Why biological neurons are most effective at the border of the set of possible states

Julieta Cano, Rebecca Enriquez, Sofia Garcia, Angelica Lara, Citlali Mondragon-Pensamiento, and Vladik Kreinovich

Department of Computer Science, University of Texas at El Paso, 500 W. University, El Paso, TX 79968, USA jcano17@miners.utep.edu, raenriquez4@miners.utep.edu, sgarcia106@miners.utep.edu, alara24@miners.utep.edu, cxmondragon@miners.utep.edu, vladik@utep.edu

Formulation of the problem

- Recent research shows that the brain's performance is the best when the neurons' states are the border of the set of possible states.
- How can we explain this phenomenon?

Our explanation

- To explain this phenomenon, let us recall some basic facts from calculus.
- In general, the maximum of a continuous function $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ on a closed domain D is attained:
- either at the interior of this domain
- or on the domain's border.
- It is reasonable to assume that the optimized function is smooth.
- Let us consider the case when the maximum is attained at the interior point.
- Then, according to calculus, all partial derivatives of this function should be equal to 0:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} = \dots = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} = 0.$$

- In other words, in this case, the point at which the maximum is attained is one of the stationary points of the function $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$.
- A function usually has a small number of stationary points such as local and global minima and maxima.
- When the domain D is small, the probability that this domain happens to contain one of these stationary points is small.
- So, in most cases, the maximum of a smooth function on a small domain is attained at the border of this domain.
- Let us apply this general idea to our case.
- Neurons like many other biological systems are very vulnerable to outside changes.

Our explanation (cont-d)

- They can only operate within a small range of temperatures, and a small range of other parameters.
- Thus, the set D of possible states is indeed very small in comparison with the set of all theoretically possible states.
- Most of the physically possible states do not support the living cells.
- So, a natural conclusion is that:
- the optimal behavior i.e., the behavior for which the effectiveness $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ attains its largest possible value
- ullet is indeed attained at the border of this set D.

Comment

- This phenomenon is ubiquitous, it is not limited to the brain.
- For example, the optimal regime of a nuclear power station is:
- when its state is at the edge of instability, i.e.,
- when the reproduction rate of neurons is exactly 1.
- A more mundane example when we walk at a usual speed:
- we lift a foot up and forward and thus, get an unstable state, on the edge of falling down,
- and we are saved by putting the foot down.
- The intermediate state is indeed unstable, it is easy to fall down if some obstacle is suddenly encountered when the foot is up.

Recent references

• A. Curatolo, "Brain response relies on avalanches", *APS Physics*, 2025, Vol. 18, Paper s4.

Recent references (cont-d)

• Z. Yang, J. Liang, and C. Zhou, "Critical avalanches in excitation-inhibition balanced networks reconcile response reliability with sensitivity for optimal neural representation", *Physical Review Letters*, 2025, Vol. 134, Paper 028401.

Older references

- J. M. Beggs, "Neuronal avalanches are diverse and precise activity patterns that are stable for many hours in cortical slice cultures", *Journal of Neuroscience*, 2004, Vol. 24, No. 22, pp. 5216–5229, doi 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0540-04.2004
- L. Cocchi, L. L. Gollo, A. Zalesky, and M. Breakspear, "Criticality in the brain: A synthesis of neurobiology, models, and cognition", *Progress in Neurobiology*, 2017, Vol. 158, pp. 132–152.
- J. Wilting and V. Priesemann, "25 years of criticality in neuroscience established results, open controversies, novel concepts", *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 2019, Vol. 58, pp. 105–111.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part:

- by the US National Science Foundation grants:
- 1623190 (A Model of Change for Preparing a New Generation for Professional Practice in Computer Science),
- HRD-1834620 and HRD-2034030 (CAHSI Includes),
- EAR-2225395 (Center for Collective Impact in Earthquake Science C-CIES),
- by the AT&T Fellowship in Information Technology, and
- by the German Research Foundation.