{v}(S), \bar{v}(S)]$  that contains  $v(S)$ .
- The agreement about division is usually decided before the project starts, in which case even the future value  $v(N)$  is not known exactly.
- In this case, a reasonable idea is to come up with intervals

$$[x]_i([v]) = [\underline{x}_i([v]), \bar{x}_i([v])].$$

- Then we can use Hurwicz approach and make a distribution

$$x_i(v) = \alpha \cdot \bar{x}_i(v) + (1 - \alpha) \cdot \underline{x}_i(v).$$

- Here  $\alpha$  is determined from the condition that:
  - the sum of these values should be equal to
  - the overall amount  $v(N)$  – the overall monetary amount or the overall number of points for this particular paper.

## 5. Current interval method and its limitation

- A recent paper considers similar conditions to Shapley's.
- It shows that:
  - under these conditions,
  - we should take, as bounds on  $x_i([v])$ , the Shapley values corresponding to the functions  $\underline{v}(S)$  and  $\bar{v}(S)$ .
- In many cases, this approach leads to reasonable results, but in other cases, it does not.
- For example, for  $n = 2$ :
  - if  $\underline{v}(S) = 0$  for all  $S$ ,  $\bar{v}(\emptyset) = \underline{v}(\emptyset) = \bar{v}(\{2\}) = 0$ , and  $\bar{v}(\{1\}) = \underline{v}(\{1, 2\}) = 1$ ,
  - then Person 2 gets nothing.

## 6. Current interval method and its limitation (cont-d)

- However, it is possible, e.g., that the actual values are  $v(\{1, 2\}) = 1$  and  $v(\{1\}) = v(\{2\}) = 0$ .
- In this case, due to symmetry, Person 2 should get exactly the same amount as Person 1.

## 7. Analysis of the problem and resulting solution

- The reason for the above problem is as follows/
- For exact values  $v(S)$ , the condition that  $v(S \cup \{i\}) = v(S)$  for all  $S$  indeed means that  $i$  did not contribute anything,
- However, as the above example shows:
  - a similar interval equality  $[v](S \cup \{i\}) = [v](S)$  for all  $S$
  - does not necessarily imply that Person  $i$  was not contributing.
- So, a natural idea is to take, as  $[x]_i([v])$ , the set of all possible values  $x_i(v)$  for all functions  $v$  for which  $v(S) \in [v](S)$  for all  $S$ .



## 8. Resulting solution (cont-d)

- To find these intervals, let us take into account that the Shapley value formula can be reformulated as

$$x_i(v) = \sum_{S:i \in S} a(|S| + 1) \cdot v(S) - \sum_{S:i \notin S} a(|S|) \cdot v(S).$$

- Thus, by using usual interval computations, we get:

$$\underline{x}_i([v]) = \sum_{S:i \in S} a(|S| + 1) \cdot \underline{v}(S) - \sum_{S:i \notin S} a(|S|) \cdot \bar{v}(S);$$

$$\bar{x}_i([v]) = \sum_{S:i \in S} a(|S| + 1) \cdot \bar{v}(S) - \sum_{S:i \notin S} a(|S|) \cdot \underline{v}(S);$$

## 9. References

- K. Autchariyapanikul, O. Kosheleva, and V. Kreinovich, “Shapley value under interval uncertainty and partial information”, In: V. Kreinovich, W. Yamaka, and S. Leurcharusmee (eds.), *Data Science for Econometrics and Related Topics*, Springer, Cham, Switzerland, to appear.
- L. S. Shapley, *Notes on the  $n$ -Person Game – II: The Value of an  $n$ -Person Game*, Research Memorandum RM-670, RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, California, USA, 1951.
- L. S. Shapley, “Value for  $n$ -person Games”, In: H. W. Kuhn and A. W. Tucker (eds.), *Contributions to the Theory of Games*, Annals of Mathematical Studies, Vol. 28, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, USA, 1953, pp. 307–317, doi:10.1515/9781400881970-018. ISBN 9781400881970.

## 10. Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part:

- by the US National Science Foundation grants:
  - 1623190 (A Model of Change for Preparing a New Generation for Professional Practice in Computer Science),
  - HRD-1834620 and HRD-2034030 (CAHSI Includes),
  - EAR-2225395 (Center for Collective Impact in Earthquake Science C-CIES),
- by the AT&T Fellowship in Information Technology, and
- by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) Focus Program SPP 100+ 2388, Grant Nr. 501624329,