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1. Outline

- Shapley value is a useful way to allocate gains in cooperative games.
- It has been very successful in machine learning (and in other applications beyond cooperative games).
- This success is somewhat puzzling:
  - the usual derivation of the Shapley value is based on requirements like additivity
  - these requirements are natural in cooperative games and but not in machine learning.
- In this talk, we provide a new simple derivation of the Shapley value.
- This derivation does not use game-specific requirements like additivity.
- It is, thus, applicable in the machine learning case as well.
2. How to distribute gain between the agents: the problem for which Shapley value was invented

- In a cooperating scenario, we have \( n \) collaborating agents \( 1, \ldots, n \).
- We assume that:
  - for each set \( S \subset N \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{1, \ldots, n\} \) of agents,
  - we know the largest gain \( v(S) \) that agents from this set can get with guarantee is they act together.
- The best strategy is for everyone to get together and get the gain \( v(N) \).
- The question is: how to divide this resulting gain \( v(N) \) between the agents?
Let us reformulate this problem in more precise terms

- In mathematical terms, what we need is a function \( \varphi \) that assigns:
  - to each function \( v : 2^N \to \mathbb{R}_0^+ \) from the set \( 2^N \) of all subsets of \( N \) to the set \( \mathbb{R}_0^+ \) of all non-negative real numbers,
  - an \( n \)-dimensional vector \((\varphi_1(v), \ldots, \varphi_n(v))\) of non-negative values \( v_i \) for which
    \[
    \varphi_1(v) + \ldots + \varphi_n(v) = v(N).
    \]
4. Natural requirements

- A solution to the above problem was proposed in 1951 by Lloyd S. Shapley.
- Shapley received the 2012 Nobel Prize in Economics for this discovery.
- Shapley considered the following two natural requirements.
5. First requirement: fairness

- Suppose that in some situations, agents $i$ and $j$ contribute equally, i.e., we have $v(S) = v(\pi_{i\leftrightarrow j}(S))$ for all sets $S$.

- Here, $\pi_{i\leftrightarrow j}$ is a permutation that swaps $i$ and $j$ and leaves all other elements intact.

- Then these two agents should get the exact same amount:

$$\varphi_i(v) = \varphi_j(v).$$
6. Second requirement: additivity

- Suppose that we have two different independent situations with the same set of agents:
  - one situation characterized by a function $u$, and
  - another situation characterized by a function $v$.
- Then the overall amount that each agent $i$ gets in both situations is
  $$\varphi_i(u) + \varphi_i(v).$$
- Alternatively, we can consider these two situations as a single situation, with $w(S) = u(S) + v(S)$ for all $S$.
- It is reasonable to require that:
  - since we did not change anything by simply considering the two situation as one,
  - the overall gain of each player in this new situation should be the same:
  $$\varphi_i(w) = \varphi_i(u + v) = \varphi_i(u) + \varphi_i(v).$$
7. Resulting formula

- Shapley showed that these two requirements uniquely determine the function \( \varphi_i \):

\[
\varphi_i(v) = \sum_{S: i \notin S} \frac{|S|! \cdot (n - |S| - 1)!}{n!} \cdot (v(S \cup \{i\}) - v(S)).
\]

- Here, \(|S|\) denotes the number of elements in the set \(S\).
8. Shapley value is easy to compute

- When \( n \) is small, we can simply use the above formula.
- For large \( n \), we can use the equivalent description of the Shapley value \( \varphi_i(v) \) in terms of permutations \( \pi : N \rightarrow N \) of the set \( N \).
- Namely, each permutation sorts the elements of the set \( N \) as
  \[ \pi(1) < \pi(2) < \ldots \]
- We can then add these elements one by one.
- For the case when we add the agent \( i \), we can compute the difference between the new and the previous value of \( v \).
- The expected value of this difference over random permutations is exactly the Shapley value.
9. Shapley value is easy to compute (cont-d)

- It is easy to simulate a random permutation:
  - as $\pi(1)$, we select each of elements 1, \ldots, $n$ with the same probability $1/n$;
  - then, as $\pi(2)$, we select each of the $n-1$ remaining elements with the same probability $1/(n-1)$, etc.

- Thus, by using such Monte-Carlo simulations, we can estimate the Shapley value as accurately as possible.
10. Variants of the Shapley value

- In some applications, it is useful to use variants of the Shapley value, of the type

\[ \phi_i(v) = \sum_{S: i \not\in S} a(|S|) \cdot (v(S \cup \{i\}) - v(S)). \]

- Here the function \( a(|S|) \) is such that

\[ \sum_{S: i \not\in S} a(|S|) = 1. \]

- This condition is equivalent to

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n - 1)!}{(n - 1 - k)! \cdot k!} \cdot a(k) = 1. \]
11. Successful use of Shapley value in machine learning

- Lately, the Shapley value has been successfully used in machine learning and in other applications.
- It is used to describe the importance of different inputs.
- In this case:
  - instead of agents, we gave inputs, and
  - instead of a gain $v(S)$, we have a different characteristic,
  - e.g., classification efficiency – corresponding to the case when we only use inputs from the set $S$. 
12. This success is somewhat puzzling

- The usual derivation of the Shapley value is based on additivity.
- However, for classification efficiency, adding two efficiencies makes no sense.
- We therefore need a different explanation for the empirical success of Shapley value in these applications.
13. What we do in this talk

- In this talk, we provide a simple alternative derivation of Shapley value and its variants,
- This derivation that does not use additivity.
- It can, therefore, explain the success of Shapley value and its variants in machine learning applications.
14. Main idea

- We want to come up with a value $\phi_i$ that describes:
  - how much adding an input $i$ improves the desired result, 
  - e.g., how much it improves the classification efficiency.

- In other words, we want this value to describe the difference $v(S \cup \{i\}) - v(S)$ between:
  - the result $v(S \cup \{i\})$ obtained by adding $i$ and
  - the result $v(S)$ that we get without adding the input $i$.

- So, we want to have to make sure that:
  - for each set $S$ that does not contain the input $i$,
  - this difference is close to the desired value $\phi_i$:

$$v(S \cup \{i\}) - v(S) \approx \phi_i.$$
15. From the idea to the exact formulation of the problem

- In mathematical terms, we have several equations for determining a single unknown \( \varphi_i \).
- In other words, we have an over-determined system of linear equations.
- In data processing, a usual way to deal with such systems is to use the Least Squares approach.
- So, we find the value \( \varphi_i \) for which the following sum attains its smallest possible value:

\[
\sum_{S: i \not\in S} \frac{((v(S \cup \{i\}) - v(S)) - \varphi_i)^2}{\sigma^2(S)}
\]

- Here the coefficients \( \sigma^2(S) \) describe the weight that we assign to each equation.
16. Requiring permutation-invariance

- A priori, there is usually no reason to believe that some inputs and more important than others.
- Thus, it makes sense to require:
  - as in the original derivation of the Shapley value,
  - that the weights $\sigma^2(S)$ should not depend on which exactly inputs are included in the set $S$.
- These weights should be permutation-invariant.
- Thus, they should depend only on the size $|S|$ of the corresponding set $S$. 


17. Requiring permutation-invariance (cont-d)

- So, we must have $\sigma^2(S) = b(|S|)$ for some function
  $$b : \{0, \ldots, n - 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+.$$  

- Thus, we arrive at the need to minimize the following expression:
  $$\sum_{S: i \notin S} \frac{((v(S \cup \{i\}) - v(S)) - \varphi_i)^2}{b(|S|)}.$$
Let us differentiate the above expression and equate the derivative to 0.

Then we get

\[
2 \cdot \sum_{S: \ i \notin S} \frac{\phi_i - (v(S \cup \{i\}) - v(S))}{b(|S|)} = 0
\]

This is equivalent to:

\[
\phi_i \cdot \sum_{S: \ i \notin S} \frac{1}{b(|S|)} = \sum_{S: \ i \notin S} \frac{1}{b(|S|)} \cdot (v(S \cup \{i\}) - v(S)).
\]

Thus, we conclude that

\[
\phi_i = \sum_{S: \ i \notin S} a(|S|) \cdot (v(S \cup \{i\}) - v(S)).
\]
19. Solving the resulting optimization problem (cont-d)

- Here, we denoted

\[
a(k) = \frac{1}{b(k)} \cdot \frac{1}{\sum_{s: i \notin S} b(|S|)}.
\]

- This is exactly the above formula for the variants of Shapley value.

- Vice versa, each variant of the Shapley value corresponding to the values \(a(k)\) can be obtained this way.

- Namely, it is sufficient to take

\[
b(k) = \frac{1}{a(k)}.
\]
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