

Interval Computations as Applied Constructive Mathematics: from Shanin to Wiener and Beyond

Vladik Kreinovich
Department of Computer Science
University of Texas at El Paso
El Paso, Texas 79968, USA
vladik@utep.edu

[General Problems of...](#)

[Indirect Measurements](#)

[How Constructive...](#)

[Applied Constructive...](#)

[Why Intervals?](#)

[Interval Computations...](#)

[Wiener Again](#)

[Standard Interval...](#)

[Beyond Intervals](#)

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[«](#)

[»](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Page 1 of 25](#)

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

1. General Problems of Science and Engineering

- The main objective of science is to understand the current state of the world and to predict its future state.
- The main objective of engineering is to find controls and strategies that lead to a better future.
- The state of the world is usually described in terms of real numbers – values of physical quantities.
- Some quantities we can measure directly: e.g., distance from here to our hotel.
- Other quantities y we cannot measure directly: e.g., distance from here to a nearby star.

General Problems of...

Indirect Measurements

How Constructive...

Applied Constructive...

Why Intervals?

Interval Computations...

Wiener Again

Standard Interval...

Beyond Intervals

Home Page

Title Page

◀◀

▶▶

◀

▶

Page 2 of 25

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

2. Indirect Measurements

- Since we cannot measure the quantity of interest y directly, we measure it *indirectly*.
- Namely, we measure related easier-to-measure quantities x_1, \dots, x_n and get values \tilde{x}_i .
- Then, we use the known relation $y = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and known (approximate) values of x_i to estimate y as

$$\tilde{y} = f(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n).$$

3. How Constructive Mathematics Can Help

- Ideally, we want to be able to estimate y with any given accuracy ε .
- For this purpose, we need to have:
 - an algorithm that, given ε , computes the accuracy δ with we should measure the inputs, and
 - an algorithm \tilde{f} that, when applied to the measurement results \tilde{x}_i to get the desired estimate \tilde{y} :

$$|\tilde{x}_i - x_i| \leq \delta \Rightarrow |\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

- In a nutshell, this is what constructive mathematics is about – when limited to real numbers.

[General Problems of...](#)[Indirect Measurements](#)[How Constructive...](#)[Applied Constructive...](#)[Why Intervals?](#)[Interval Computations...](#)[Wiener Again](#)[Standard Interval...](#)[Beyond Intervals](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[◀◀](#)[▶▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Page 4 of 25](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

4. Applied Constructive Mathematics

- In practice, our ability to measure accurately is limited.
- So, we have measurement results \tilde{x}_i with some accuracies δ_i : $|\tilde{x}_i - x_i| \leq \delta_i$.
- The only information that we have about the actual value x_i is that $x_i \in [\underline{x}_i, \bar{x}_i] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\tilde{x}_i - \delta_i, \tilde{x}_i + \delta_i]$.
- What can we say about $y = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$? We can only conclude that

$$y \in [\underline{y}, \bar{y}] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f(x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in [\underline{x}_i, \bar{x}_i]\}.$$

- Computing $[\underline{y}, \bar{y}]$ is called *interval computations*.
- Yuri Matiyasevich called it *applied constructive mathematics*.

[General Problems of...](#)[Indirect Measurements](#)[How Constructive...](#)[Applied Constructive...](#)[Why Intervals?](#)[Interval Computations...](#)[Wiener Again](#)[Standard Interval...](#)[Beyond Intervals](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[Page 5 of 25](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

5. Why Intervals?

- Usually, we do not just know the upper bound δ_i on the measurement error $\Delta x_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{x}_i - x_i$: $|\Delta x_i| \leq \delta_i$.
- We also know the probabilities of different values Δx_i .
- These probabilities come from comparing measurement results with a standard (more accurate) instrument.
- There are two situations when this is not possible:
 - state-of-the-art measurement, when we use the most accurate instrument; and
 - measurements on the shop floor, where we could calibrate everything, but it would cost too much.
- Then, all we have is an upper bound δ_i on $|\Delta x_i|$.

6. Why Wiener? A Brief History of Interval Computations

- *Origins*: Archimedes (Ancient Greece), N. Wiener (1914)
- *Modern pioneers*: Mieczyslaw Warmus (Poland), Teruo Sunaga (Japan), Ramon Moore (USA), 1956–59
- *First boom*: early 1960s.
- *First challenge*: taking interval uncertainty into account when planning spaceflights to the Moon.
- *Current applications* (sample):
 - design of elementary particle colliders: Martin Berz, Kyoko Makino (USA)
 - will a comet hit the Earth: Martin Berz, Ramon Moore (USA)
 - robotics: L. Jaulin (France), A. Neumaier (Austria)
 - chemical engineering: M. Stadtherr (USA)

General Problems of...

Indirect Measurements

How Constructive...

Applied Constructive...

Why Intervals?

Interval Computations...

Wiener Again

Standard Interval...

Beyond Intervals

Home Page

Title Page

◀◀

▶▶

◀

▶

Page 7 of 25

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

7. Interval Computations – How? First Idea

- In a computer, every computation is a sequence of elementary arithmetic operations.
- In mathematical terms, this means that we consider compositions of simple arithmetic functions.
- So, a natural idea – known as *straightforward interval computations* – is to:
 - find interval analogues of simple arithmetic functions, and then
 - in the original algorithm, replace each arithmetic operation with the corresponding interval one.

General Problems of...

Indirect Measurements

How Constructive...

Applied Constructive...

Why Intervals?

Interval Computations...

Wiener Again

Standard Interval...

Beyond Intervals

Home Page

Title Page

◀◀

▶▶

◀

▶

Page 8 of 25

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

8. Interval Analogues of Simple Arithmetic Functions

- When $x_1 \in \mathbf{x}_1 = [\underline{x}_1, \bar{x}_1]$ and $x_2 \in \mathbf{x}_2 = [\underline{x}_2, \bar{x}_2]$, then:
 - The range $\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2$ for $x_1 + x_2$ is $[\underline{x}_1 + \underline{x}_2, \bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_2]$.
 - The range $\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2$ for $x_1 - x_2$ is $[\underline{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_1 - \underline{x}_2]$.
 - The range $\mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_2$ for $x_1 \cdot x_2$ is $[\underline{y}, \bar{y}]$, where

$$\underline{y} = \min(\underline{x}_1 \cdot \underline{x}_2, \underline{x}_1 \cdot \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_1 \cdot \underline{x}_2, \bar{x}_1 \cdot \bar{x}_2);$$

$$\bar{y} = \max(\underline{x}_1 \cdot \underline{x}_2, \underline{x}_1 \cdot \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_1 \cdot \underline{x}_2, \bar{x}_1 \cdot \bar{x}_2).$$

- The range $1/\mathbf{x}_1$ for $1/x_1$ is $[1/\bar{x}_1, 1/\underline{x}_1]$ (if $0 \notin \mathbf{x}_1$).
- These operations are known as *interval arithmetic*.

9. Straightforward Interval Computations: Example and Limitations

- *Example:* $f(x) = (x - 2) \cdot (x + 2)$, $x \in [1, 2]$.
- How will the computer compute it?
 - $r_1 := x - 2$;
 - $r_2 := x + 2$;
 - $r_3 := r_1 \cdot r_2$.
- *Main idea:* perform the same operations, but with *intervals* instead of *numbers*:
 - $\mathbf{r}_1 := [1, 2] - [2, 2] = [-1, 0]$;
 - $\mathbf{r}_2 := [1, 2] + [2, 2] = [3, 4]$;
 - $\mathbf{r}_3 := [-1, 0] \cdot [3, 4] = [-4, 0]$.
- *Actual range:* $f(\mathbf{x}) = [-3, 0] \subset [-4, 0]$.
- *Comment:* excess width (4 vs. 3) is unavoidable, since interval computations is NP-hard.

General Problems of...

Indirect Measurements

How Constructive...

Applied Constructive...

Why Intervals?

Interval Computations...

Wiener Again

Standard Interval...

Beyond Intervals

Home Page

Title Page

◀◀

▶▶

◀

▶

Page 10 of 25

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

10. What Can We Do?

- Representing an algorithm as a composition of elementary arithmetic functions often does not work.
- Idea: represent it as a composition of some other functions.
- What is the class of functions closed under composition?
- It is reasonable to require that this class is also closed under inversion.
- So, we are looking for *group* of transformations of \mathbb{R}^n .
- The simplest such group is the group of all linear transformations.
- What are other such groups?

[General Problems of...](#)[Indirect Measurements](#)[How Constructive...](#)[Applied Constructive...](#)[Why Intervals?](#)[Interval Computations...](#)[Wiener Again](#)[Standard Interval...](#)[Beyond Intervals](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[◀◀](#)[▶▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Page 11 of 25](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

11. Wiener Again

- N. Wiener is mostly known as the father of *cybernetics*, a general theory of biological and engineering systems.
- His interest started when a physiologist noticed that his design resembled the actual neural structure.
- Wiener noticed that when approach a faraway object, we go through five phases.
- At first, we notice a blur – corresponding to all possible transformations.
- Then, we get a shape modulo projective transformations.
- Then, affine, then homotheties, and finally, we identify the object exactly.

[General Problems of...](#)[Indirect Measurements](#)[How Constructive...](#)[Applied Constructive...](#)[Why Intervals?](#)[Interval Computations...](#)[Wiener Again](#)[Standard Interval...](#)[Beyond Intervals](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[◀◀](#)[▶▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Page 12 of 25](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

12. Wiener (cont-d)

- Wiener mentioned that we are a product of billion years of improving evolution.
- So, if there were other groups, we would have used them.
- So, he conjectured that there are no other groups.
- The only transformation groups containing all linear one are all projective ones and all transformation.
- Surprisingly, this was indeed proven in the 1960s by V. M. Guillemin, I. M. Singer, and S. Sternberg.

[General Problems of...](#)[Indirect Measurements](#)[How Constructive...](#)[Applied Constructive...](#)[Why Intervals?](#)[Interval Computations...](#)[Wiener Again](#)[Standard Interval...](#)[Beyond Intervals](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[◀◀](#)[▶▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Page 13 of 25](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

13. For the Resulting Transformations, Interval Computations Are Feasible

- The most general case is all possible transformations.
- Locally – in the vicinity of id – they are monotonic.
- Computing the range of monotonic $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is easy.
- For example, if f increases in all x_i , the range is

$$[f(\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_n), f(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n)].$$

- The range of a linear $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \cdot x_i$ on $[\tilde{x}_i - \delta_i, \tilde{x}_i + \delta_i]$ is $[\tilde{y} - \delta, \tilde{y} + \delta]$, where:

$$\tilde{y} = f(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n) \text{ and } \delta = \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \cdot \delta_i.$$

- Feasible algorithms are known for fractional-linear f .

14. Towards Standard Interval Computations

- If a function is linear or fractional-linear, we apply the known algorithms.
- If not, we check whether the function is monotonic.
- We know that $f \uparrow x_i$ if $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \geq 0$.
- So, to check, we estimate the range $[\underline{d}_i, \bar{d}_i]$ of this partial derivative – e.g., by straightforward interval comp.
- If $\underline{d}_i \geq 0$, we can use monotonicity-based formulas.
- If the function is not monotonic, we try to approximate it by one of the feasible-for-intervals functions.
- Approximations by monotonic functions is a new idea, currently being tested.
- Approximation by fractional-linear functions is a raw idea, no algorithm is known.

[General Problems of...](#)[Indirect Measurements](#)[How Constructive...](#)[Applied Constructive...](#)[Why Intervals?](#)[Interval Computations...](#)[Wiener Again](#)[Standard Interval...](#)[Beyond Intervals](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[<<](#)[>>](#)[<](#)[>](#)[Page 15 of 25](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

15. Standard Interval Computations (cont-d)

- Approximation by linear functions – 1st terms in Taylor series – is well known: for some $\eta \in [\underline{x}_1, \bar{x}_1] \times \dots$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right|_{\eta} \cdot \Delta x_i.$$

- Thus, we get a *centered form* estimate

$$[\underline{y}, \bar{y}] \subseteq \tilde{y} + \sum_{i=1}^n [\underline{d}_i, \bar{d}_i] \cdot [-\delta_i, \delta_i].$$

- This formula is obtained by ignoring second order terms, so its accuracy is $O(\delta_i^2)$.
- To increase its accuracy, we can decrease δ_i by bisection.

16. Bisection: How?

- If we bisect all the intervals, we get 2^n subboxes – too many for large n .
- So, we need to decide which interval to bisect, based on the values $|d_i|$ and δ_i .
- The resulting criterion $f(|d_i|, \delta_i)$ should not change if we change the units for measuring x_i or y :

$$f(|d_i|, \delta_i) > f(|c_i|, \gamma_i) \Leftrightarrow f(\mu \cdot \lambda^{-1} \cdot |d_i|, \lambda \cdot \delta_i) > f(\mu \cdot \lambda^{-1} \cdot |c_i|, \lambda \cdot \gamma_i).$$

- This implies bisecting where $|d_i| \cdot \delta_i \rightarrow \max$.

17. Resulting Algorithm

- We need to estimate the range of $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ on intervals $[\underline{x}_i, \bar{x}_i] = [\tilde{x}_i - \delta_i, \tilde{x}_i + \delta_i]$.
- First, we use straightforward interval computations to find the range $[\underline{d}_i, \bar{d}_i]$ of each partial derivative $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$.
- If $\underline{d}_i \geq 0$ or $\bar{d}_i \leq 0$, monotonicity reduces the problem to a problem with $n - 1$ variables.
- If the result is non-monotonic, we use the centered form estimate: $[\underline{y}, \bar{y}] \subseteq \tilde{y} + \sum_{i=1}^n [\underline{d}_i, \bar{d}_i] \cdot [-\delta_i, \delta_i]$.
- To get a more accurate estimate, we bisect the interval with the largest product $|\underline{d}_i| \cdot \delta_i$, and repeat.

18. Monotonicity: Example

- *Idea:* if the range $[\underline{r}_i, \bar{r}_i]$ of each $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$ on \mathbf{x}_i has $\underline{r}_i \geq 0$, then

$$f(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) = [f(\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_n), f(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n)].$$

- *Example:* $f(x) = (x - 2) \cdot (x + 2)$, $\mathbf{x} = [1, 2]$.
- *Case $n = 1$:* if the range $[\underline{r}, \bar{r}]$ of $\frac{df}{dx}$ on \mathbf{x} has $\underline{r} \geq 0$, then

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = [f(\underline{x}), f(\bar{x})].$$

- *AD:* $\frac{df}{dx} = 1 \cdot (x + 2) + (x - 2) \cdot 1 = 2x$.
- *Checking:* $[\underline{r}, \bar{r}] = [2, 4]$, with $2 \geq 0$.
- *Result:* $f([1, 2]) = [f(1), f(2)] = [-3, 0]$.
- *Comparison:* this is the exact range.

19. Centered Form: Example

- *General formula:*

$$\mathbf{Y} = f(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) \cdot [-\Delta_i, \Delta_i].$$

- *Example:* $f(x) = x \cdot (1 - x)$, $\mathbf{x} = [0, 1]$.
- Here, $\mathbf{x} = [\tilde{x} - \Delta, \tilde{x} + \Delta]$, with $\tilde{x} = 0.5$ and $\Delta = 0.5$.
- *Case $n = 1$:* $\mathbf{Y} = f(\tilde{x}) + \frac{df}{dx}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot [-\Delta, \Delta]$.
- *AD:* $\frac{df}{dx} = 1 \cdot (1 - x) + x \cdot (-1) = 1 - 2x$.
- *Estimation:* we have $\frac{df}{dx}(\mathbf{x}) = 1 - 2 \cdot [0, 1] = [-1, 1]$.
- *Result:* $\mathbf{Y} = 0.5 \cdot (1 - 0.5) + [-1, 1] \cdot [-0.5, 0.5] = 0.25 + [-0.5, 0.5] = [-0.25, 0.75]$.
- *Comparison:* actual range $[0, 0.25]$, straightforward $[0, 1]$.

20. Centered Form and Bisection: Example

- *Known:* accuracy $O(\Delta_i^2)$ of first order formula

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\chi) \cdot (x_i - \tilde{x}_i).$$

- *Idea:* if the intervals are too wide, we:
 - split one of them in half ($\Delta_i^2 \rightarrow \Delta_i^2/4$); and
 - take the union of the resulting ranges.
- *Example:* $f(x) = x \cdot (1 - x)$, where $x \in \mathbf{x} = [0, 1]$.
- *Split:* take $\mathbf{x}' = [0, 0.5]$ and $\mathbf{x}'' = [0.5, 1]$.
- *1st range:* $1 - 2 \cdot \mathbf{x} = 1 - 2 \cdot [0, 0.5] = [0, 1]$, so $f \uparrow$ and $f(\mathbf{x}') = [f(0), f(0.5)] = [0, 0.25]$.
- *2nd range:* $1 - 2 \cdot \mathbf{x} = 1 - 2 \cdot [0.5, 1] = [-1, 0]$, so $f \downarrow$ and $f(\mathbf{x}'') = [f(1), f(0.5)] = [0, 0.25]$.
- *Result:* $f(\mathbf{x}') \cup f(\mathbf{x}'') = [0, 0.25]$ – exact.

21. Wiener and Constructive Mathematics Yet Again

- As we have mentioned, the general problem of interval computations is NP-hard.
- It is NP-hard even for computing the range of sample variance $\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - a)^2$, where $a = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$.
- So (unless $P = NP$), the *worst-case* complexity of interval computations problems is exponential.
- A natural question: what about *average* computational complexity?
- Here, we need a probability measure on the set of all functions.
- In this problem, Norbert Wiener was also a pioneer with his Wiener measure.

[General Problems of...](#)[Indirect Measurements](#)[How Constructive...](#)[Applied Constructive...](#)[Why Intervals?](#)[Interval Computations...](#)[Wiener Again](#)[Standard Interval...](#)[Beyond Intervals](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[Page 22 of 25](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

22. Wiener Yet Again (cont-d)

- The original formulas of Wiener were not algorithmic.
- In my early papers, it was shown that constructivization is possible.
- This was inspired by Shanin and constructive mathematics.
- That result was for Wiener's measure – and real distribution may be different.
- So, recently, we extended these algorithmic results to general probability measures over metric spaces.
- This includes function spaces as particular cases.

[General Problems of...](#)[Indirect Measurements](#)[How Constructive...](#)[Applied Constructive...](#)[Why Intervals?](#)[Interval Computations...](#)[Wiener Again](#)[Standard Interval...](#)[Beyond Intervals](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[◀◀](#)[▶▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Page 23 of 25](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

23. Beyond Intervals

- Instead of boxes, we can have other sets describing uncertainty – e.g., ellipsoids or zonotopes.
- Instead of numbers, we can have similar uncertainty about more complex objects – e.g., functions.
- This becomes applications of beyond-numbers constructive mathematics.
- All these problems can be naturally reformulated in terms of modal logic.
- Indeed, $x_i \in [\underline{x}_i, \bar{x}_i]$ means that all values from this interval are possible.
- We want to find when y is a possible value of $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.
- Thus, it is also applied modal logic.
- Can Yu. Gurevich's modal constructive logic help?

[General Problems of...](#)[Indirect Measurements](#)[How Constructive...](#)[Applied Constructive...](#)[Why Intervals?](#)[Interval Computations...](#)[Wiener Again](#)[Standard Interval...](#)[Beyond Intervals](#)[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[◀◀](#)[▶▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Page 24 of 25](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

24. Interval Computations: Everyone is Welcome

- Interval computations is extremely important for practice.
- There are many theoretical and practical open problems.
- We have regular biannual conferences SCAN'XX, the next one will be in Hungary in September 2020.
- There are annual European SWIM workshops.
- We have a journal *Reliable Computing* (formerly *Interval Computations*), founded by Yu. Matiyasevich.
- Our website is `http://www.cs.utep.edu/interval-comp`
- Everyone is welcome to visit – or even to join our community!

General Problems of...

Indirect Measurements

How Constructive...

Applied Constructive...

Why Intervals?

Interval Computations...

Wiener Again

Standard Interval...

Beyond Intervals

Home Page

Title Page



Page 25 of 25

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit